



**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
ALAMEDA CTC RFP NO. R21-0006
I-580 SUSTAINABLE CORRIDOR STRATEGY
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES**

June 29, 2021

The Alameda County Transportation Commission (Alameda CTC) invites you to submit a proposal to provide professional services for the Interstate 580 (I-580) Sustainable Corridor Strategy. The anticipated performance period is for an initial period of 24 months from February 2022 to February 2024, with options for up to 3 additional years of service.

This contract will be funded with Measure BB funds, or such funds in combination with other local funds. As such, the Alameda CTC Local Business Contract Equity (LBCE) Program requirements will apply. The LBCE Program's goals for professional services are 70.0% for Local Business Enterprise (LBE) and 30.0% for Small Local Business Enterprise (SLBE). This contract is not subject to the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program.

Responses should be submitted in accordance with the requirements and instructions set forth in the Request for Proposals (RFP). The RFP documents have been posted on the RFP Web Page below. To sign up for optional email notifications of important updates regarding this RFP only, please use the RFP Registration Form below.

The Optional Online Pre-Proposal Meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, July 13, 2021, at 3:00 p.m. Pacific Time (PT); use the below RFP Registration Form to register and the Pre-Proposal Meeting Link to attend.

Proposals are due on Tuesday, August 10, 2021, at 3:00 p.m. PT.

RFP Web Page: www.alamedactc.org/contracting-opportunities

RFP Registration Form: <https://forms.gle/zmc8a8PwZcEqJ7HF9>

Pre-Proposal Meeting Link:

https://zoom.us/j/94984824615?pwd=UTFCeHdtMU95T*ThCWlpRVk9OaVJjdz09

Reference Questionnaire: <https://forms.gle/HAAMxJ54HJcG69wA8>

Should you have any questions, please email Alastair Powell, the Sole Point of Contact for this RFP, at apowell@alamedactc.org. Thank you for your interest.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Carolyn Clevenger". The signature is fluid and cursive, written in a professional style.

Carolyn Clevenger
Deputy Executive Director of Planning and Policy

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



Alameda County Transportation Commission

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

**Alameda CTC RFP No. R21-0006
I-580 Sustainable Corridor Strategy
Professional Services**

Date Issued: Tuesday, June 29, 2021

Alameda County Transportation Commission
1111 Broadway, Suite 800
Oakland, California 94607

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
INTRODUCTION	1
SECTION I	3
1. GENERAL CONDITIONS	3
2. CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS	7
3. LOCAL BUSINESS CONTRACT EQUITY PROGRAM	8
SECTION II	9
1. RFP INFORMATION	9
2. PROPOSAL CONTENT AND FORMAT	11
3. PROPOSAL EVALUATION/CRITERIA	17
APPENDICES	20
A. REQUIRED SCOPE OF WORK, DELIVERABLES, AND STAFFING	21
BACKGROUND, APPROACH AND OUTCOMES	21
SCOPE OF WORK	22
B. REFERENCE MATERIALS	29
C. REQUIRED FORMS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE	30
D. RESOURCES FORM	31
E. INSURANCE REQUIREMENT FORM	32

INTRODUCTION

The Alameda County Transportation Commission (Alameda CTC) is a joint powers agency which plans, funds and delivers a broad spectrum of transportation projects and programs to enhance mobility throughout Alameda County, as the successor to three previous agencies: Alameda County Transportation Authority (ACTA), Alameda County Transportation Improvement Authority (ACTIA) and Alameda County Congestion Management Agency (ACCMA).

ACTA was created by the approval of Measure B by Alameda County voters in November 1986. Measure B authorized the imposition of a half-cent sales and use tax in the County for a period of 15 years, the proceeds of which were principally reserved for highway improvements, local transportation improvements, and transit funding in the County.

In November 2000, prior to the expiration of the first Measure B, the Alameda County Board of Supervisors placed a new Measure B on the ballot and Alameda County voters approved the measure with 81.5% support. The 2000 Measure B authorized the extension of the collection and expenditure of a half-cent sales tax for a period of 20 years, in order to address major transportation needs and congestion in Alameda County. ACTIA was instituted to administer the new Measure B funds, as detailed in the 2000 Transportation Expenditure Plan, including contract oversight, policy direction, financing, investment management, and coordinating projects with regional transit and transportation agencies and other Project Sponsors.

