# Alameda CTC – RSEP

# San Leandro-Hayward IS/MND

### HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY TECHNICAL REPORT MAY 14, 2021 | DRAFT

Prepared By:

Kimley »Horn

### Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION
2.0 DRAINAGE METHODOLOGY4
3.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS
3.1 Existing Drainage System6
3.2 Soil and Groundwater7
4.0 PROPOSED CONDITIONS
4.1 Ground Cover Comparison8
4.2 Pollutants of Concern9
4.3 Water Quality Management 11
4.4 drainage impacts12
4.5 Hydromodification Management13
4.6 Source Controls13
4.7 Applicable BMP's14
5.0 PROPOSED DESIGN ALTERNATIVES14
6.0 REFERENCES
APPENDIX

## Tables

Table 1.	Location Data	4
Table 2.	Existing Conditions	5
Table 3.	Existing Drainage System	6
Table 4.	Summary of Soil Data	7
Table 5.	Summary of Ground Cover	8
Table 6.	Potential Pollutants of Concern	9
Table 7.	Receiving Water Body Pollutant Impacts	11
Table 8.	Summary of Post Construction Stormwater Quality Requirements	12
Table 9.	Hydrology Summary	13
Table 10	. Stormwater Source Controls	14

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This drainage report has been prepared to analyze the drainage conditions for each crossing with respect to water quality requirements and peak runoff impacts. Based upon the location of the projects, the disturbed area for construction, and the governing agency requirements we have determined the following:

- The project does not violate water quality standards or waste discharge requirements
- The project does not substantially degrade surface or groundwater quality
- The project does not interfere or impede groundwater recharge or management
- The project does not alter the existing drainage pattern; with the exception of Location 33 & 34
- The project does not result in substantial erosion or siltation
- The project does not substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff
- The project does not create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems, or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; with the exception of Location 33 & 34
- The project does not impede or redirect flood flows
- The project is not located within a flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zone
- The project does not conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan

Each crossing project is subject to the following requirements and recommendations:

- A. Conformance with Alameda County Stormwater Quality Best Managements Practices (BMPs) for site design requirements for Small Projects
- B. Existing and Proposed Drainage inlets to be marked "No Dumping Drains to Bay" within project limits
- C. Stormwater quality treatment measures are not required based upon the proposed construction
- D. No improvements to the drainage conveyance system (inlets and underground pipe) are required based upon the proposed construction

## **1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The project site consists of three existing at-grade rail crossings in the City of San Leandro, one in unincorporated Alameda County, and four in the City of Hayward, in California. The crossings are relatively spread out, extending from the central to southern portion of San Leandro to the southern portion of Hayward. Alameda County Transportation Commission (Alameda CTC) is the lead agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The crossings are along Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) tracks where UPRR tracks intersect with local streets. Each of the crossings is listed in **Table 1** below, noting the jurisdiction and local street intersections. The Map ID number corresponds to crossing locations shown on **Figure 1**. Detailed drawings of each crossing are attached.

Jurisdiction	Intersection	Map ID
San Leandro	Washington Avenue	24
San Leandro	Hesperian Boulevard	26
San Leandro	Marina Boulevard (Coast)	28
Unincorporated Alameda County	Lewelling Boulevard	29
Unincorporated Alameda County	Paseo Grande – Trespass Area	31
Hayward	Tennyson High School Pedestrian Crossing (near Schafer Road)	33
Hayward	Leidig Court – Trespass Area	34
Hayward	Tennyson Road	35
Hayward	Industrial Parkway	36

### Table 1. Location Data

### 2.0 DRAINAGE METHODOLOGY

This report was prepared following the calculation procedures of the Alameda County Flood Control & Water Conservation District (District) Hydrology & Hydraulics Manual 2018. The selection of calculation methodology is dependent upon the size of the study area. For these rail crossing projects the hydrology analysis is conducted by use of the Rational Method equation, which is suitable for analysis up to 320 acres. The Rational Method analysis is dependent upon three key factors; a runoff coefficient based upon ground cover, the rainfall intensity based upon mapped rainfall data, and the drainage area.

Q = C'iA

### Equation 1: Rational Method

where:

Q = Peak Flow Rate (cfs) C' = District's Runoff Coefficient i = Rainfall Intensity (in/hour) A = Watershed Area (Acres) The Rational Method analysis is computed by the District provided spreadsheet which computes the peak flow based upon inputs of project area, Initial Tc, and mapped Mean Annual Precipitation (MAP).

# 3.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

### Table 2. Existing Conditions

Intersection	Description	Map ID		
Washington Avenue	Washington Avenue extends north-south through this crossing with two lanes of travel in either direction separated by a mix of concrete median and plastic pylons. The area between Washington Avenue and Chapman Road to the west is unpaved and contains several mature trees. Continuous sidewalks run along each side of Washington Avenue. The UPRR corridor contains a single rail line in this location.			
Hesperian Boulevard	Hesperian Boulevard extends in a north-south direction through this crossing with three lanes of travel in either direction separated by a concrete median. Sidewalks extend along each side of Hesperian, allowing pedestrians to cross the tracks at-grade. Vegetation is limited to small-scale landscaping associated with adjacent businesses and homes. The UPRR corridor contains a single rail line in this location.	26		
Marina Boulevard (Coast)	Marina Boulevard extends northeast-southwest through this crossing with one lane of travel in either direction separated by striping. A continuous sidewalk is present along the north and south side of Marina Boulevard. Vegetation is limited to landscaping associated with an adjacent business and a residential home on the north side of Marina Boulevard. A gravel road is adjacent to the UPRR line on the north western side and there is driveway access on the north side of Marina Boulevard. A paved road (Menlo Street) is adjacent to the UPRR line on the south western side that ends with a crosswalk that connects the rail crossing to the southwestern sidewalk along Marina Boulevard. The UPRR corridor contains two parallel rail lines in this location.	28		
Lewelling Boulevard	Lewelling Boulevard extends east-west through this crossing with two lanes of travel in each direction separated by a landscaped median. San Lorenzo High School is located immediately to the north and a residential neighborhood abuts the crossing to the south. Continuous sidewalks extend along Lewelling Boulevard on each side. The UPRR corridor contains a single rail line in this location.	29		
Paseo Grande Trespass Area	The Trespass Area is located between Lewelling Blvd and Paseo Grande. There are no existing pedestrian facilities that run parallel to the single rail line UPRR corridor. The UPRR line crosses the San Lorenzo Creek via a bridge.	31		

Tennyson High School Pedestrian Crossing (near Schafer Road)	The existing pedestrian crossing at Tennyson High School extends from the sidewalk northeast of Huntwood Avenue near Schafer Road, northeast across the UPRR tracks to the high school. Huntwood Avenue runs parallel to the UPRR tracks and contains one lane of travel in either direction with Class II bicycle lanes striped on both sides. The pedestrian crossing contains stairs and an ADA-accessible ramp along with signage and lighting to warn of trains crossing. Given that no automobile traffic crosses the UPRR tracks in this location, no vehicular gate or arm is present. Many mature trees associated with the high school are present on the northeast side of the UPRR tracks.	33
Leidig Ct – Tresspass Area	The trespass area is located at the intersection of Leidig Court, Huntwood Avenue, and Harris road. Leidig Court runs parallel to the UPRR tracks and contains one lane of travel in either direction. Leidig Court changes to Harris Road at the intersection. Huntwood Avenue runs parallel to the UPRR tracks and contains one lane of travel in either direction with Class II bicycle lanes striped on both sides. Between the intersection and the UPRR tracks is an open landscaped area that contains multiple trees. There is an existing utility pole within the landscaped area.	34
Tennyson Road	Tennyson Road extends in a northeast-southwest direction through this crossing with two lanes of travel in each direction separated by a vegetated median. Class II bicycle lanes are striped in both directions along Tennyson Road and sidewalk facilities allow pedestrians to cross the UPRR tracks at grade. Cesar Chavez Middle School is located immediately to the north of this intersection and a residential neighborhood is located immediately to the east behind a wall. The UPRR corridor contains a single rail line in this location.	35
Industrial Parkway	Industrial Parkway extends in a northeast-southwest direction through this crossing with three lanes of travel in either direction separated by a vegetated median. A drainage ditch runs parallel to Industrial Parkway along the southeastern side. A single-family residential neighborhood abuts the crossing to the west behind a wall. Sidewalks are present north and south of the UPRR tracks along the northwestern side of Industrial Parkway, but no pedestrian facilities extend across the tracks. The UPRR corridor contains a single rail line in this location.	36

### 3.1 EXISTING DRAINAGE SYSTEM

Intersection	Description
24 Washington Avenue	The existing drainage system consists of gutter conveyance on both sides of Washington Ave to inlets on Washington Ave north of the site. There is an existing swale to the south west of the site that runs parallel to the UPRR tracks.
26 Hesperian Boulevard	The existing drainage system consists of gutter conveyance on both sides of Hesperian Blvd to inlets south of the site.
28 Marina Boulevard (Coast)	The existing drainage system consists of gutter conveyance on both sides of Marina Blvd to inlets east of the UPRR tracks.

