VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE

Annual Program Compliance Report Reporting Fiscal Year 2020-2021

AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

Agency Name: City of Dublin

Date: 12/20/2021

Primary Point of Contact

Name: Pratyush Bhatia

Title: Transportation and Operations Manager

Phone: 925-833-6630

Email: pratyush.bhatia@dublin.ca.gov

Agency's Certification of True and Accurate Reporting by Submission

By submitting this Compliance Report to the Alameda County Transportation Commission, the submitting agency certifies the compliance information reported is true and complete to the best of their knowledge, and the dollar figures in the agency's Audited Financial Statement <u>matches</u> <u>exactly</u> to the revenues and expenditures reported herein.

Program Compliance Report Structure

This Reporting Form is broken into the following sections for the Vehicle Registration Fee Direct Local Distribution Programs applicable to the recipient agency.

- * Cover Agency Contact
- * General Compliance Reporting for all programs
- * Table 1 Summary of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
- * Table 2 Detailed Summary of Expenditures and Accomplishments

VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE Annual Program Compliance Report Fiscal Year 2020-2021

TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF REVENUE, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

DIRECTIONS: Complete the sections below based on the VRF Audited Financial Statements, for the applicable DLD programs for your agency. Values must match financial statements and total reported expenditures on Table 2.

A.VRF Direct Local Distribution Programs

	Local Streets and Roads	Total	
Beginning of Year Fund Balance	\$ 284,556	\$ 284,556	
Revenue Interest	\$ 300,170 \$ 3,264	\$ 300,170 \$ 3,264	
Expenditures Expenditures Matches Table 2?	\$ 369,511 TRUE	\$ 369,511	
End of Year Fund Balance	\$ 218,479	\$ 218,479	
Notes			

Local Streets and Roads (LSR) Direct Local Distribution Program Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2020-21

GENERAL COMPLIANCE REPORTING

1.	What is agency	y's current Pavem	ent Condition Index (P	PCI)?		PCI =	86			
	Use PCI from the mo	st recent MTC's VitalSign	s linked here:	http://www.vitalsigr	gns.mtc.ca.gov/street-pavement-condition					
	-		60 (fair condition), sp	ecify what actions are I	being implemented	d to increase	e the PCI.			
	Indicate N/A, ij	f not applicable.								
	N/A									
2a.	How much of t	the balance identi	fied here is encumbere	ed into active contracts	and projects?					
	Encumbered value sl	hould be less than or equ	al to the available balance.							
			4 242	\$ Encumbered						
		VRF Balanc	e \$ 218,479	\$ 218,479						
26	Why is there a	fund halanca?	Contract of the Contract of th							
ZD.			icate N/A, if not applicable.	n has fund halances just li	ke this one. The City	foresees no i	ssues in expending the fund			
	=	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nd Policy for this fund.	ir nas iunu balances just in	ke this one. The city	10163663 110 1	ssues in expending the fund			
			na i ono, ioi emo iamai							
2c.	Specify any lar	ge planned uses o	of fund balances within	n this program and thei	r status i.e. planne	ed or underv	vay.			
	Proi	ect Title	Brief Project	ct Description	DLD Amount		Project Status			
			<u> </u>	•		196 902	Underway			
	icity's signais and	a Street Light	illiprove traffic flow for	r automobile traffic and	TS	100.003				
	City's signals and Maintenance		enhance safety	r automobile traffic and	\$	100,003	Onderway			
	Maintenance Citywide signal (communications	enhance safety Enhances remote acces	ss and management of	\$		Underway			
	Maintenance		enhance safety		\$					
	Maintenance Citywide signal (enhance safety Enhances remote acces							
	Maintenance Citywide signal (enhance safety Enhances remote acces		\$					
	Maintenance Citywide signal (enhance safety Enhances remote acces		\$ \$					
	Maintenance Citywide signal (enhance safety Enhances remote acces		\$ \$ \$ \$					
	Maintenance Citywide signal (enhance safety Enhances remote acces		\$ \$					
	Maintenance Citywide signal (enhance safety Enhances remote acces		\$ \$ \$ \$					
	Maintenance Citywide signal (enhance safety Enhances remote acces		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$					
	Maintenance Citywide signal (enhance safety Enhances remote acces		\$ \$ \$ \$					
	Maintenance Citywide signal (enhance safety Enhances remote acces		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$					
3.	Maintenance Citywide signal o ungrade	communications	enhance safety Enhances remote acces	ss and management of	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	31,676 - - - - -				
3.	Maintenance Citywide signal o ungrade	communications	Enhance safety Enhances remote accessignal operations	ss and management of	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	31,676 - - - - -	Underway			
 3. 4. 	Maintenance Citywide signal o ungrade Confirm all ex	penditures were a	Enhance safety Enhances remote accessignal operations governing body approv	ss and management of	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	31,676 - - - - -	Underway			
	Maintenance Citywide signal o ungrade Confirm all ex	penditures were a	Enhance safety Enhances remote accessignal operations governing body approv	ved (Yes/No).	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	31,676 - - - - -	Underway			
	Maintenance Citywide signal o ungrade Confirm all ex	penditures were a	Enhance safety Enhances remote accessignal operations governing body approv	ved (Yes/No). in the table below (Yes	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	31,676	Underway			
	Maintenance Citywide signal o ungrade Confirm all ex	penditures were pompletion of the p	Enhance safety Enhances remote accessignal operations governing body approv	ved (Yes/No).	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	31,676	Underway es why the publicity			
	Maintenance Citywide signal o ungrade Confirm all ex	penditures were a	Enhance safety Enhances remote accessignal operations governing body approv	ved (Yes/No). in the table below (Yes Copy of Article, website, signage	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	31,676	Underway es why the publicity			
	Maintenance Citywide signal oungrade Confirm all ex Confirm the co	penditures were pompletion of the p	Enhances remote accessignal operations governing body approvemblicity requirements	ved (Yes/No). in the table below (Yes Copy of Article, website, signage Attached?	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	31,676	Underway es why the publicity			
	Maintenance Citywide signal of ungrade Confirm all ex Confirm the co	penditures were pompletion of the p	Enhance safety Enhances remote accessignal operations governing body approvoublicity requirements Yes	ved (Yes/No). in the table below (Yes Copy of Article, website, signage Attached? Yes	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	31,676	Underway es why the publicity			