Although ACTA stopped collecting sales taxes in 2002, the agency continued its function to complete certain unfinished projects. On June 24, 2010, the ACTA Board adopted a resolution to transfer all of ACTA's assets, responsibilities, functions and liabilities to ACTIA, effective on July 1, 2010, and to terminate ACTA following the completion of the transfer process.

ACCMA was created in 1991 by a joint powers agreement between Alameda County and all its incorporated cities. ACCMA's goals, duties and composition enabled the local government to better address the complex problem of traffic congestion. ACCMA was responsible for planning, programming, and coordinating Federal, State, and Regional funds for transportation projects within Alameda County.

One such planning effort by the ACCMA evolved into a capital project, the Sunol Smart Carpool Lane Project. The Sunol Smart Carpool Lane Joint Powers Authority (Sunol JPA) was created in February 2006, pursuant to a Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement between ACCMA, ACTIA and the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA). The Sunol JPA was formed to plan, design and construct, and then administer the operation of a value pricing HOV program on the Sunol Grade segment of Interstate 680 in Alameda and Santa Clara Counties. The Sunol JPA began project operations when the I-680 Southbound Express Lane was opened to traffic on September 20, 2010. The Sunol JPA will also operate the I-680 Northbound Express Lane when the facility is opened to the general public in the near future. Alameda CTC serves as the Managing Agency for the Sunol JPA.

In early 2010, ACTIA, ACCMA, the County of Alameda, the fourteen incorporated cities within Alameda County, the Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART), and the Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District each took actions required to create a new joint powers agency known as Alameda CTC, which combined the roles of ACCMA and ACTIA.

On June 24, 2010, the Boards of ACTIA and ACCMA gave the final approval required to create Alameda CTC. After a transition period required to accomplish certain administrative matters, at the close of business on February 29, 2012, ACTIA and ACCMA were both terminated, and Alameda CTC was designated as the successor to both agencies. Alameda CTC is responsible for all of the

functions and responsibilities previously held by ACTA, ACTIA and ACCMA along with certain additional powers as described in the joint powers agreement establishing Alameda CTC. Combining forces as Alameda CTC allowed ACTIA and ACCMA to eliminate redundancies and create efficiencies in planning, programs and project delivery and streamlined legislative, policy and funding efforts.

On November 2, 2010, Alameda County voters approved Measure F, authorizing ACCMA to administer the proceeds from a Vehicle Registration Fee (“VRF”), starting with registrations effective July 2011 with collection beginning in May 2011.

On January 23, 2014, Alameda CTC approved the 2014 Transportation Expenditure Plan. The 30-year Expenditure Plan expands BART, bus and rail services within Alameda County, keep transit fares affordable for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities, provide traffic relief on city streets and highways using new technology, improve air quality, and create good quality, local jobs. On November 4, 2014, Alameda County voters approved Measure BB (supported by the 2014 Transportation Expenditure Plan), which augments and extends the existing transportation sales tax (Measure B) and provides nearly \$8 billion to fund implementation of the 30-year Expenditure Plan. Alameda CTC is responsible for administering the 2014 Transportation Expenditure Plan, including contract oversight, policy direction, financing, investment management, and coordinating projects with regional transit and transportation agencies and other project sponsors.

General information about Alameda CTC can be obtained by visiting www.alamedactc.org.

SECTION I

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

A. Governing Law

This RFP summarizes the applicable laws and governance; when in conflict, applicable State/Federal requirements shall apply. The contract and legal relations between the parties hereto shall be governed and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

B. Examination of Proposal Documents

By submitting a proposal, the proposer represents that it has thoroughly examined and become familiar with the work required under this RFP, and that it is capable of performing the work identified in [Appendix A](#) (Required Scope of Work, Deliverables, and Staffing).

C. Rights of Alameda CTC

This RFP does not commit Alameda CTC to enter into a contract, nor does it obligate Alameda CTC to pay for any costs incurred in preparation and submission of the proposal in anticipation of a contract. Alameda CTC also reserves the right to transfer certain services covered by this RFP in-house, to be performed by staff.

