

**SAN FRANCISCO
BAY AREA WATER EMERGENCY
TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY**

**MEASURE B FUND
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

**SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA
WATER EMERGENCY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
MEASURE B FUND
Financial Statements
For the Year Ended June 30, 2025**

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditor's Report.....	1
Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet	5
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance.....	6
Notes to the Financial Statements	7
Independent Auditor's Report on Measure B Compliance.....	9

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Directors
San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation Authority
San Francisco, California

Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements

Opinions

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Alameda County Transportation Commission-Measure B Fund (Measure B Program) of the San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation Authority (Authority), California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements, as listed in the Table of Contents.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Measure B Program as of June 30, 2025, and the change in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinions

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the Authority and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirement relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the Authority's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards*, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the Authority's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

Emphasis of a Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure B Program and are not intended to present fairly the financial position of the Authority as of June 30, 2025, the changes in its financial position, or where applicable, its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted.

The emphasis of this matter does not constitute a modification to our opinion.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 25, 2025, on our consideration of the Authority's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Authority's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Maze & Associates

Pleasant Hill, California
November 25, 2025

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA
WATER EMERGENCY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
ALAMEDA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION - MEASURE B FUND

BALANCE SHEET
JUNE 30, 2025

	<u>Mass Transit</u>
ASSETS	
Cash and Investments	\$37,515
Interest Receivable	<u>95</u>
Total Assets	<u><u>\$37,610</u></u>
LIABILITIES	
Accounts Payable	<u>\$37,610</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>37,610</u>
FUND BALANCE	
Restricted for Measure B Programs and Projects	<u>-</u>
Total Fund Balance	<u>-</u>
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	<u><u>\$37,610</u></u>

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA
WATER EMERGENCY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
ALAMEDA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION - MEASURE B FUND

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN
FUND BALANCE
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025

	<u>Mass Transit</u>
REVENUES:	
Interest	<u>\$37,610</u>
Total Revenues	<u>37,610</u>
EXPENDITURES:	
Construction:	
Harbor Bay New UCF	1,112
Seaplane Electrification Landslide	53,083
Harbor Bay Electrification Landslide	101,392
Oakland Electrification Landslide	62,313
Terminal Access Improvement	<u>(180,290)</u>
Total Expenditures	<u>37,610</u>
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	-
FUND BALANCE:	
Beginning Fund Balance	<u>-</u>
Ending Fund Balance	<u><u>-</u></u>

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

**SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA
WATER EMERGENCY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
MEASURE B FUND
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For The Year Ended June 30, 2025**

1. DESCRIPTION OF REPORTING ENTITY

Reporting Entity – All transactions of the Alameda County Transportation Commission – Measure B Funds (Measure B Program) of the San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation Authority (Authority), are included in the basic financial statements of the Authority. Measure B Program is used to account for the Authority’s share of the net revenues generated by the Measure B sales tax and expenditures incurred under the Authority’s mass transit program.

In fiscal year 2011, the transfer of the Alameda/Oakland Ferry Service and the Alameda Harbor Bay Ferry Service from the City of Alameda and the Alameda Reuse and Redevelopment Authority to the Authority included Measure B monies. Measure B monies are used to finance the facilities and operations of the Alameda ferry services.

The accompanying financial statements are for the Measure B Program only and are not intended to fairly present the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Authority in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

Basis of Accounting – The Authority uses an enterprise fund format to report its activities for financial statement purposes. The Authority’s financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the full *accrual basis* of accounting. Revenues are recorded when *earned* and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are *incurred*, regardless of when the related cash flows take place.

Fair Value Measurements – Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The Authority categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy categorizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three levels based on the extent to which inputs used in measuring fair value are observable in the market.

Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 inputs are inputs – other than quoted prices included within level 1 – that are observable for an asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for an asset or liability.

If the fair value of an asset or liability is measured using inputs from more than one level of the fair value hierarchy, the measurement is considered to be based on the lowest priority level input that is significant to the entire measurement.

**SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA
WATER EMERGENCY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
MEASURE B FUND
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For The Year Ended June 30, 2025**

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES (Continued)

Use of Estimates – Management uses estimates and assumptions in preparing the financial statements. Those estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities, and the reported revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

3. CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Cash and investments consisted of \$37,515 in money market funds. Money market funds are reported at amortized cost as indicated in GASB 72 paragraph 69c.

See the Authority's Basic Financial Statements (BFS) for disclosures related to cash and investments as prescribed by Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 40. The BFS may be obtained from the San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation Authority, Pier 9, Suite 111, San Francisco, CA 94111.

4. MEASURE B PROGRAM

On November 7, 2000, the voters of Alameda County approved the reauthorization of Measure B. The Authority receives a portion of the proceeds of an additional one-half cent sales tax to be used for transportation – related expenditures. This measure was adopted with the intention that the funds generated by the additional sales tax would not fund expenditures previously paid for by property taxes but, rather, would be used for additional projects and programs.

Projects funded by Measure B were as follows:

*Harbor Bay New UCF
Seaplane Electrification Landside
Harbor Bay Electrification Landside
Oakland Electrification Landside*

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON MEASURE B COMPLIANCE

To the Board of Directors
San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation Authority
San Francisco, California

Report on Compliance for Measure B Program

Opinion on Compliance for Measure B Program

We have audited the San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation Authority's (Authority) compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants specified in the *Master Programs Funding Agreement* between the Authority and the Alameda County Transportation Commission (Funding Agreement) that could have a direct and material effect on the Alameda County Transportation Commission Measure B Program for the year ended June 30, 2025.

In our opinion, the Authority complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Measure B Program for the year ended June 30, 2025.

Basis for Opinion on the Measure B Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*), and requirements specified in the Funding Agreement. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the Authority and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for the Measure B Program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Authority's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the Measure B Program.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the Authority's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards* and requirements specified in the Funding Agreement will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the Authority's compliance with the requirements of the Measure B Program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards* and the Funding Agreement, we

- exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the Authority's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- obtain an understanding of the Authority's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the requirements referred to above, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of the Measure B Program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of the Measure B Program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of the Measure B Program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements, specified in the *Master Programs Funding Agreement* between the Authority and the Alameda County Transportation Commission. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Maze & Associates". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Pleasant Hill, California
November 25, 2025

This Page Left Intentionally Blank