

**VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE
Annual Program Compliance Report
Reporting Fiscal Year 2020-2021**

AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

Agency Name: **City of Livermore**

Date: 12/17/2021

Primary Point of Contact

Name: Bob Vinn

Title: City Engineer

Phone: 925-960-4500

Email: bgvinn@cityoflivermore.net

Agency's Certification of True and Accurate Reporting by Submission

By submitting this Compliance Report to the Alameda County Transportation Commission, the submitting agency certifies the compliance information reported is true and complete to the best of their knowledge, and the dollar figures in the agency's Audited Financial Statement matches exactly to the revenues and expenditures reported herein.

Program Compliance Report Structure

This Reporting Form is broken into the following sections for the Vehicle Registration Fee Direct Local Distribution Programs applicable to the recipient agency.

- * **Cover - Agency Contact**
- * **General Compliance Reporting for all programs**
- * **Table 1 - Summary of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance**
- * **Table 2 - Detailed Summary of Expenditures and Accomplishments**

VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE
Annual Program Compliance Report Fiscal Year 2020-2021

TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF REVENUE, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

***DIRECTIONS:** Complete the sections below based on the VRF Audited Financial Statements, for the applicable DLD programs for your agency. Values must match financial statements and total reported expenditures on Table 2.*

A.VRF Direct Local Distribution Programs

	11/30/2021	
	Local Streets and Roads	Total
Beginning of Year Fund Balance	\$ 415,504	\$ 415,504
Revenue	\$ 419,593	\$ 419,593
Interest	\$ 1,368	\$ 1,368
Expenditures	\$ 1,656	\$ 1,656
<small>Expenditures Matches Table 2?</small>	<small>TRUE</small>	
End of Year Fund Balance	\$ 834,809	\$ 834,809
<i>Notes</i>		

**Local Streets and Roads (LSR) Direct Local Distribution Program
Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2020-21**

GENERAL COMPLIANCE REPORTING

1. What is agency's current Pavement Condition Index (PCI)?

PCI =

Use PCI from the most recent MTC's VitalSigns linked here:

<http://www.vitalsigns.mtc.ca.gov/street-pavement-condition>

If your PCI falls below a score of 60 (fair condition), specify what actions are being implemented to increase the PCI.

Indicate N/A, if not applicable.

N/A

2a. How much of the balance identified here is encumbered into active contracts and projects?

Encumbered value should be less than or equal to the available balance.

		\$ Encumbered	
VRF Balance	<input type="text" value="\$ 834,809"/>	<input type="text" value="\$ 91,500"/>	

2b. Why is there a fund balance? *Indicate N/A, if not applicable.*

To allow the City to complete a large dollar value project in FY 2021-22.

2c. Specify any large planned uses of fund balances within this program and their status i.e. planned or underway.

Project Title	Brief Project Description	DLD Amount	Project Status
Downtown Sidewalk & Misc. ADA Improvements 2019-21, CIP No. 2019-59	The project will enhance pedestrian accessibility and mobility and will include enhancements such as sidewalk reconstruction, pedestrian ramp installations, ADA parking spaces installation, signing, and pavement markings, etc.	\$ 1,010,000	Planned
		\$ -	
		\$ -	
		\$ -	

3. Confirm all expenditures were governing body approved (Yes/No).

4. Confirm the completion of the publicity requirements in the table below (Yes/No).

	Yes/No?	Copy of Article, website, signage Attached?	If applicable, briefly explain why the publicity requirement wasn't completed.
Article	Yes	Yes	
Website	Yes	Yes	
Signage	No	No	No VRF money was spent on capital projects in FY 2020-21

**Local Streets and Roads Direct Local Distribution Program
Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2020-21**

TABLE 2: DETAILED SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Provide a detailed summary of VRF Expenditures for the reporting fiscal year.
- Expenditure total must correspond to your Audited Financial Statements and Table 1 values

No.	Project Category <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Project Phase <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Project Type <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Primarily Capital or Administrative Expenditure?	Project Name	Project Description/Benefits	Quantity Completed in FY 20-21	Units for Quantity <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Additional description on units or expanded detail on expenditures, performance, accomplishments	VRF DLD Expenditures	
1				Administrative	Independent Audit Report	Independent Audit Report				\$ 1,656	
2		11/30/2021								\$ -	
3										\$ -	
4										\$ -	
5										\$ -	
6										\$ -	
7										\$ -	
8										\$ -	
9										\$ -	
10										\$ -	
11										\$ -	
12										\$ -	
13										\$ -	
14										\$ -	
15										\$ -	
16										\$ -	
17										\$ -	
18										\$ -	
19										\$ -	
20										\$ -	
21										\$ -	
22										\$ -	
23										\$ -	
24										\$ -	
25										\$ -	
Percentage of Capital vs Administrative Costs				0%						TOTAL	\$ 1,656
a. Total Capital				\$ -						Match to Table 1?	TRUE
b. Total Administrative				\$ 1,656							

If your agency did not expend greater than 50% of total costs on Capital Investments, explain how capital investments will increase in the future over Program Administration (outreach, staffing, administrative support). Indicate N/A if not applicable.

The City has budgeted \$1,010,000 in VRF funding for Project 2019-59, Downtown Sidewalk and Misc. ADA Improvements 2019-21, in FY 21-22 which will increase our capital spending vs. the administrative spending.