Alameda CTC may investigate the qualifications of any proposer, require confirmation of information furnished by the proposer, and/or require additional evidence or qualifications to perform the work described in this RFP from any proposer.

Further, Alameda CTC reserves the right to do each of the following, in any manner necessary to serve the best interests of Alameda CTC and the citizens of Alameda County:

1. Reject any or all proposal submittals.
2. Cancel this RFP in whole or in part.
3. Issue one or more subsequent Requests for Qualifications and/or RFPs.
4. Postpone opening of proposals for its own convenience.
5. Remedy technical errors in the RFP process.
6. Negotiate with any, all, or none of the proposers responding to this RFP.
7. Waive informalities and irregularities in any proposal.
8. Request additional information from proposer.
9. Issue Addenda to this RFP.

D. Work Scope Modifications

Alameda CTC reserves the right to request changes to staff and/or scope of work proposed in any of the proposals and to enter negotiations with any of the proposers regarding their submittal.

E. Pre-Award/Post-Award Audit

Alameda CTC reserves the right to perform pre-award and/or post-award audits.

The proposer shall be aware that if a pre-award audit is to be performed, full cooperation with the auditors is expected. The pre-award audit recommendations shall be incorporated into the contract.

If Alameda CTC permits the contract to be awarded based on a post-award audit requirement, the proposer shall agree to the following contract language below:

Consultant acknowledges that this Agreement and the cost proposal are subject to a post award audit. Based on any post award audit recommendations, the cost proposal and/or the total compensation figure shall be adjusted to conform to the audit recommendations. Consultant acknowledges and agrees that individual cost items identified in the audit report may be incorporated into this Agreement. Refusal by Consultant to incorporate interim audit or post award recommendations will be considered a breach of the Agreement and cause for termination of the Agreement.

F. Conflict of Interest

By submitting a proposal, proposer represents and warrants that, for the term of the resulting contract, no member, officer or employee of Alameda CTC, or of a public body within Alameda County or member or delegate to the Congress of the United States, during their tenure or for one year thereafter, shall have any direct interest in any such contract or any direct or material benefit arising therefrom, as defined in California Government Code Sections 1090 *et seq.* and 87100 *et seq.* Prohibited interests include interests of immediate family members, domestic partners, and their respective employers or prospective employers.

The proposer further warrants and represents that it presently has no interest and agrees that it will not acquire any interest that would present a conflict of interest under California Government Code Sections 1090 *et seq.* or 87100 *et seq.* during the performance of services under any contract resulting from this RFP and that it will not knowingly employ any person having such an interest. Violation of this provision may result in the contract being deemed void and unenforceable.

Proposers must provide a list of any potential conflicts of interest in working for Alameda CTC. This must include, but is not limited to, a list of your firm's clients who are cities in Alameda County, the County of Alameda, and/or transit or transportation agencies that operate and/or have projects in Alameda County, and a brief description of work for these clients. Identify any other clients that would pose a potential conflict of interest as well as a brief description of work you provide to these clients. This list must include all potential conflicts of interest within the year prior to the release of this RFP as well as current and future commitments to other projects.

On the contract resulting from this RFP, consultant key team members may be required to submit a California Fair Political Practices Commission (FPPC) Form 700: Statement of Economic Interests documenting potential financial conflicts of interest. For additional information, proposers should refer to the FPPC website at www.fppc.ca.gov/Form700.html.

After award, the successful proposer shall take all reasonable measures to preclude the existence or development of an organizational conflict of interest in connection with work performed under the agreement resulting from this and other Alameda CTC solicitations. An organizational conflict of interest occurs when, due to other activities, relationships, or contracts, a firm or person is unable, or potentially unable, to render impartial assistance or advice to Alameda CTC; a firm or person's objectivity in performing the contract work is or might be impaired; or a firm or person has an unfair competitive advantage in proposing for award of a contract as a result of information gained in performance of this or some other project.

