



ALAMEDA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Measure B and Measure BB
Direct Local Distribution Program
Performance Measures






A presentation to the Independent Watchdog Committee
 John Nguyen, Principal Transportation Planner
 November 2021

DLD Program Overview

~\$300M Generated Through Voter-Approved Measures

- **Over 50% of net revenues** generated from the Measure B and Measure BB Programs are returned to source as “**Direct Local Distributions**” (DLDs)
- Twenty recipients (cities, transit agencies and the County)
- DLD Programs
 - Bicycle/Pedestrian
 - Local Streets and Roads (local transportation)
 - Transit
 - Special Transportation for Seniors and People with Disabilities (Paratransit)





Measure B/BB DLD Performance Measures

2

2014 TEP Implementation Guidelines

Attachment A

No.7 Annual Audits and IWC Review:

"The Independent Watchdog Committee will prepare an annual report on spending and progress in implementing the Plan that will be published and distributed throughout Alameda County.

On a periodic basis, the Independent Watchdog Committee will review the performance and benefit of projects and programs based on performance criteria established by Alameda CTC as appropriate."



2014 TEP Implementation Guidelines

Attachment A

No.11 Commitments from Fund Recipients:

"All recipients of funds allocated in this expenditure plan will be required to sign a Master Funding Agreement, which details their roles and responsibilities in spending sales tax funds and includes local hiring requirements. Funding agreements will include performance and accountability measures."



Performance Metric Development

1. Industry Standards / Multi-Agency Consultation

- Federal Transit Agency (FTA) Triennial Performance Standards
- Federal Transit Agency's National Transit Database
- California Transportation Development Act (TDA) performance audit metrics
- California Transportation Commission (CTC)
- Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC)
- Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA)
- San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA)
- Regional working groups (LSR and paratransit)
- Transit agencies and local cities

2. Technical Advisory Committee Input

- Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee (ACTAC)
- Paratransit Technical Advisory Committee (PTAC)
- Paratransit Advisory and Planning Committee (PAPCO)

3. Traditional Performance Monitoring Practices

- FTA Transit Triennial Report
- Regional / Countywide Transportation Plans
- Program Compliance Reports
- Countywide Planning and Monitoring Reports
- State Reporting Requirements
- Congestion Management Agency responsibilities



Industry Standard Performance Metrics

Purpose of Performance Measures

1. Uniform reporting data
2. Informs investment decisions across transportation assets or modes to maximize investments/available funding
3. Provide transparent accountability on use of public funds

Best Practices Metrics

Capital Improvements

- Pavement Condition Index
- Capital vs administrative investments
- Quantifiable project accomplishments i.e. length of sidewalks, plans developed, length of street rehabilitation, number of pot holes repaired
- Qualitative accomplishments i.e. safety, network connectivity, gap closures, access improvements

Transit Programs

- Annual Ridership, passenger revenue hours
- Operating costs per trip or service
- On-time performance
- Travel time
- Number of individuals served / Number of registrants



Commission Approved Agreements and Performance Measures

On February 25, 2016: Commission Approved

- Master Agreements, Performance Measures, and Guidelines
- Attachment B reflects the Commission Approved Performance Measures included in all recipient agreements

Performance Data and Monitoring Mechanisms

- Annual program compliance reporting process
- Annual paratransit program plan review
- Annual Alameda County performance report
- Triennial transit reviews (transit agencies)
- Regional and Countywide planning activities
- Congestion Management Agency responsibilities



DLD Performance Measures

DLD Program	Performance Measure	Performance Metric and Standard
Bicycle/Pedestrian	Current Master Plans	Plan(s) no more than 5 years old, based on adoption date.
	Capital Project and Program Investment	Investment into capital projects and programs is greater than funding program administration
Local Streets and Roads	Capital Project and Program Investment	Investment into capital projects and programs is greater than funding program administration
	Pavement State of Repair	Maintain a city-wide average Pavement Condition Index of 60 (Fair Condition) or above.
	Maintain 15% of Measure BB LSR investments on Bicycle/Pedestrian Improvements	Maintain a 15% minimum Measure BB LSR investment to support bicycling and walking.
Mass Transit	On-time Performance	Agencies are expected to maintain or increase on-time performance annually based on operator's adopted on-time performance target
	Cost Effectiveness <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Operating Cost per Passenger 	Maintain operating cost per passenger or per revenue vehicle hour/mile
Paratransit	Cost Effectiveness <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Operating Cost per Passenger 	Maintain cost per trip or per passengers Service types such as ADA mandated paratransit, door-to-door service, taxi programs, accessible van service, shuttle service, group trips



DLD Performance Monitoring

1. Reporting and Monitoring Mechanisms

- Annual Program Compliance Report
- Specific Request for Information
- Presentations from DLD recipients

2. Annual Performance Evaluation

- Monitor capital investments and DLD accomplishments
- Monitor fund balances, expenditure and performance trends
- Review implementation plans, corrective action plans, jurisdictions' responses to inquiries, and planned uses of future DLD funds.



DLD Performance Monitoring (cont.)

3. Performance Evaluation Considerations

- Jurisdictions vary i.e. performance is not comparative to other DLD recipients
- DLD expenditures vary from year to year due to transportation needs, local priorities, delivery schedules
- Changing and uncertain conditions in the real world



Program Compliance Summary Report

Reporting Fiscal Year 2019/20

1. **Commission Report (June 24, 2021):** Commission received the annual program compliance summary report, performance summary, and compliance determination for FY 2019-20.
 - Staff Report and Presentation is available: <https://www.alamedactc.org/events/alameda-ctc-commission-meeting-31/>
 - Attachment C contains the Commission Presentation and Performance Trends

2. **IWC Report (July 12, 2021):** IWC received the same program compliance report for MB/MBB

3. **Recipient Reports and Program Summaries Publicly Availability on Website**
 - Recipients Audits/Compliance Reports Available: <https://www.alamedactc.org/funding/reporting-and-grant-forms/>.
 - Commission Reports and IWC are available on meeting calendar: <https://www.alamedactc.org/all-meetings/>
 - Summary reports available on Alameda CTC's website: <https://www.alamedactc.org/news-publications/reports/>