29 Lewelling Boulevard	The existing drainage system consists of gutter conveyance on both sides of Lewelling Blvd to catch basins on Lewelling Blvd to the east and west of the site.
31 Paseo Grande Trespass Area	The existing drainage system consists of swales that convey water to the San Lorenzo Creek.
33 Hayward -Ped Crossing	The existing drainage system consists of gutter conveyance on both sides of Huntwood Ave that lead to inlets south of the site on Huntwood Ave. There is an existing swale on the eastern side of the UPRR tracks.
34 Leidig Ct. Trespass	The existing drainage system consists of gutter conveyance on both sides of Huntwood Ave. There are existing inlets on Huntwood Ave on both the north and south sides along the length of the proposed work. There are existing inlets at the northern stop sign on both sides of Huntwood Ave.
35 Tennyson Road	The existing drainage system consists of gutter conveyance on both sides of Tennyson Road. There are existing catch basins to the northeast of the site on both sides of Tennyson Road. There is an existing catch basin on Leidig Ct, just north of the intersection of Leidig Ct and Tennyson Rd, that takes surface flow from Tennyson Rd and from the landscaped area west of the UPRR tracks.
36 Industrial Parkway	The existing drainage system consists of gutter conveyance on both sides of Industrial Parkway. Gutter conveyance on the northwestern side of Industrial Pkwy flows to an inlet on Pacific Street. Gutter conveyance on the northeastern side of Industrial Pkwy that flows to an inlet north of the site on Industrial Pkwy. Gutter conveyance on southwestern side of Industrial Pkwy that flows to an inlet on Industrial Pkwy at the intersection of Industrial Pkwy and Taylor Ave. Gutter conveyance on the southeastern side of Industrial Pkwy that flows to an inlet south of the site on Industrial Pkwy at the intersection of Industrial Pkwy that flows to an inlet south of the site on Industrial Pkwy at the intersection of Industrial Pkwy and Taylor Ave.

### 3.2 SOIL AND GROUNDWATER

Existing soil data was obtained from the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey. Groundwater depth data was obtained from EnviroStor, the Department of Toxic Substances Control's (DTSC) online data management system, and GeoTracker, the California State Water Resources Control Board's data management system. Soil maps for each project location are included in Appendix C.

Intersection NRCS Soil Classification		Groundwater Depth
24 Washington Avenue	Type A 107 – Clear Lake clay, drained, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14 111 – Danville silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	15-ft bgs
26 Hesperian Boulevard	Type A 106 – Botella Ioam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14 111 – Danville silty clay Ioam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	10-ft bgs

28 Marina Boulevard (Coast)	8 Marina Boulevard Coast)Type C111 – Danville silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	
29 Lewelling Boulevard	Type B 161 – Yolo silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, dry, MLRA 14	10-ft gbs
31 Paseo Grande Trespass Area	Type B 161 – Yolo silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, dry, MLRA 14	10-ft gbs
33 Hayward -Ped Crossing	Type A 107 – Clear Lake clay, drained, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	10-ft bgs
34 Leidig Ct. Trespass	Type A 107 – Clear Lake clay, drained, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	10-ft bgs
35 Tennyson Road	Type A 107 – Clear Lake clay, drained, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	5-ft bgs
36 Industrial Parkway	Type A 140 – Rincon clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 107 – Clear Lake clay, drained, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	5-ft bgs

# 4.0 PROPOSED CONDITIONS

### 4.1 GROUND COVER COMPARISON

The volume and rate of stormwater runoff is directly related to groundcover. By directly comparing the change in impervious ground cover the potential hydrologic impact can be assessed. For each project location the increase in impervious area poses no impact as an increase in up to 1,500sf equates to a 0.1cfs increase for a ten-year storm event. A comparison of pre-project to post-project conditions is summarized in Table 5 below.

### Table 5. Summary of Ground Cover

		Existing Condition		Proposed Condition		Imporvious	
Location	Project Area (sf)	Impervious Area (sf)	Impervious (%)	Impervious Area (sf)	Impervious (%)	Impervious Area Increase (sf)	
24 Washington Ave	4,724	3,894	82%	4,429	94%	535	

26 Hesperian Blvd	7,142	2,510	35%	5,572	78%	3,062
28 Marina Blvd (Coast)	5,470	3,035	55%	4,772	87%	1,737
29 Lewelling Blvd	0	0	0	0	0	0
31 Paseo Grande Trespass Area	15,077	620	4%	14,022	93%	13,402
33 Hayward -Ped Crossing	4,014	971	24%	2,464	61%	1,493
34 Leidig Ct. Trespass	28,614	414	1%	18,140	63%	17,726
35 Tennyson Rd	3,112	1,227	39%	2,338	75%	1,110
36 Industrial Pkwy	3,214	414	13%	1,955	61%	1,540

### 4.2 POLLUTANTS OF CONCERN

Stormwater run-off naturally contains various constituents, however development and operational activities within developed areas typically increase contaminant concentrations to levels that impact water quality. In addition, development can increase run-off generation from a site by increasing the amount of impervious surfaces. The additional run-off can have detrimental effects on streams and rivers in the form of erosion and sedimentation which can harm water quality and wildlife habitat. Table 6 lists typical pollutants of concern from developed sites.

Pollutant	Impacts on Water Quality
Sediment	Sediment is a common component of stormwater, and can be a pollutant. Sediment can be detrimental to aquatic life (primary producers, benthic invertebrates, and fish) by interfering with photosynthesis, respiration, growth, reproduction, and oxygen exchange in water bodies. Sediment can transport other pollutants that are attached to it including nutrients, trace metals, and hydrocarbons. Sediment is the primary component of total suspended solids (TSS), a common water quality analytical parameter.
Nutrients	Nutrients including nitrogen and phosphorous are the major plant nutrients used for fertilizing landscapes, and are often found in stormwater. These nutrients can result in excessive or accelerated growth of vegetation, such as algae, resulting in impaired use of water in lakes and other sources of water supply. For example, nutrients have led to a loss of water clarity in Lake Tahoe. In addition, un-ionized ammonia (one of the nitrogen forms) can be toxic to fish.
Bacteria and Viruses	Bacteria and viruses are common contaminants of stormwater. For separate storm drain systems, sources of these contaminants include animal excrement and sanitary sewer overflow. High levels of indicator bacteria in stormwater have led to the closure of beaches, lakes, and rivers to contact recreation such as swimming.
Oil and Grease	Oil and grease includes a wide array of hydrocarbon compounds, some of which are toxic to