Proposer shall not engage the services of any subconsultant or vendor on any work related to this RFP if the subconsultant or vendor, or any employee of the subconsultant or vendor, has an actual or apparent organizational conflict of interest related to work or services contemplated under this RFP.

If, at any time during the term of the contract resulting from this RFP, the consultant becomes aware of an organizational conflict of interest in connection with the work performed under such contract, the consultant shall immediately provide Alameda CTC with written notice of the facts and circumstances giving rise to this organizational conflict of interest. The consultant shall immediately notify Alameda CTC of any organizational conflict of interest, whether actual or apparent, in connection with any political campaign activities engaged by or involving its firm that is, or may be, related to and/or otherwise impact: Alameda CTC, its governing body, its projects and programs and/or its delivery of such project and programs. The consultant's written notice will also propose alternatives for addressing or eliminating the organizational conflict of interest.

G. Public Records

The RFP and any material submitted by a proposer in response to this RFP are subject to public inspection under the California Public Records Act (Government Code 6250 *et seq.*) unless exempt by law. The proposals will remain confidential until any resulting contract has been awarded and executed. Each proposer should give specific attention to the identification of those portions of its proposal that it considers to be confidential, proprietary commercial information or trade secrets, and provide justification as to why such materials, upon request, should not be disclosed by Alameda CTC under the Act.

If Alameda CTC receives a request pursuant to the Public Records Act for information marked by the proposer as "Trade Secret" or "Business Secret," and the requester takes legal action seeking release of the materials it believes do not constitute trade secret information, by submitting a proposal, proposer agrees to indemnify, defend and hold harmless Alameda CTC, its officers, agents and employees, from any judgment, fines, penalties, and award of attorney's fees awarded against Alameda CTC in favor of the party requesting the information, and any and all costs connected with that defense. This obligation to indemnify survives Alameda CTC's award of the contract. Proposer agrees that this indemnification survives as long as the trade secret information is in Alameda CTC's possession, which includes a minimum retention period for such documents.

Any language purporting to render the entire proposal or the cost proposal confidential or proprietary will be regarded as ineffective and will be deemed non-responsive.

H. Withdrawal of Proposal Submittal

A proposer may withdraw its proposal at any time before the expiration of the time for submission of proposal submittals as provided in this RFP by delivering to the Sole Point of Contact identified in [Section II.1.D](#) (Sole Point of Contact) a written request for withdrawal signed by, or on behalf of, the proposer's binding official as identified in the [Section II.2.B](#) (Letter of Transmittal) included within the proposal.

I. Proposal Protest Procedure

A proposer who submits, or who plans to submit, a proposal may protest pursuant to the protest procedures applicable to this RFP in accordance with this **Section I.1.J**. Any attempted protest which fails to comply with this section shall be deemed to be an invalid protest and automatically denied.

1. Protests based on the content of the RFP shall be filed with the Sole Point of Contact within five (5) calendar days after the RFP is issued. Alameda CTC shall issue a written decision on the protest prior to opening the proposals.

2. Protests alleging a denial of due process or fundamental unfairness in the determination of the short list of most qualified firms shall be filed with the Sole Point of Contact within five (5) calendar days after such determination has been made available to the proposer.
3. Protests alleging a denial of due process or fundamental unfairness in the determination of the top-ranked firm for contract award shall be filed with the Sole Point of Contact within five (5) calendar days after such determination has been made available to the proposer.
4. Any protest shall contain a full and complete written statement specifying in detail the grounds of the protest and the facts supporting the protest.
5. If a protest is timely filed with the Sole Point of Contact, Alameda CTC staff (potentially including consultants to Alameda CTC) will promptly initiate an investigation of the grounds of the protest. All proposers shall cooperate with any inquiries from Alameda CTC's staff and consultants relating to the protest.
6. At the conclusion of its investigation, Alameda CTC staff shall prepare a written report, including a decision regarding the disposition of the protest, and provide a copy of such report to the protestor. The staff decision shall be final and conclusive unless the protestor requests a hearing pursuant to Item 7 below within five (5) calendar days after issuance of the report.
7. In the case of protests based on the content of the RFP (Item 1 above), protesters may request to appear and be heard before the Planning, Policy and Legislative Committee (PPLC) and/or the Commission prior to the opening of proposals. In the case of protests alleging a denial of due process or fundamental unfairness in the selection process (Items 2 and 3 above), protesters may request to appear and be heard before one or more of the following, as determined by the Chair of the Commission at their discretion: (i) the Chair and/or Vice-Chair of the Commission, (ii) the PPLC and/or the Commission, or (iii) a committee appointed by the Chair of the Commission. The individual or body conducting the hearing shall make a determination and take final action on the protest.

