

ALAMEDA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Measure B and Measure BB
Direct Local Distribution Program
Performance Measures



A presentation to the Independent Watchdog Committee John Nguyen, Principal Transportation Planner November 2021

## **DLD Program Overview**



### ~\$300M Generated Through Voter-Approved Measures

- Over 50% of net revenues generated from the Measure B and Measure BB Programs are returned to source as "Direct Local Distributions" (DLDs)
- Twenty recipients (cities, transit agencies and the County)
- DLD Programs
  - > Bicycle/Pedestrian
  - Local Streets and Roads (local transportation)
  - Transit
  - > Special Transportation for Seniors and People with Disabilities (Paratransit)



Measure B/BB DLD Performance Measures

## 2014 TEP Implementation Guidelines

Attachment A

#### No.7 Annual Audits and IWC Review:

"The Independent Watchdog Committee will prepare an annual report on spending and progress in implementing the Plan that will be published and distributed throughout Alameda County.

On a periodic basis, the Independent Watchdog Committee will review the performance and benefit of projects and programs based on performance criteria established by Alameda CTC as appropriate."





Measure B/BB DLD Performance Measures

3

## 2014 TEP Implementation Guidelines

Attachment A

### No.11 Commitments from Fund Recipients:

"All recipients of funds allocated in this expenditure plan will be required to sign a Master Funding Agreement, which details their roles and responsibilities in spending sales tax funds and includes local hiring requirements. Funding agreements will include performance and accountability measures."





Measure B/BB DLD Performance Measures

## Performance Metric Development

### Industry Standards / Multi-Agency Consultation

- Federal Transit Agency (FTA) Triennial Performance Standards
- > Federal Transit Agency's National Transit Database
- California Transportation Development Act (TDA) performance audit metrics
- California Transportation Commission (CTC)
- Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC)
- Son Francisco County Transportation Authority
- San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA)
- Regional working groups (LSR and paratransit)
- Transit agencies and local cities

# 2. Technical Advisory Committee Input

- Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee (ACTAC)
- Paratransit Technical Advisory Committee (PTAC)
- Paratransit Advisory and Planning Committee (PAPCO)

# 3. Traditional Performance Monitoring Practices

- > FTA Transit Triennial Report
- Regional / Countywide Transportation Plan
- Program Compliance Reports
- Countywide Planning and Monitoring Reports
- State Reporting Requirements
- Congestion Management Agency responsibilities



Measure B/BB DLD Performance Measures

F

# **Industry Standard Performance Metrics**

### **Purpose of Performance Measures**

- 1. Uniform reporting data
- 2. Informs investment decisions across transportation assets or modes to maximize investments/available funding
- 3. Provide transparent accountability on use of public funds

#### **Best Practices Metrics**

#### Capital Improvements

- > Pavement Condition Index
- Capital vs administrative investments
- Quantifiable project accomplishments i.e. length of sidewalks, plans developed, length of street rehabilitation, number of pot holes repaired
- Qualitative accomplishments i.e. safety, network connectivity, gap closures, access improvements

#### Transit Programs

- Annual Ridership, passenger revenue hours
- Operating costs per trip or service
- On-time performance
- Travel time
- Number of individuals served / Number of registrants



Measure B/BB DLD Performance Measures

# Commission Approved Agreements and Performance Measures

#### On February 25, 2016: Commission Approved

- > Master Agreements, Performance Measures, and Guidelines
- Attachment B reflects the Commission Approved Performance Measures included in all recipient agreements

#### Performance Data and Monitoring Mechanisms

- Annual program compliance reporting process
- Annual paratransit program plan review
- Annual Alameda County performance report
- Triennial transit reviews (transit agencies)
- Regional and Countywide planning activities
- Congestion Management Agency responsibilities