	aquatic organisms at low concentrations. Sources of oil and grease include leakage, spills, cleaning and sloughing associated with vehicle and equipment engines and suspensions, leaking and breaks in hydraulic systems, restaurants, and waste oil disposal.
Metals	Metals including lead, zinc, cadmium, copper, chromium, and nickel are commonly found in stormwater. Many of the artificial surfaces of the urban environment (e.g., galvanized metal, paint, automobiles, or preserved wood) contain metals, which enter stormwater as the surfaces corrode, flake, dissolve, decay, or leach. Over half the trace metal load carried in stormwater is associated with sediments. Metals are of concern because they are toxic to aquatic organisms, can bioaccumulate (accumulate to toxic levels in aquatic animals such as fish), and have the potential to contaminate drinking water supplies.
Organics	Organics may be found in stormwater in low concentrations. Often synthetic organic compounds (adhesives, cleaners, sealants, solvents, etc.) are widely applied and may be improperly stored and disposed. In addition, deliberate dumping of these chemicals into storm drains and inlets causes environmental harm to waterways.
Pesticides	Pesticides (including herbicides, fungicides, rodenticides, and insecticides) have been repeatedly detected in stormwater at toxic levels, even when pesticides have been applied in accordance with label instructions. As pesticide use has increased, so too have concerns about adverse effects of pesticides on the environment and human health. Accumulation of these compounds in simple aquatic organisms, such as plankton, provides an avenue for biomagnification through the food web, potentially resulting in elevated levels of toxins in organisms that feed on them, such as fish and birds.
Gross Pollutants	Gross Pollutants (trash, debris, and floatables) may include heavy metals, pesticides, and bacteria in stormwater. Typically resulting from an urban environment, industrial sites and construction sites, trash and floatables may create an aesthetic "eye sore" in waterways. Gross pollutants also include plant debris (such as leaves and lawn-clippings from landscape maintenance), animal excrement, street litter, and other organic matter. Such substances may harbor bacteria, viruses, vectors, and depress the dissolved oxygen levels in streams, lakes, and estuaries sometimes causing fish kills.
Vector Production	Vector production (e.g., mosquitoes, flies, and rodents) is frequently associated with sheltered habitats and standing water. Unless designed and maintained properly, standing water may occur in treatment control BMPs for 72 hours or more, thus providing a source for vector habitat and reproduction.

Source: CASQA BMP Handbook, 2003

Intersection	Receiving Water Body	Pollutant Impacts			
24 Washington Avenue	Sausal Creek-Frontal San Francisco Bay Estuaries	Chlordane, DDT, Dieldrin, Dioxin Compounds, Exotic Species, Furan Compounds, Mercury, Mercury (sediment), PCBs, PCBs (dioxin-like), Selenium, Lead (sediment), PAHs (sediment), Pesticides (sediment), Zinc (sediment)			
26 Hesperian Boulevard	Sausal Creek-Frontal San Francisco Bay Estuaries	Chlordane, DDT, Dieldrin, Dioxin Compounds, Exotic Species, Furan Compounds, Mercury, Mercury (sediment), PCBs, PCBs (dioxin-like), Selenium, Lead (sediment), PAHs (sediment), Pesticides (sediment), Zinc (sediment)			
28 Marina Boulevard (Coast) Sausal Creek-Frontal San Francisco Bay Estuaries		Chlordane, DDT, Dieldrin, Dioxin Compounds, Exotic Species, Furan Compounds, Mercury, Mercury (sediment), PCBs, PCBs (dioxin-like), Selenium, Lead (sediment), PAHs (sediment), Pesticides (sediment), Zinc (sediment)			
29 Lewelling Boulevard	San Lorenzo Creek	None			
31 Paseo San Lorenzo Creek Grande Trespass Area		None			
33 Hayward - Ped Crossing	San Lorenzo Creek	None			
34 Leidig Ct. Trespass	Ward Creek-Frontal San Francisco Bay Estuaries	Chlordane, DDT, Dieldrin, Dioxin Compounds, Exotic Species, Furan Compounds, Mercury, Mercury (sediment), PCBs, PCBs (dioxin-like), Selenium, Lead (sediment), PAHs (sediment), Pesticides (sediment), Zinc (sediment)			
35 Tennyson Road Ward Creek-Frontal San Francisco Bay Estuaries		Chlordane, DDT, Dieldrin, Dioxin Compounds, Exotic Species, Furan Compounds, Mercury, Mercury (sediment), PCBs, PCBs (dioxin-like), Selenium, Lead (sediment), PAHs (sediment), Pesticides (sediment), Zinc (sediment)			
36 Industrial Parkway	Ward Creek-Frontal San Francisco Bay Estuaries	Chlordane, DDT, Dieldrin, Dioxin Compounds, Exotic Species, Furan Compounds, Mercury, Mercury (sediment), PCBs, PCBs (dioxin-like), Selenium, Lead (sediment), PAHs (sediment), Pesticides (sediment), Zinc (sediment)			

Table 7. Receiving Water Body Pollutant Impacts

### 4.3 WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT

The post-construction water quality is governed by the Alameda County Stormwater Control guidelines, established by Regional Water Quality Board Provision C3.i. These guidelines define small projects as those which create or replace at least 2,500sf but less than 10,000sf of impervious surface. For project

overs 10,000sf post-construction stormwater treatment is required. Relevant to this project there are exemptions for new sidewalk constructed along existing roads, therefore the Leidig Court location will not be required to implement post-construction treatment. The proposed rail crossings that fall into the classification of a small site are required to implement one of the following Best Management Practices (BMPs):

- 1. Direct runoff from sidewalks and walkways onto vegetated areas
- 2. Direct runoff from driveways onto vegetated areas
- 3. Construct sidewalks and walkways with permeable surfaces.
- 4. Construct bike lanes and driveways with permeable surfaces

Intersection	Disturbed Area (sf)	Proposed Impervious Surface (sq-ft)	Post-Construction Stormwater Quality Requirements
24 Washington Avenue	4,724	4,429	Implement one of the small site design measures
26 Hesperian Boulevard	7,142	5,572	Implement one of the small site design measures
28 Marina Boulevard (Coast)	5,470	4,772	Implement one of the small site design measures
29 Lewelling Boulevard	0	0	Not Required
31 Paseo Grande Trespass Area	15,077	14,022	Implement one of the small site design measures.
33 Hayward -Ped Crossing	4,014	2,464	Not required
34 Leidig Ct. Trespass	28,614	18,140	Implement one of the small site design measures.
35 Tennyson Road	3,112	2,338	Not required
36 Industrial Parkway	3,214	1,955	Not required

 Table 8.
 Summary of Post Construction Stormwater Quality Requirements

### 4.4 DRAINAGE IMPACTS

The hydrologic calculations are limited to those sites which have potential impacts to the downstream conveyance system. The results shown in Table 10 represent the change to the drainage system based upon the proposed project area only for the 10-year peak storm event.

 Table 9. Hydrology Summary

Location DMA		Project Pre-Project Conditions			Post-Project Conditions		
		Area (AC)	Runoff Coefficient (C)	Peak Runoff (cfs)	Runoff Coefficient (C)	Peak Runoff (cfs)	
31 Paseo Grande Trespass	С	0.34	0.15	0.14	0.84	0.82	
33 Hayward -Ped Crossing	EX	0.20	0.25	0.28	0.20	0.28	
	А	0.03	0.79	0.36	0.84	0.44	
	В	0.06	0.47	0.04	0.58	0.37	
34 Leidig Ct. Trespass	С	0.66	0.26	0.32	0.66	0.97	

The existing trackside ditch is represented by DMA "EX" which conveys runoff from the adjacent undeveloped area. The proposed pedestrian crossing will include a sidewalk culvert to maintain the existing conveyance. Per the conceptual calculations a 6-inch diameter pipe is sufficient. The final design will be required to analyze the discharge velocity from the culvert and include energy dissipation at the outlet to prevent erosion.

### 4.5 HYDROMODIFICATION MANAGEMENT

Hydromodification is the change in the timing, peak discharge, and volume of run-off from a site due to land development. When a site is developed, the impervious surfaces no longer allow rainwater to infiltrate into the native soils, which then becomes run-off. The additional run-off can add to the erosive level of flows in creeks and rivers.

These sites are each disturbing less than an acre of land. Therefore, no additional hydromodification management is necessary.

### 4.6 SOURCE CONTROLS

In addition to the small site BMPs, the site will employ stormwater source controls to reduce the likelihood of contamination during the operation of the site. The source controls that are anticipated for this site are included in Table 10 below.

### Table 10. Stormwater Source Controls

Potential Source of Pollutants	Structural Source Controls	Operational Source Control
Litter, pesticides, fertilizers, petroleum drippings from automobiles	All drainage will drain to bio-retention prior to discharge to the storm drain system. Storm drain inlets will be clearly marked "No Dumping, Drains to Bay."	On-site storm drains will be cleaned annually, prior to the rainy season. Landscaping will be designed to minimize the need for irrigation, pesticide, and fertilizer use.