J. Equal Employment Opportunity

Proposer and its subconsultants shall not, on the basis of race, religious creed, color, national origin, ancestry, physical disability, mental disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sex, gender, gender identity, gender expression, age, sexual orientation, or military and veteran status deny benefits to any person nor unlawfully discriminate, harass, or allow harassment against any employee or applicant for employment in any manner prohibited by Federal, State or local laws. In the event of non-compliance, Alameda CTC may cancel, terminate or suspend the contract in whole or in part. The proposer may also be declared ineligible for further contracts with Alameda CTC.

Proposer and its subconsultants shall take affirmative action to ensure that the evaluation and treatment of their employees and applicants for employment are free from such discrimination and harassment. Such action shall include, but not be limited to the following: employment, upgrading, demotion or transfer, recruitment or recruitment advertising, layoff or termination. Proposer and its subconsultants shall post in conspicuous places, available to all employees and applicants for employment, a notice setting forth the following provisions [29 USC § 623, 42 USC § 2000, 42 USC § 6102, 42 USC § 12112, 42 USC § 12132, 49 USC § 5332, 29 CFR Part 1630, 41 CFR Parts 60 *et seq.*].

K. Subconsultants

1. For the purposes of this RFP, “subconsultants” also refers to all firms, other than the prime consultant, proposed for performing work or incurring costs on the resulting contract; this includes all subconsultants, subcontractors and/or vendors, of any tier.
2. Nothing contained in this RFP and the resulting contract or otherwise, shall create any contractual relationship between Alameda CTC and any subconsultants, and no subcontract shall relieve the proposer of their responsibilities and obligations hereunder. The proposer agrees to be as fully responsible to Alameda CTC for the acts and omissions of its subconsultants and of persons either directly or indirectly employed by any of them as it is for the acts and omissions of persons directly employed by the proposer. The proposer’s obligation to pay its subconsultants is an independent obligation from Alameda CTC’s obligation to make payments to the proposer.
3. Any subcontract entered into as a result of this RFP shall contain all the provisions stipulated in this RFP and resulting contract to be applicable to subconsultants.
4. Proposer shall pay its subconsultants within ten (10) calendar days from receipt of each payment made to the proposer by Alameda CTC.
5. Any substitution of subconsultants must be approved in writing by the Alameda CTC Project Manager in advance of assigning work to a substitute subconsultant.

L. Public Contract Code

In accordance with Public Contract Code Sections 10162, 10232, 10285, and 2202-2208, the proposer shall include a Public Contract Code Statement in its Letter of Transmittal as identified in Section II.2.B.

M. Proposers’ Responsibility for Completeness and Accuracy of Proposal and Exhibits

Each proposer is fully responsible for ensuring that the entirety of its proposal, including but not limited to (i) all forms and certifications required pursuant to [Section II.2.H](#), and (ii) any portion of said proposal, forms, or certifications prepared or submitted by any proposed subconsultants, is complete and accurate.

2. CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS

The selected proposer will be required to sign Alameda CTC’s standard form professional services contract. The Alameda CTC Sample Professional Services Contract is available on the Alameda CTC website at www.alamedactc.org/contracting-forms and is incorporated herein as if attached. Alameda CTC reserves the right to substitute and/or modify the Alameda CTC Sample Professional Services Contract prior to contract execution with acceptance by both parties.

A. Exceptions to the Alameda CTC Sample Professional Services Contract

As noted above, proposers shall be prepared to accept the terms and conditions of Alameda CTC’s standard form contract. If a proposer desires to take exception to the terms of the standard form contract, the proposer shall provide the following information as a section of the proposal identified as Exceptions to the Alameda CTC Sample Professional Services Contract Form ([Appendix C](#)):

1. Proposer shall clearly identify each proposed change to the contract, including all relevant Exhibits and Attachments.
2. Proposer shall furnish the reasons therefore as well as specific recommendations for alternative language.