Local Streets and Roads Direct Local Distribution Program Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2020-21

TABLE 2: DETAILED SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Provide a detailed summary of VRF Expenditures for the reporting fiscal year.

- Expenditure total must correspond to your Audited Financial Statements and Table 1 values

No.	Project Category (Drop-down Menu)	Project Phase (Drop-down Menu)	Project Type (Drop-down Menu)	Primarily Capital or Administrative Expenditure?	Project Name	Project Description/Benefits	Quantity Completed in FY 20-21	Units for Quantity (Drop-down Menu)	Additional description on units or expanded detail on expenditures, performance, accomplishments	
1	Streets/Rds	Maintenance/Operatio	Signals	Capital	Intelligent Transportation Systems Upgrade	Improves signal operations	98	Signals	Improves signal communications and operations	\$ 30,720
2	Streets/Rds	Maintenance/Operatio	Signals	Capital	Citywide Signal Communication Systems Upgrade	Enhances remote access and management of signal operations	98	Signals	Improves signal communications and operations	\$ 76,390
3	Other	Maintenance/Operatio	Signals	Capital	Traffic Signal and Streetlight Maintenance	Improves signal operations	98	Signals	Maintains signal infrastucture	\$ 262,400
4										\$ -
5										\$ -
6										\$ -
7										\$ -
8										\$ -
9										\$ -
10										\$ -
11										\$ -
12										\$ -
13										\$ -
14										\$ -
15										\$ -
16										\$ -
17										\$ -
18										\$ -
19										\$ -
20										\$ -
21										\$ -
22										\$ -
23										\$ -
24										\$ -
25										\$ -
Percentage of Capital vs Administrative Costs			ninistrative Costs	100%					TOTAL	\$ 369,511

5								\$ -
	Percei	ntage of Capital vs Adminis	strative Costs	100%			TOTAL	\$ 369,511
		a. Tota	al Capital	\$ 369,511			Match to Table 1?	TRUE
		b. Tota	al Administrative	\$ -				

If your agency did not expend greater than 50% of total costs on Capital Investments, explain how capital investments will increase in the future over Program Administration (outreach, staffing, administrative support). Indicate N/A if not applicable.