### 4.7 APPLICABLE BMP'S

Design Engineer should consider implementing the following BMP's during construction:

- EC-1 Scheduling
- NS-3 Paving and Grinding Operations
- NS-4 Temporary Stream Crossing
- NS-8 Vehicle and Equipment Cleaning
- NS-9 Vehicle and Equipment Fueling
- NS-10 Vehicle and Equipment Maintenance
- NS-12 Concrete Curing
- NS-13 Concrete Finishing
- NS-14 Material Over Water
- NS-15 Demolition Adjacent to Water
- SE-1 Silt Fence
- SE-5 Fiber Rolls
- SE-7 Street Sweeping and Vacuuming
- SE-8 Sandbag Barrier
- SE-10 Storm Drain Inlet Protection
- WE-1 Wind Erosion Control
- WM-1 Material Delivery and Storage
- WM-2 Material Use
- WM-3 Stockpile Management
- WM-4 Spill Prevention and Control
- WM-5 Solid Waste Management
- WM-8 Concrete Waste Management

### 5.0 PROPOSED DESIGN ALTERNATIVES

Stormwater mitigation measures are applicable to those projects with existing drainage deficiencies, a significant increase to proposed condition runoff, and/or require post-construction treatment.

Three alternate design solutions are presented for each crossing. Final design decisions are dependent upon a detailed analysis for the downstream system hydraulics, the Engineer's Opinion of Probable Construction Cost, and life cycle cost for Operations & Maintenance.

#### Alternative 1 – Resize inlet

The hydraulic capacity of the curb opening, or grate inlets is directly related to surface ponding and horizontal spread into the roadway. For sites with existing drainage deficiency a replacement of the existing impact is anticipated to reduce the surface ponding and minimize impact into the roadway. Further analysis is required to determine if the downstream storm drain system contains adequate capacity to convey the runoff, or if the Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) is also contributing to surface ponding issues.

#### Alternative 2 - Install new inlet and lateral

In addition to alternative 1 the hydraulic analysis for the 10-year storm event is required to determine the capacity of the storm drain lateral. In the event the lateral is surcharged the pipe may need to replaced with a larger diameter to maintain the HGL below a 1-foot freeboard elevation at the inlet.

#### Alternative 3 – Install LID Measures

As an alternative to structural upgrades the proposed projects can implement a variety of Low Impact Design (LID) strategies. By routing the impervious runoff into pervious areas the design will promote infiltration and help slow the time of concentration thereby reducing the peak flow runoff generated.

## 6.0 REFERENCES

Alameda County Hydrology & Hydraulics (2018). *Alameda County Flood Control & Water Conservation District*. Available online at: <u>https://acfloodcontrol.org/the-work-we-do/the-work-we-do-hydrology-manual/</u>

C.3 Stormwater Technical Guidance (2017). *Alameda County Clean Water Program.* Available online at: <u>https://www.cleanwaterprogram.org/images/uploads/C3 Technical Guidance v6 Oct 2017 FINAL Erra</u> <u>ta updated 04.20.18.pdf</u>

CASQA (2003). Construction BMP Handbook. Available online at: www.casqa.org

CEQA Guidelines Appendices (2021). Association of Environmental Professionals.

Stormwater Requirements Checklist (2017). *City of San Leandro*. Available online at: <u>https://www.sanleandro.org/documents/Planning/Stormwater%20Requirements%20checklist.pdf</u>

FRA Categorical Exclusion Companion Guide (2019). U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Railroad Administration. Available online at: <u>https://railroads.dot.gov/elibrary/fra-categorical-exclusion-companion-guide</u>

EnviroStor (2021). Department of Toxic Substances Control. Available at: <u>https://dtsc.ca.gov/your-envirostor/</u>

GeoTracker (2021). California State Water Resources Control Board. Available at: <u>https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/</u>

### APPENDIX

Attachment A – Drainage Exhibits

- Attachment B Wetland and Habitat Mapper
- Attachment C FEMA Firmette Maps
- Attachment D Web Soil Survey Maps
- Attachment E Groundwater Data

ATTACHMENT A – DRAINAGE EXHIBITS

# DRAINAGE EXHIBIT: LOCATION 24 - SAN LEANDRO - WASHINGTON

		EXISTING CONDITIONS			PROPOSED CONDITIONS		
DRAINAGE MGMT AREA ID	DISTURBED AREA (SF)	PERVIOUS AREA (SF)	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	PERCENT IMPERVIOUS (%)	PERVIOUS AREA (SF)	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	PERCENT IMPERVIOUS (%)
A1	648	246	403	22%	116	532	82%
A2	297	183	114	38%	28	270	91%
B1	326	161	165	51%	28	298	92%
B2	625	240	385	62%	124	501	80%
C1	2,342	0	2,342	100%	0	2,342	100%
C2	485	0	485	100%	0	485	100%
TOTAL	4,724	830	3,894	82%	295	4,429	94%







DMA A2

 $\sim$ 

DMA C2





412111							
212.1	EXIS	STING CONDIT	IONS	PROPOSED CONDITIONS			
JRBED \	PERVIOUS AREA (SF)	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	PERCENT IMPERVIOUS (%)	PERVIOUS AREA (SF)	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	PERCENT IMPERVIOUS (%)	
5	983	403	29%	636	750	54%	
	287	360	56%	200	447	69%	
	347	596	63%	275	667	71%	
7	916	751	45%	404	1,263	76%	
0	2,100	400	16%	55	2,446	98%	
2	4,632	2,510	35%	1,570	5,572	78%	

DRAINAGE EXHIBIT: LOCATION 26 - SAN LEANDRO - HESPERIAN

-Kimley»Horn <sup>_</sup>	)2021
---------------------------	-------







FLOW DIRECTION

EXISTING IMPERVIOUS AREA TO BE REMOVED (PERVIOUS IN THE PROPOSED CONDITION)

PROJECT LIMITS

DRAINAGE MANAGEMENT AREA

PROPOSED TRACK PANELS

PROPOSED SIDEWALK

PROPOSED PERVIOUS AREA



		EXI	STING CONDIT	IONS	PROPOSED CONDITIONS		
DRAINAGE MGMT AREA ID	DISTURBED AREA (SF)	PERVIOUS AREA (SF)	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	PERCENT IMPERVIOUS (%)	PERVIOUS AREA (SF)	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	PERCENT IMPERVIOUS (%)
А	2,635	1,466	1,169	44%	401	2,234	85%
В	2,075	969	1,106	53%	298	1,777	86%
С	760	0	760	100%	0	760	100%
TOTAL	5,470	2,435	3,035	55%	698	4,772	87%

EGEND	
	PROJECT LIMITS
	DRAINAGE MANAGEME
	PROPOSED TRACK PA
	PROPOSED SIDEWALK
$\psi$ $\psi$ $\psi$ $\psi$	PROPOSED PERVIOUS
	EXISTING IMPERVIOUS REMOVED (PERVIOUS PROPOSED CONDITION









# DRAINAGE EXHIBIT: LOCATION 31 - ALAMEDA - PASEO GRANDE TRESPASS

1,054

14,022

93%

4%

TOTAL

15,077

14,457

620







		Existing Condition			Proposed Condition			
DMA	Area (sf)	Pervious Area (sf)	Impervious Area (sf)	Pct Impv (%)	Pervious Area (sf)	Impervious Area (sf)	Pct Impv (%)	
EX	13,868	13,868	0	0%	13,868	0	0%	
А	1,106	196	910	82%	106	1,000	90%	
В	2,908	1,937	971	33%	1,444	1,464	50%	
Total*	4,014	2,133	1,881	47%	1,550	2,464	61%	





GF O	APHIC SCAL 30 60	E IN FEET			Mpun	1 Carl			1 Annual 2	EXIST APPR
			EXI	STING CONDIT	IONS	PRC	POSED CONDI	TIONS	PROJECT LI	IMITS
	DRAINAGE MGMT AREA ID	DISTURBED AREA (SF)	PERVIOUS AREA (SF)	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	PERCENT IMPERVIOUS (%)	PERVIOUS AREA (SF)	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	PERCENT IMPERVIOUS (%)	 DRAINAGE I AREA	MANAGE
-	С	28,614	28,200	414	1%	10,474	18,140	63%	PROPOSED PANELS	TRACK
	TOTAL	28,614	28,200	414	1%	10,474	18,140	63%	PROPOSED	SIDEWA