Only exceptions that are included in the submitted proposals will be considered. No additional exceptions after proposal submissions will be considered during contract negotiations. Substantial exceptions to the contract may be determined by Alameda CTC, at its sole discretion, to be unacceptable and Alameda CTC will proceed with negotiations with the next highest ranked firm. See [Section II.3.F](#) (Award).

B. Invoicing Requirements

The selected proposer will be required to submit invoice forms as specified in Alameda CTC's standard professional services contract including any revisions.

C. Insurance Requirements

The selected proposer will be required to maintain insurance coverage, during the term of the contract, at the levels described in [Appendix E](#) (Insurance Requirement Form). Proposer agrees to provide the required certificates of insurance providing verification of the minimum insurance requirements listed in [Appendix E](#), within ten (10) calendar days of the execution of the contract arising from this RFP. Requests for waivers to Alameda CTC's insurance requirements should be submitted with the proposal using the form provided in [Appendix E](#). Alameda CTC will review any insurance exception requests from the selected proposer, and issue waivers at its discretion if the changes requested are determined to be acceptable. Compliance with all material insurance requirements will be assumed.

D. Cooperation

After contract award, the selected consultant shall carry out the instructions as received from Alameda CTC, which may include cooperation with Alameda CTC staff and members of its governing body (the Commission).

E. Identification of Documents

All reports and other documents completed as part of the resulting contract shall recognize the appropriate participating funds. Specific details will be provided to the successful proposer.

3. LOCAL BUSINESS CONTRACT EQUITY PROGRAM

This contract will be funded in whole or in part by Alameda CTC VRF, Measure B and/or Measure BB funds, or such funds in combination with other local funds; consequently, Alameda CTC's Local Business Contract Equity (LBCE) Program will apply. The LBCE Program establishes goals for award of professional service contracts to firms located within Alameda County. For professional services, the LBCE Program has a Local Business Enterprise (LBE) goal of 70.0% and a Small Local Business Enterprise (SLBE) goal of 30.0%. Further details regarding this program, including requirements and forms, can be found on the Alameda CTC website at www.alamedactc.org/contract-equity, as well as lists of all currently certified LBE, SLBE, and Very Small Local Business Enterprise (VSLBE) firms. It is the responsibility of qualified firms which desire to work on contracts subject to the LBCE Program to ensure that they are appropriately certified by Alameda CTC on a timely basis. The prime contractor will be required to report LBE, SLBE, and VSLBE utilization with each invoice for payment.

Alameda CTC's Certification Team is available to assist firms in meeting the LBE and SLBE goals:

Contact:	Certification Team	Mailing Address:	Alameda CTC
Telephone:	(510) 208-7460		c/o Certification Team
Fax:	(510) 893-6489		1111 Broadway, Suite 800
Email:	certification@alamedactc.org		Oakland, California 94607

All questions regarding certification should be directed to the Certification Team. Alameda CTC, upon the recommendation of the Certification Team, shall determine if the applicant meets the certification requirements. Certification applications should be submitted to the Certification Team at the above address. All firms utilized to meet an LBE and/or SLBE goal must be certified by the time of proposal submission, and proof of certification must be submitted with the proposal. Applications for LBE and/or SLBE certification will not be accepted with the proposal.

SECTION II

1. RFP INFORMATION

A. RFP Description

Alameda CTC is seeking professional services to conduct the I-580 Sustainable Corridor Strategy from the Bay Bridge to the San Joaquin County line. The strategy will focus on current policy goals of reducing vehicle-miles travelled, implementing complete corridors, improving multimodal safety, improving transit performance, and advancing equity. It is an opportunity to develop recommendations that could have transformative effects on access and mobility along I-580 and key parallel arterials and identify new ways to comprehensively serve demand in this heavily utilized corridor.

