VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE

Annual Program Compliance Report Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2022-23

AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

Agency Name: City of Berkeley

Date: 12.29.2023

Primary Point of Contact

Name: Marrk Callier

Title: Senior Management Analyst

Phone: 510-981-6314

Email: mcallier@cityofberkeley.info

Agency's Certification of True and Accurate Reporting by Submission

By submitting this Compliance Report to the Alameda County Transportation Commission, the submitting agency certifies the compliance information reported is true and complete to the best of their knowledge, and the dollar figures in the agency's Audited Financial Statement matches exactly to the revenues and expenditures reported herein.

Program Compliance Report Structure

This Reporting Form is broken into the following sections for the Vehicle Registration Fee Direct Local Distribution Programs applicable to the recipient agency.

- * Cover Agency Contact
- * General Compliance Reporting for all programs
- * Table 1 Summary of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
- * Table 2 Detailed Summary of Expenditures and Accomplishments

VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE

Annual Program Compliance Report

TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF REVENUE, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

DIRECTIONS: Complete the sections below based on the Measure B and BB Audited Financial Statements, for the applicable DLD programs for your agency. Values must match financial statements and total reported expenditures on Table 2.

A. VRF Direct Local Distribution Programs

	Local Streets and Roads	Total	
Beginning of Year Fund Balance	\$ 1,073,952	\$ 1,073,952	
Revenue Interest GASB 31 Adjustment	\$ 505,898 \$ 27,330 \$ -	\$ 505,898 \$ 27,330 \$ -	
Expenditures Expenditures Matches Table 27 End of Year Fund Balance	\$ 451,581 TRUE \$ 1,155,599	\$ 451,581 \$ 1,155,599	
DI			Recipient's audited financial statements; and reflects what is stated on the audited financial
Notes			

B. TIMELY USE OF FUNDS MONITORING

Policy: RECIPIENT may not hold an end of fiscal year fund balance of greater than four-times their annual DLD revenue received for that same fiscal year. The Cities of Albany, Emeryville, and Piedmont are excluded from this requirement.

RECIPIENT found to be non-compliant with this requirement (over the allowable maximum balance) must return the equal amount of DLD funds that exceeds the maximum allowable end of fiscal year fund balance to Alameda CTC, as approved by the Commission.

This section provides a tool to monitor a RECIPIENT's compliance to this policy.

		Maximum Allowed		Current Balance
	Total Annual	Balance	Current DLD	Over / Under
	Revenue	(4x Annual)	Balance	Maximum Allowed
	(A)	(B) = (A) * 4	(C)	(D) = (C) - (B)
VRF Program	\$ 505,898	\$ 2,023,593	\$ 1,155,599	\$ (867,994)

Exemption Requests: RECIPIENT must demonstrate that extraordinary circumstances have occurred, and provide a timely expenditure plan that would justify the exemption.

For Exemption consideration, answer the follow:

- 1) Explain and justify why there is a excess balance beyond the maximum allowed.
- 2) Describe an Expenditure Plan, activities, and estimated timeframe to draw down balances.

N	/A.

Local Streets and Roads (LSR) Direct Local Distribution Program Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2022-23

GENERAL COMPLIANCE REPORTING

1a. What is agency's 2022 Pavement Condition Index (PCI)?

3. Confirm all expenditures were governing body approved (Yes/No).

Use same PCI reported to MTC for their Pavement Condition Rpt.

PCI =

Yes.

https://mtc.ca.gov/operations/programs-projects/streets-roads-arterials/pavement-condition-index

57

1b.	What is the basis for your PCI nu index?	umber if not from MTC Report - https://mtc.ca.gov/	operations/programs-projects/streets-ro	ads-arterials/pavement-condition-				
	N/A.							
1c.	•	60 (fair condition), specify what corrective act I has been consistently under 60 in the past t	• •	to increase the PCI?				
	allocated for FY24 and FY25. Addition	ed RMRA/SB1 funding into our annual pavement religionally, the City's Measure T1 Bond will allocate \$3.9 all \$14.1 million in general fund money for addition	5 million for FY23 and FY24, and	the City recently based our new				
2a.	• •	balance is encumbered into active contracts/	projects?					
	Encumbered value should be less than or equa							
		\$ Encumbered						
	VRF Balance	, ,						
	Total	\$ 1,155,599 \$ 546,940						
2b.	Why is there a fund balance? Indi							
		rough expenditures on several major projects to inc		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		e Sidewalk Project (\$300,000), PWENST2401 Annua	Street Rehabilitation Project (\$1	.55,000), PWT1ST2209 T1				
	Streets Project (\$291,079),and PWTRBP2201 MLK Vision Zero Quick Build (\$215,100).							
2c.	Specify any large planned uses of	of fund balances within this program and their	r status i.e. planned or under	way.				
	Project Title	Brief Project Description	DLD Amount	Project Status				
	PWT1ST2209- T1 STREETS PROJECT	T1 Phase 2 over the annual street paving project.		Underway				
	PWTRBP2201-MLK VISION ZERO	Design and install quick-build pedestrian and	\$ 215,100	Underway				
	QUICK BUILD PROJECT	bicycle crossing safety improvements between						
		Dwight Way and Russell Street.						
	PWENSW2401-PROACTIVE SIDEWALK PROJECT	City wide sidewalk repair project.	\$ 300,000	Underway				
	PWENST2401- FY24 STREET	Annual street paving project.	\$ 155,000	Underway				
	REHABILITATION PROJECT							
	PWENST2501- FY25 STREET	Annual street paving project.	\$ 155,000	Planned				
	REHABILITATION PROJECT							

Local Streets and Roads (LSR) Direct Local Distribution Program Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2022-23

GENERAL COMPLIANCE REPORTING

4. Confirm the completion of the publicity requirements in the table below (Yes/No).

VRF

Article	Yes
Website	Yes
Signage	Yes

Copy of article,

website, signage attached?