Measure B/BB DLD Performance Measures

	EXHIBE	rc		
	PERFORMANCE MEA	SCHE SUM	MARY	
Direct Level Distribution tonis programs funded with Meanin professions or a re- nosipients, or applicable. Addit Profession compliance appropria program compliance appropria	B, Monnae BB, and/or V. bestion of performance star- tional performance occurren- done through Manuela CTI nessed performance report, a self by violated posiciolosity.	thick Regions dards that one may be stope to exporting; and various pl through the	tion For Seals. The at he discurrenced a corol by the Alaesa's processes including moving activities, as discusses included and	following a minimum by t a CTG: the arroad they are sequen
Assessment for effectiveness of BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIA PERFORMANCE MEASURE Followater Record	N PROGRAM	Evaluation Hoston & Method	Genetic Adia	Protessial Supermitted to Committee
Contract Marrier Phase Marrier is regime. Stoppholymoristic Marrier Trac d (3-42) your floring to come discharge.	Fig. () as done than 7 years of c, resed on silege or late.	Join de la Complesia Aspert	Any agreety recount a thickery plan is according to register and portrain satisfy along the salf-tracking to action or given up has action or given up has	spine 14
Inhomoreus Emmanaet Varides of Time Intern Line united Carpin State Line united Carpin State Line united Carpin State Line Line united Carpin Line Line Line Carpin Carpin Line Line Line Line Carpin Line Line Line Line Line Line Line Li	Barrey or well completed by control regional parties of completed by subsigned completed by subsigned to complete by subsigned to complete by subsigned subsidiary of control suppressions and complete by control complete by control cont	Annuly re Compliant Topos	COA, Separate distribution of the Coast Co	NGA.
Capital Project and Progress Inventores: Just an expensive excepted projects and projects by phase (Brogs, one, one and reptal aggress)	Investment in trigital temporal and congruence ground face forming programs accomplished (activative schilling with micrositive seggest)	Armdyna Corphan Zapor	Any openy sourcibing issues copied in restraints compared to other relative small regions and to addresses of the addresses of the addresses of the addresses of the	16/4

/

### **DLD Performance Measures**

DLD Program	Performance Measure	Performance Metric and Standard		
Bicycle/Pedestrian	Current Master Plans	Plan(s) no more than 5 years old, based on adoption date.		
	Capital Project and Program Investment	Investment into capital projects and programs is greater than funding program administration		
Local Streets and Roads	Capital Project and Program Investment	Investment into capital projects and programs is greater than funding program administration		
	Pavement State of Repair	Maintain a city-wide average Pavement Condition Index of 60 (Fair Condition) or above.		
	Maintain 15% of Measure BB LSR investments on Bicycle/Pedestrian Improvements	Maintain a 15% minimum Measure BB LSR investment to support bicycling and walking.		
Mass Transit	On-time Performance	Agencies are expected to maintain or increase on-time performance annually based on operator's adopted on-time performance target		
	Cost Effectiveness • Operating Cost per Passenger	Maintain operating cost per passenger or per revenue vehicle hour/mile		
Paratransit	Cost Effectiveness  Operating Cost per Passenger	Maintain cost per trip or per passengers Service types such as ADA mandated paratransit, door-to-door service, taxi programs, accessible van service, shuttle service, group trips		



Measure B/BB DLD Performance Measures

# **DLD Performance Monitoring**

### 1. Reporting and Monitoring Mechanisms

- > Annual Program Compliance Report
- > Specific Request for Information
- > Presentations from DLD recipients

#### 2. Annual Performance Evaluation

- > Monitor capital investments and DLD accomplishments
- > Monitor fund balances, expenditure and performance trends
- Review implementation plans, corrective action plans, jurisdictions' responses to inquiries, and planned uses of future DLD funds.



Measure B/BB DLD Performance Measures

9

# DLD Performance Monitoring (cont.)

#### 3. Performance Evaluation Considerations

- > Jurisdictions vary i.e. performance is not comparative to other DLD recipients
- DLD expenditures vary from year to year due to transportation needs, local priorities, delivery schedules
- > Changing and uncertain conditions in the real world



# Program Compliance Summary Report

- 1. Commission Report (June 24, 2021): Commission received the annual program compliance summary report, performance summary, and compliance determination for FY 2019-20.
  - > Staff Report and Presentation is available: <a href="https://www.alamedactc.org/events/alameda-ctc-commission-meeting-31/">https://www.alamedactc.org/events/alameda-ctc-commission-meeting-31/</a>
  - Attachment C contains the Commission Presentation and Performance Trends
- 2. IWC Report (July 12, 2021): IWC received the same program compliance report for MB/MBB
- 3. Recipient Reports and Program Summaries Publicly Availability on Website
  - > Recipients Audits/Compliance Reports Available: https://www.alamedactc.org/funding/reporting-and-grant-forms/
  - > Commission Reports and IWC are available on meeting calendar: https://www.alamedactc.org/all-meetings/
  - Summary reports available on Alameda CTC's website: https://www.alamedactc.org/news-publications/reports/



Measure B/BB DLD Performance Measures