		EXISTING CONDITIONS			PROPOSED CONDITIONS			
DRAINAGE MGMT AREA ID	DISTURBED AREA (SF)	PERVIOUS AREA (SF)	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	PERCENT IMPERVIOUS (%)	PERVIOUS AREA (SF)	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	PERCENT IMPERVIOUS (%)	
A1	458	207	251	55%	91	368	80%	
A2	969	568	401	41%	300	669	69%	
B1	965	659	306	32%	272	693	72%	
B2	720	450	270	37%	112	608	84%	
TOTAL	3,112	1,885	1,227	39%	775	2,338	75%	
DRAINAGE EXHIBIT: LOCATION 35 - HAYWARD - TENNYSON								

LEGEND	
	PROJECT LIMITS
	DRAINAGE MANAGEMENT AREA
	PROPOSED TRACK PANELS
	PROPOSED SIDEWALK
v v v v v	PROPOSED PERVIOUS AREA
	EXISTING IMPERVIOUS AREA TO REMOVED (PERVIOUS IN THE PROPOSED CONDITION)
$\checkmark \!\!\! \sim \!\!\!\! \sim$	FLOW DIRECTION



S AREA TO BE S IN THE N)





		EXISTING CONDITIONS			PROPOSED CONDITIONS			
DRAINAGE MGMT AREA ID	DISTURBED AREA (SF)	PERVIOUS AREA (SF)	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	PERCENT IMPERVIOUS (%)	PERVIOUS AREA (SF)	IMPERVIOUS AREA (SF)	PERCENT IMPERVIOUS (%)	
A1	1,293	1,171	121	9%	531	761	59%	
A2	1,650	1,460	190	12%	693	957	58%	
В	271	168	103	38%	35	237	87%	
TOTAL	3,214	2,799	414	13%	1,259	1,955	61%	



# DRAINAGE EXHIBIT: LOCATION 36 - HAYWARD - INDUSTRIAL



GRAPHIC SCALE IN FEET 20 40 80



ATTACHMENT B – WETLAND AND HABITAT MAPPER



# SAN LEANDRO - WASHINGTON AVE



### March 3, 2021

#### Wetlands



Estuarine and Marine Deepwater

Estuarine and Marine Wetland

- Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland
  - Freshwater Pond

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Lake Other Riverine



# SAN LEANDRO - HESPERIAN BLVD



#### March 3, 2021

#### Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Wetland

Estuarine and Marine Deepwater

Freshwater Pond

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland

Lake Other Riverine



# SAN LEANDRO - MARINA BLVD COAST



### March 3, 2021

#### Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Wetland

Estuarine and Marine Deepwater

Freshwater Pond

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland

Lake Other Riverine



# ALAMEDA COUNTY - LEWELLING BLVD



### March 3, 2021

#### Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Wetland

Estuarine and Marine Deepwater

**Freshwater Pond** 

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland

Lake Other Riverine



# San Lorenzo - Paseo Grande Trespass



### May 27, 2021

#### Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Wetland

Estuarine and Marine Deepwater

- ine Wetland
- Freshwater Pond

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland

Lake Other Riverine



# HAYWARD - PEDESTRIAN CROSSING



### March 3, 2021

#### Wetlands



Estuarine and Marine Deepwater

Estuarine and Marine Wetland

- Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland
  - Freshwater Pond

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Lake Other Riverine



# HAYWARD - LEIDIG CT



#### May 10, 2021

#### Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Wetland

Estuarine and Marine Deepwater

- Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland
- **Freshwater Pond**

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Lake Other Riverine



# HAYWARD-TENNYSON RD



### March 3, 2021

#### Wetlands

Estuarine and Marine Wetland

Estuarine and Marine Deepwater

- **Freshwater Pond**

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland

Lake Other Riverine



# HAYWARD-INDUSTRIAL PKWY



### March 3, 2021

#### Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Deepwater
- Estuarine and Marine Wetland
- Eroshwat
  - Freshwater Pond

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland

Lake Other Riverine
ATTACHMENT C – FEMA FIRMETTE MAPS



### Legend

122°8'50"W 37°42'26"N SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE) Zone A. V. A9 With BFE or Depth Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS **Regulatory Floodway** 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile Zone X Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone X Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes. Zone X OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD Area with Flood Risk due to Levee Zone D NO SCREEN Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X Effective LOMRs OTHER AREAS Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard Zone D - — – – Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer GENERAL STRUCTURES LIIII Levee, Dike, or Floodwall 20.2 Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance 17.5 Water Surface Elevation AREA OF MINIMAL FLOOD HAZARD CITY OF SAN LEANDRO **Coastal Transect** Mase Flood Elevation Line (BFE) 060013 Limit of Study Jurisdiction Boundary **Coastal Transect Baseline** OTHER **Profile Baseline** 06001C0259G FEATURES Hydrographic Feature eff. 8/3/2009 **Digital Data Available** No Digital Data Available MAP PANELS Unmapped The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location. This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 3/2/2021 at 8:33 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time. This map image is void if the one or more of the following map - 3100 elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for 122°8'13"W 37°41'57"N Feet 1:6,000 unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes. 250 500 1,000 1,500 2.000 n



### Legend

regulatory purposes.



1:6.000

2.000 Basemap: USGS National Map: Orthoimagery: Data refreshed October, 2020

n

250 500 1,000

1.500



### Legend





### Legend

#### 122°7'42"W 37°41'26"N SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE) Zone A. V. A9 With BFE or Depth Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS **Regulatory Floodway** 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile Zone X Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone X Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to AREA OF MINIMAL FLOOD HAZARD Levee. See Notes. Zone X OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD Area with Flood Risk due to Levee Zone D Zone X 06001C0 NO SCREEN Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X Effective LOMRs OTHER AREAS Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard Zone D - — – – Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer GENERAL STRUCTURES LIIII Levee, Dike, or Floodwall 20.2 Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance 17.5 Water Surface Elevation ALAMEDA COUNTY UNINCORPORATED AREAS **Coastal Transect** Base Flood Elevation Line (BFE) 060001 Limit of Study Jurisdiction Boundary **Coastal Transect Baseline** OTHER **Profile Baseline** FEATURES Hydrographic Feature Zone AO (DEPTH 1 Feet) Zone A **Digital Data Available** Zone AO No Digital Data Available (DEPTH 1 Feet) Zone MAP PANELS Unmapped AO Zone AO Zone The pin displayed on the map is an approximate (DEPTH/1 Feet)(DEPTH/1 Feet) point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location. 06001C0286G 06001C0267H eff. 12/21/2018 8/3/2009 Zone AO This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. (DEPTH 1 Feet) The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 3/3/2021 at 10:46 AM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time. This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for 122°7'5"W 37°40'58"N Feet 1:6.000 unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes. 250 500 1,000 1.500 2.000



### Legend

#### 122°7'37"W 37°41'20"N SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE) With BFE or Depth Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS **Regulatory Floodway** 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage AREA OF MOTOTCOT/80D HAZARD areas of less than one square mile Zone X Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone X Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to T R S0 Levee. See Notes. Zone X OTHER AREAS OF T R S0 FLOOD HAZARD Area with Flood Risk due to Levee Zone D NO SCREEN Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X Effective LOMRs OTHER AREAS Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard Zone D Zone - — – – Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer (DEPTH 1 Feet) GENERAL Zone AO STRUCTURES LIIII Levee, Dike, or Floodwall (DEPTH 1 Feet) Zone Zone AO (DEPTH 1 Feet 20.2 Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance Zone 17.5 Water Surface Elevation **Coastal Transect** www. 513 www. Base Flood Elevation Line (BFE) (DEPTH 1 Feet) ALAMEDA COUNTY UNINCORPORATED AREAS Limit of Study Jurisdiction Boundary 060001 **Coastal Transect Baseline** OTHER **Profile Baseline** FEATURES Hydrographic Feature **Digital Data Available** Zone AO No Digital Data Available 06001C0286G (DEPTH 1 Feet) 06001C0267F MAP PANELS Unmapped eff. 8/3/2009 The pin displayed on the map is an approximate T R SO point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location. This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 5/27/2021 at 5:41 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or (DEPTH 1 Feet become superseded by new data over time. This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, Zone"AO legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, DEPTH 1 Feet FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for 122°7'W 37°40'52' Feet 1:6.000 unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes. 250 500 1,000 1.500 2.000 n