Yes
Yes
Yes

If applicable, briefly explain why the publicity requirement wasn't completed.

N/A.		-		
N/A.				
N/A.				

Local Streets and Roads Direct Local Distribution Program

Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2022-23

TABLE 2: DETAILED SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Provide a detailed summary of VRF Expenditures for the reporting fiscal year. Performance reporting/quantity complete and other fund expenditures should be consistent with reporting data sent to other agencies (regional/state/federal reporting).

- Expenditure total must correspond to your Audited Financial Statements and Table 1 values

No.	Project Category (Drop-down Menu)	Project Phase (Drop-down Menu)	Project Type (Drop-down Menu)	Primarily Capital or Administrative Expenditure?	Project Name	Project Description/Benefits	Quantity Completed in FY 22-23	Units for Quantity (Drop-down Menu)	Additional description on units or expanded detail on expenditures, performance, accomplishments	Equity Priority Community Proximity	High Injury Network	VRF DLD Ex	xpenditures
1	Other	Project Closeout	Other	Capital	Retainage Entry for Construction	CIP Construction	N/A	Other		3. None (Not near EPC)	3. None (Not near HIN)	\$	118,551
2	Streets/Rds	Operations	Staffing	Administrative	Capital Administrative & Staffing Support	Staffing for program administrative and support	N/A	Other	Staffing for program administrative and support	3. None (Not near EPC)	3. None (Not near HIN)	\$	119,657
3	Streets/Rds	Operations	Signals	Capital	I-80 Gilman ICM Project Development	Communications elements of I-80 Integrated Corridor Mobility (ICM) Project.	Ongoing Project	Other	A system that better helps manage traffic congestion along San Pablo Corridor during incident events on I-80.	1. Direct (in EPC)	1. Direct (in HIN)	\$	2,556
4	Streets/Rds	Planning/Scoping	Streetscape / Complete Streets	Capital	ATP Grant Application Submittals Support- Transportation Planning Staff Support-Active Transportation Program	KITTELSON & ASSOCIATES INC	N/A	Other	Grant Application	3. None (Not near EPC)	3. None (Not near HIN)	\$	38,650
5	Streets/Rds	PS&E	Streetscape / Complete Streets	Capital	PWT1ST2209-T1 Streets Phase 2	Corridor Improvements including pavement rehabilitation, intersection improvements, & protected bicycle lanes.	70%	Other	Consultant work for project.	3. None (Not near EPC)	2. Proximate (w/in 1-mile)	\$	142,921
7	Other	Construction	Sidewalks and Ramps	Capital	PWENSW2002-FY20 Sidewalk Inspection Project	Sidewalk Inspections	14,460	Other	# of sidewalk uplifts repaired by shav	1. Direct (in EPC)	1. Direct (in HIN)	\$	2,611
8	Other	Construction	Sidewalks and Ramps	Capital	PWENSW2001-FY20 Sidewalk Repair Project	Sidewalk Repairs	Ongoing Project	Other	Sidewalk Repairs.	1. Direct (in EPC)	1. Direct (in HIN)	\$	5,079
9	Streets/Rds	Construction	Street Resurfacing/Maintenance	Capital	PWSUST1313-Preventative Maintenance Program	Preventative Street Maintenance work.	Ongoing Project	Other	Preventative maintenance program.	1. Direct (in EPC)	1. Direct (in HIN)	\$	19,055
10	Other	Operations	Other	Administrative	Outside Auditors (Badawi and Associates)	Independent audit for Measure B/BB/VRF compliance.	100%	Other	Annual Audit	3. None (Not near EPC)	3. None (Not near HIN)	\$	2,500
		Percentage of Capita	l vs Administrative Costs	73%	6				TOTAL			\$	451,581
				\$ 329,424	1				Match to Table 1?				TRUE
		Percentage of Capit	,	tal vs Administrative Costs a. Total Capital b. Total Administrative	a. Total Capital \$ 329,424	a. Total Capital \$ 329,424	a. Total Capital \$ 329,424	a. Total Capital \$ 329,424	a. Total Capital \$ 329,424	a. Total Capital \$ 329,424	a. Total Capital \$ 329,424	a. Total Capital \$ 329,424	a. Total Capital \$ 329,424

If your agency did not expend greater than 50% of total costs on Capital Investments, explain how capital investments will increase in the future over Program Administration (outreach, staffing, administrative support). Indicate N/A if not applicable.

Frogram Administration (outreach, starting, administrative support). Mulcute 1974 if not applicable.						
N/A						