### Legend

#### 122°4'38"W 37°38'45"N SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE) Zone AO Zone A. V. A9 Zone AE With BFE or Depth Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR (DEPTH 1 Feet) SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS **Regulatory Floodway** 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average Zone AO depth less than one foot or with drainage (DEPTH 2 Feet) areas of less than one square mile Zone X Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone X Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes. Zone X OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD Area with Flood Risk due to Levee Zone D NO SCREEN Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X Effective LOMRs Zone AO OTHER AREAS Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard Zone D (DEPTH 1 Feet) - — – – Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer GENERAL STRUCTURES LIIII Levee, Dike, or Floodwall 20.2 Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance AREA OF MINIMAL FLOOD HAZARD 17.5 Water Surface Elevation CITY OF HAYWARD **Coastal Transect** Mase Flood Elevation Line (BFE) 065033 Limit of Study Jurisdiction Boundary **Coastal Transect Baseline** ----OTHER **Profile Baseline** 06001C0289G FEATURES Hydrographic Feature eff. 8/3/2009 **Digital Data Available** No Digital Data Available MAP PANELS Unmapped The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location. This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 3/3/2021 at 10:59 AM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time. This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for 122°4'1"W 37°38'16"N Feet 1:6.000 unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes. 250 500 1,000 1,500 2.000 n



### Legend

#### 122°4'19"W 37°38'27"N SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE) Zone A. V. A9 With BFE or Depth Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS **Regulatory Floodway** 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile Zone X Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone X Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes. Zone X OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD Area with Flood Risk due to Levee Zone D NO SCREEN Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X Effective LOMRs OTHER AREAS Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard Zone D - — – – Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer GENERAL STRUCTURES LIIII Levee, Dike, or Floodwall **AREA OF MINIMAL FLOOD HAZARD** 20.2 Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance 17.5 Water Surface Elevation **Coastal Transect** Base Flood Elevation Line (BFE) Limit of Study CITY OF HAYWARD TIR SO Jurisdiction Boundary --- Coastal Transect Baseline 065033 OTHER **Profile Baseline** 06001C0289G FEATURES Hydrographic Feature eff. 8/3/2009 **Digital Data Available** No Digital Data Available MAP PANELS Unmapped The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location. This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 5/10/2021 at 6:17 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time. This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for 122°3'41"W 37°37'59"N Feet

250

500

1,000

1,500

2.000

1:6.000

Basemap: USGS National Map: Orthoimagery: Data refreshed October, 2020

unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes.



### Legend

#### 122°4'7"W 37°38'18"N SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE) Zone A. V. A9 With BFE or Depth Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS **Regulatory Floodway** 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile Zone X Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone X Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes. Zone X OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD Area with Flood Risk due to Levee Zone D NO SCREEN Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X Effective LOMRs OTHER AREAS Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard Zone D - - - - Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer GENERAL STRUCTURES LIIII Levee, Dike, or Floodwall 20.2 Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance AREA OF MINIMAL FLOOD HAZARD 17.5 Water Surface Elevation Zone > **Coastal Transect** Base Flood Elevation Line (BFE) Limit of Study CITY OF HAYWARD T<sub>I</sub>R S0 Jurisdiction Boundary **Coastal Transect Baseline** ----065033 OTHER **Profile Baseline** 06001C0289G 06001C0293G FEATURES Hydrographic Feature eff. 8/3/2009 eff. 8/3/2009 **Digital Data Available** No Digital Data Available MAP PANELS Unmapped The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location. This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 3/3/2021 at 10:53 AM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time. This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for 122°3'29"W 37°37'49"N Feet 1:6,000 unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes. 250 500 1,000 1.500 2.000



### Legend



ATTACHMENT D – WEB SOIL SURVEY MAPS



Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

MAP	LEGEND	MAP INFORMATION	
Area of Interest (AOI)	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at	
Area of Interest (AOI)	Stony Spot	1:24,000.	
Soils	M Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.	
Soil Map Unit Polygons	Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can ca	
Soil Map Unit Lines	or Other	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy o	
Soil Map Unit Points	Special Line Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more de	
Special Point Features	Water Features	scale.	
Blowout	Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map	
Borrow Pit	Transportation	measurements.	
💥 Clay Spot	+++ Rails	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service	
Closed Depression	nterstate Highways	Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	
Gravel Pit	US Routes	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Me	
Gravelly Spot	Major Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distor	
🔕 Landfill	Local Roads	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more	
Lava Flow	Background	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.	
Marsh or swamp	Aerial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified	
Mine or Quarry		of the version date(s) listed below.	
Miscellaneous Water		Soil Survey Area: Alameda County, California, Western F Survey Area Data: Version 17. May 29. 2020	
Perennial Water		Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scale	
Rock Outcrop		1:50,000 or larger.	
Saline Spot		Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 31, 2019	
Sandy Spot		6, 2019	
Severely Froded Spot		The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines we compiled and digitized probably differs from the background	
Sinkhole		imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some mino	
		shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.	
ø Sodic Spot			



Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
106	Botella loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	11.9	11.0%
107	Clear Lake clay, drained, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	50.7	46.9%
111	Danville silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	45.4	42.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		108.0	100.0%





Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

MAP	LEGEND	MAP INFORMATION	
Area of Interest (AOI)	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at	
Area of Interest (AOI)	Stony Spot	1:24,000.	
Soils	M Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.	
Soil Map Unit Polygons	Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can ca	
Soil Map Unit Lines	or Other	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy o	
Soil Map Unit Points	Special Line Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more de	
Special Point Features	Water Features	scale.	
Blowout	Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map	
Borrow Pit	Transportation	measurements.	
💥 Clay Spot	+++ Rails	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service	
Closed Depression	nterstate Highways	Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	
Gravel Pit	US Routes	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Me	
Gravelly Spot	Major Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distor	
🔕 Landfill	Local Roads	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more	
Lava Flow	Background	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.	
Marsh or swamp	Aerial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified	
Mine or Quarry		of the version date(s) listed below.	
Miscellaneous Water		Soil Survey Area: Alameda County, California, Western F Survey Area Data: Version 17. May 29. 2020	
Perennial Water		Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scale	
Rock Outcrop		1:50,000 or larger.	
Saline Spot		Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 31, 2019	
Sandy Spot		6, 2019	
Severely Froded Spot		The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines we compiled and digitized probably differs from the background	
Sinkhole		imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some mino	
		shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.	
ø Sodic Spot			



Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
106	Botella loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	14.3	43.6%
111	Danville silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	18.5	56.4%
Totals for Area of Interest		32.7	100.0%





MAP LEGEND				MAP INFORMATION	
Area of Ir	nterest (AOI)	00	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at	
	Area of Interest (AOI)	۵	Stony Spot	1:24,000.	
Soils		m	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.	
	Soil Map Unit Polygons	90 10	Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can ca	
~	Soil Map Unit Lines	N N	Other	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of	
	Soil Map Unit Points		Special Line Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more de	
Specia	l Point Features			scale.	
ဖ	Blowout	water re	Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map	
$\boxtimes$	Borrow Pit	Transpor	tation	measurements.	
*	Clay Spot	+++	Rails	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service	
$\diamond$	Closed Depression	~	Interstate Highways	Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	
X	Gravel Pit	~	US Routes	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Me	
0 0 0	Gravelly Spot		Maior Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distort	
0	Landfill	~	Local Roads	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more	
A.	Lava Flow	Backgrou	Ind	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.	
عاد عليه	Marsh or swamp	Duckgrou	Aerial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified on the USDA-NRCS certified on the terms of the second secon	
爱	Mine or Quarry			of the version date(s) listed below.	
0	Miscellaneous Water			Soil Survey Area: Alameda County, California, Western F Survey Area Data: Version 17, May 29, 2020	
Ō	Perennial Water			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scale	
v	Rock Outcrop			1:50,000 or larger.	
+	Saline Spot			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 1, 2019–	
	Sandy Spot			31,2019	
-	Severely Eroded Spot			compiled and digitized probably differs from the backgrour	
0	Sinkhole			imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some mino	
2	Slide or Slip			sinning of map unit boundaries may be evident.	
II A	Sodic Spot				



Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
107	Clear Lake clay, drained, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	2.9	13.7%
111	Danville silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	18.6	86.3%
Totals for Area of Interest		21.5	100.0%





Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

MAP	LEGEND	MAP INFORMATION	
Area of Interest (AOI)	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at	
Area of Interest (AOI)	Stony Spot	1:24,000.	
Soils	M Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.	
Soil Map Unit Polygons	Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can ca	
Soil Map Unit Lines	or Other	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy o	
Soil Map Unit Points	Special Line Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more de	
Special Point Features	Water Features	scale.	
Blowout	Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map	
Borrow Pit	Transportation	measurements.	
💥 Clay Spot	+++ Rails	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service	
Closed Depression	nterstate Highways	Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	
Gravel Pit	US Routes	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Me	
Gravelly Spot	Major Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distor	
🔕 Landfill	Local Roads	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more	
Lava Flow	Background	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.	
Marsh or swamp	Aerial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified	
Mine or Quarry		of the version date(s) listed below.	
Miscellaneous Water		Soil Survey Area: Alameda County, California, Western F Survey Area Data: Version 17. May 29. 2020	
Perennial Water		Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scale	
Rock Outcrop		1:50,000 or larger.	
Saline Spot		Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 31, 2019	
Sandy Spot		6, 2019	
Severely Froded Spot		The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines we compiled and digitized probably differs from the background	
Sinkhole		imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some mino	
		shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.	
ø Sodic Spot			



Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
111	Danville silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0.1	0.7%
161	Yolo silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, dry, MLRA 14	15.3	99.3%
Totals for Area of Interest	·	15.4	100.0%





Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

	MAP LEGEND			MAP INFORMATION	
Area of In	terest (AOI)	8	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at	
	Area of Interest (AOI)	۵	Stony Spot	1:24,000.	
Soils		ň	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.	
	Soil Map Unit Polygons	0	Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can caus	
~	Soil Map Unit Lines	N N	Other	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of s	
	Soil Map Unit Points	-	Special Line Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detail	
Special	Point Features	Water Fo		scale.	
అ	Blowout		Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map	
$\boxtimes$	Borrow Pit	Transpor	tation	measurements.	
×	Clay Spot	+++	Rails	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service	
$\diamond$	Closed Depression	~	Interstate Highways	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	
X	Gravel Pit	~	US Routes	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Merc	
000	Gravelly Spot	~	Major Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts	
0	Landfill	~	Local Roads	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more	
A.	Lava Flow	Backgrou	ind	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.	
علله	Marsh or swamp		Aerial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified dat of the version date(s) listed below	
~	Mine or Quarry			Soil Survey Area: Alameda County California Western Pa	
0	Miscellaneous Water			Survey Area Data: Version 17, May 29, 2020	
0	Perennial Water			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales	
$\sim$	Rock Outcrop			1:50,000 or larger.	
+	Saline Spot			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Mar 7, 2021—N	
°*°	Sandy Spot			The orthophote or other base map on which the soil lines we	
-	Severely Eroded Spot			compiled and digitized probably differs from the background	
0	Sinkhole			imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident	
\$	Slide or Slip				
୶	Sodic Spot				



Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
161	Yolo silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, dry, MLRA 14	44.6	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		44.6	100.0%





Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

MAP	LEGEND	MAP INFORMATION	
Area of Interest (AOI)	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at	
Area of Interest (AOI)	Stony Spot	1:24,000.	
Soils	M Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.	
Soil Map Unit Polygons	Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can ca	
Soil Map Unit Lines	or Other	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy o	
Soil Map Unit Points	Special Line Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more de	
Special Point Features	Water Features	scale.	
Blowout	Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map	
Borrow Pit	Transportation	measurements.	
💥 Clay Spot	+++ Rails	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service	
Closed Depression	nterstate Highways	Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	
Gravel Pit	US Routes	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Me	
Gravelly Spot	Major Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distor	
🔕 Landfill	Local Roads	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more	
Lava Flow	Background	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.	
Marsh or swamp	Aerial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified	
Mine or Quarry		of the version date(s) listed below.	
Miscellaneous Water		Soil Survey Area: Alameda County, California, Western F Survey Area Data: Version 17. May 29. 2020	
Perennial Water		Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scale	
Rock Outcrop		1:50,000 or larger.	
Saline Spot		Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 31, 2019	
Sandy Spot		6, 2019	
Severely Froded Spot		The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines we compiled and digitized probably differs from the background	
Sinkhole		imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some mino	
		shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.	
ø Sodic Spot			



Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
107	Clear Lake clay, drained, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	38.2	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		38.2	100.0%



Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey 5/10/2021 Page 1 of 3

MAP LEGEND		MAP INFORMATION	
Area of Interest (AOI)	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at	
Area of Interest (AOI)	Stony Spot	1:24,000.	
Soils	M Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.	
Soil Map Unit Polygons	www.Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can ca	
Soil Map Unit Lines	ĭr ∧ Other	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy o	
Soil Map Unit Points	Special Line Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more de	
Special Point Features	Water Factures	scale.	
Blowout	Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map	
Borrow Pit	Transportation	measurements.	
💥 Clay Spot	+++ Rails	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service	
Closed Depression	nterstate Highways	Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	
Gravel Pit	JS Routes	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Me	
Gravelly Spot	Major Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distort	
🙆 Landfill	Local Roads	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more	
Lava Flow	Background	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.	
Marsh or swamp	Aerial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified	
Mine or Quarry		of the version date(s) listed below.	
Miscellaneous Water		Soil Survey Area: Alameda County, California, Western F Survey Area Data: Version 17, May 29, 2020	
Perennial Water		Soil man units are labeled (as space allows) for man scale	
Rock Outcrop		1:50,000 or larger.	
L Saline Spot		Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 31, 2019	
Sandy Spot		6, 2019	
Severely Froded Spot		The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines we compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor	
Sinkhole			
		shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.	
g Sodic Spot			



Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
107	Clear Lake clay, drained, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	43.0	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		43.0	100.0%



MAP LEGEND		MAP INFORMATION	
Area of Interest (AOI)	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at	
Area of Interest (AOI)	Stony Spot	1:24,000.	
Soils	M Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.	
Soil Map Unit Polygons	www.Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can ca	
Soil Map Unit Lines	ĭr ∧ Other	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy o	
Soil Map Unit Points	Special Line Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more de	
Special Point Features	Water Factures	scale.	
Blowout	Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map	
Borrow Pit	Transportation	measurements.	
💥 Clay Spot	+++ Rails	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service	
Closed Depression	nterstate Highways	Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	
Gravel Pit	JS Routes	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Me	
Gravelly Spot	Major Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distort	
🙆 Landfill	Local Roads	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more	
Lava Flow	Background	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.	
Marsh or swamp	Aerial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified	
Mine or Quarry		of the version date(s) listed below.	
Miscellaneous Water		Soil Survey Area: Alameda County, California, Western F Survey Area Data: Version 17, May 29, 2020	
Perennial Water		Soil man units are labeled (as space allows) for man scale	
Rock Outcrop		1:50,000 or larger.	
L Saline Spot		Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 31, 2019	
Sandy Spot		6, 2019	
Severely Froded Spot		The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines we compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor	
Sinkhole			
		shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.	
g Sodic Spot			



Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
107	Clear Lake clay, drained, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	25.2	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		25.2	100.0%


MAP	LEGEND	MAP INFORMATION
Area of Interest (AOI)	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at
Area of Interest (AOI)	Stony Spot	1:24,000.
Soils	M Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
Soil Map Unit Polygons	Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can ca
Soil Map Unit Lines	or Other	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy o
Soil Map Unit Points	Special Line Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more de
Special Point Features	Water Features	scale.
Blowout	Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map
Borrow Pit	Transportation	measurements.
💥 Clay Spot	+++ Rails	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
Closed Depression	nterstate Highways	Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
Gravel Pit	US Routes	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Me
Gravelly Spot	Major Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distor
🔕 Landfill	Local Roads	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
Lava Flow	Background	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
Marsh or swamp	Aerial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified
Mine or Quarry		of the version date(s) listed below.
Miscellaneous Water		Soil Survey Area: Alameda County, California, Western F Survey Area Data: Version 17. May 29. 2020
Perennial Water		Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scale
Rock Outcrop		1:50,000 or larger.
Saline Spot		Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 31, 2019
Sandy Spot		6, 2019
Severely Froded Spot		The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines we compiled and digitized probably differs from the background
Sinkhole		imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some mino
		shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.
ø Sodic Spot		



# Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
107	Clear Lake clay, drained, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	29.2	58.0%
140	Rincon clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	19.5	38.7%
162	Water	1.6	3.3%
Totals for Area of Interest		50.3	100.0%



ATTACHMENT E – GROUNDWATER DATA

26 - San Leandro - Hesperian

RbSile #01-0328 off to close

# CASE CLOSURE SUMMARY Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program I. AGENCY INFORMATION Date: August 31, 1998

Agency Name: Alameda County Haz-Mat City/State/Zip: Alameda, CA 94502 Responsible Staff Person: Brian P. Oliva

Address: 1131 Harbor Bay Pkwy Phone: (510) 567-6700 Title: Hazardous Materials Specialist

# II. CASE INFORMATION Site facility name: Former Chevron Station



**III. RELEASE AND SITE CHARACTERIZATION INFORMATION** 

Cause and type of release: **Product line leak** 

Site characterization complete? Yes

Date approved by oversight agency: 04/28/92

Monitoring wells installed? Yes

Number: 8

Properly screened intervals? Yes

Highest GW depth? 9.44 feet

Lowest depth: 14.95 feet

Flow direction: West/Southwest to West/Northwest

Most sensitive commercial use: Commercial

Are drinking water wells affected? N/A Aquifer Name: N/A

# Off-site beneficial use impacts (address/location) None

Reports on file? Yes, filed with Alameda County, 1131 Harbor Bay, Alameda, CA

agents. Historical operations associated with the paper mill and carton plant included other chemicals such as fuel oils, adhesives, and wax. No uses of chlorinated solvents were identified during review of regulatory agency file information or noted by site personnel during completion of both independent Phase I ESAs.

#### **Groundwater Contaminants**

Groundwater has been recorded at depths of approximately 15 feet bgs at properties in the site vicinity and is reported to flow west to southwest towards the San Francisco Bay. Since at least the 1950s, the site vicinity has been used for commercial purposes. A number of properties in the immediate site vicinity have been investigated over the years for releases to groundwater, namely VOCs including PCE and TCE. Ardent reviewed environmental reports on the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) GeoTracker website, and the Alameda County Department of Environmental Health (ACDEH), Local Oversight Program (LOP) website, as well as interviewed case handlers at the SFRWQCB to assess current investigation efforts of the local regulatory agencies.

Off-site facilities with reported VOC impacted groundwater within close proximity to the site included Ingersoll-Rand Company located approximately 340 feet northeast of and upgradient from the site; Place Towing and Recovery (aka Former Crane Valve Company) located immediately north to northwest of and crossgradient from the site; Watkins Terminal/Bluewater, also located north to northwest of and crossgradient from the site; and "1964 Williams Street" located further northwest of and crossgradient from the site.

Due to the large quantities of water involved with the paper manufacturing process, the former occupants maintained four groundwater production wells. Following acquisition of the site, three of the four wells were abandoned in accordance with current regulatory standards. Currently, one groundwater production well is located on-site that is planned to be used as part of the future syrup manufacturing processes.

Due to the number of facilities in the site vicinity reporting VOC-impacted groundwater, representatives of Domtar voluntarily collected three groundwater samples in May 1990 from its on-site production well to assess groundwater concentrations and to determine whether groundwater being pumped for production purposes contained unacceptable concentrations of VOCs.



# 3 Hayward - Tennyson

# SITE CLOSURE SUMMARY

#### I. AGENCY INFORMATION

Date: November 5, 2008

Agency Name:	RWQCB – San Francisco Bay Region	Address:	1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400
City/State/Zip:	Oakland, CA 94612	Phone:	(510) 583-4925
Responsible Staff	Person: Marcia Y. Liao	Title:	Water Resources Control Engineer

#### **II. SITE INFORMATION**

Site Facility Nan	Name: Chevron Site No. 306464 (Former Unocal Site No. 4070)					
Site Facility Add	lress: 71 West Te	71 West Tennyson Road, Hayward, CA				
RB LUSTIS Cas	se No. 01-1569	01-1569 Local or LOP Case No.: 01-1569 Priority:				
URF Filing Date	e: 9/21/1979	21/1979 SWEEPS No.: None				
Responsible Par	ties (include addresse	s and phone numbers):				
Aaron Costa Project Manager (Chevron EMC) Chevron Environmental Management Company 6111 Bollinger Canyon Road, Room 3660 San Ramon, CA 94583 Tel 925 543 2961 Mobile 650 444 4481 <u>acosta@chevron.com</u>						
Tank No.	ak No. Size in Gallons Contents Closed In-Place/Removed? Date					
1	550	550 Waste Oil Removed 09/21/1979				
2	5,000	Gasoline	Rei	moved	09/21/1979	
3	7,500	Gasoline	Ren	moved	09/21/1979	

#### **III. RELEASE AND SITE CHARACTERIZATION INFORMATION**

Cause and Type of Release: Underground Storage Tank Leak, gasoline release.				
Site characterization complete? Y	'es	Date Approved By Oversight Agency: 06/16/2008		
Monitoring wells installed? Y	es	Number: 10	Proper-screened interval? Yes	
Highest GW Depth Below Ground Surfa	st GW Depth Below Ground Surface: 6ft. Lowest Depth: 8ft. Flow Direction: South			
Most Sensitive Current Use: Union Oil Company of California, the current property owner, leases out the site to two commercial small-equipment-repair businesses; there is no known use for groundwater at the current site or in the immediate surrounding facilities.				
Most Sensitive Potential Use and Proba		None anticipated at this	<u> </u>	
Are drinking water wells affected? No Aquifer Name:				
Is surface water affected? No Nearest/Affected SW Name: None				
Off-Site Beneficial Use Impacts (Addresses/Locations): None known				
Report(s) on file? Yes Where is report(s) filed? City Of Hayward Fire Department				

# CASE CLOSURE SUMMARY Case No. 01-0518

36 Hayward - Industrial

Regional Water Board Case No.: 01-0518

2
J

### II. SOURCE PROPERTY INFORMATION

Source Property Facility Name: Former Duncan and Son Petroleum

Source Property Facility Address: 29303 Pacific Street, Hayward, Alameda County, California

Unauthorized Release Form Filing Date: 5/17/1988

Global ID No. (GeoTracker): T0600100472

Underground Storage Tank (UST) Cleanup Fund Expenditure: \$1,233,166	UST Cleanup Fund Claim No.: 13863	Number of Years Case Open: 33 Years

#### Responsible Party: Ms. Dorothy Duncan 417 Fourth Street Marysville, CA 95901 Email: <u>McCrory95901@yahoo.com</u>

Tank #	Capacity	Contents	Removed or Active	Date
1	20,000-gallon	Diesel	Removed	1999
2	20,000-gallon	Diesel	Removed	1999
3	20,000-gallon	Diesel	Removed	1999
4	20,000-gallon	Gasoline	Removed	1999
5	20,000-gallon	Gasoline	Removed	1999
6	20,000-gallon	Gasoline	Removed	1999
7	10,000-gallon	Gasoline	Removed	1999
8	5,000-gallon	Gasoline	Removed	1999
9	6,000 gallons	Overspill	Removed	1999

### III. RELEASE AND SOURCE PROPERTY CHARACTERIZATION INFORMATION

Cause and Type of Release: Past fuel releases from historic underground storage tanks (USTs) and related components (i.e., product piping and dispensers).				
Source Property Characterization Complete? Yes     Date Approved by Oversight Agency: 1-15-2016				
Monitoring Wells Installed? Yes	Number: 8     Proper Screened       Intervals? Yes			
lighest Groundwater (GW) Depth (feet below ground surface/fbgs): 3 fbgsLowest GW Depth: 16.6 fbgsGW Flow Direction: South to Southwest.				
<b>Most Sensitive Current GW Use:</b> Potential drinking water. There are no public or private water supply wells within 1,000 feet of the Source Property.				

Most Sensitive Potential GW Use: Drinking water