Strategy development and prioritization is anticipated to include express bus service expansion, bus and vanpool priority infrastructure, multimodal safety and connections on parallel arterials and to/from rail stations, park & ride opportunities, Transportation Demand Management (TDM) programs and partnerships, and electrification and low-emission vehicle and fueling opportunities. The strategy should build upon existing studies and plans and identify opportunities to leverage previous work in order to efficiently complete assessments and strategy evaluation; a significant share of effort should be focused on the final step of developing implementation details related to the most promising strategies. Implementation details for the recommended strategies could include express bus service plans, conceptual plans for multimodal facilities along parallel arterials, conceptual plans for transit-priority lanes on I-580 and/or transit priority infrastructure connecting to/from communities, recommendations for TDM programs, and/or a plan for deploying and supporting zero-emission medium-and heavy-duty vehicles along the corridor. Proposers are encouraged to demonstrate qualifications across these topic areas as well as an approach to efficiently completing the scope of work.

B. Scope of Work

1. **Scope of Work, Deliverables, and Staffing** – The work to be performed under contract based on this RFP is described in [Appendix A](#) (Required Scope of Work, Deliverables, and Staffing) attached hereto and hereby incorporated herein.
2. **Period of Performance** – The anticipated period of performance for this contract will be for an initial period of 24 months, from February 4, 2022 to February 4, 2024, with the option to continue for 3 additional years of service through February 4, 2027.

3. **Method of Payment** – Compensation for this contract is anticipated to be based on specific rates of compensation, but is subject to the terms and conditions established as a result of negotiations.

C. Reference Materials

Refer to [Appendix B](#) for reference materials (e.g., project reports, maps, diagrams, etc.).

D. Sole Point of Contact

The Sole Point of Contact for all purposes of this procurement is as follows. Email inquiries (where permissible under the terms of this RFP) and proposal submissions shall be directed to the Sole Point of Contact:

Name:	Alastair Powell	Mailing Address:	Alameda CTC
Title:	Associate Administrative Analyst		1111 Broadway, Suite 800
Email:	apowell@alamedactc.org		Oakland, California 94607
Telephone:	(510) 208-7438		

E. Pre-Proposal Meeting (Optional)

An optional, but recommended, pre-proposal meeting will be held online as indicated in [Table 1](#) (RFP Schedule).

Prospective proposers do not have to participate in this pre-proposal meeting in order to submit a proposal to this RFP; however, participation by potential proposers and subconsultants is highly recommended and strongly encouraged.

Consultants planning to participate are requested to register for the pre-proposal meeting using the RFP Registration Form identified in the cover letter of this RFP by 5:00 p.m. the day prior to the pre-proposal meeting. Participants may join the pre-proposal meeting using the Pre-Proposal Meeting Link identified in the cover letter of this RFP.

F. Addenda/Clarifications

It is the proposer’s responsibility to check for and review all addenda issued and posted by Alameda CTC on the RFP Web Page identified in the cover letter of this RFP. Proposers may sign up for email notifications of important updates about this RFP, as well as register for the pre-proposal meeting, using the RFP Registration Form identified in the cover letter of this RFP.

Explanations or clarifications desired by potential proposers regarding the meaning or interpretation of the RFP may be requested by the questions submission deadline, in writing via email to the Sole Point of Contact (as identified in [Section II.1.D](#)) with subject line “Questions – Alameda CTC RFP No. R21-0006.” Responses to questions submitted by the deadline that may have a material impact on the proposal will be posted on the RFP Web Page identified in the cover letter of this RFP, as well as provided to firms registered to receive email notifications of important updates about this RFP.

G. RFP Schedule

Any reference in this RFP to a specific time shall refer to Pacific Time. All dates and times are subject to change at the discretion of Alameda CTC.

TABLE 1: RFP SCHEDULE

ACTIVITY	DATE/TIME
RFP issued.	June 29, 2021
Optional Pre-Proposal Meeting held online. By 5:00 p.m. the day before the meeting, please register using the RFP Registration Form and join using the Pre-Proposal Meeting Link, both identified in the cover letter of this RFP.	July 13, 2021 3:00 p.m.
Deadline for proposers to submit questions. All questions must be directed by email to the Sole Point of Contact.	July 21, 2021 3:00 p.m.
Final Addendum issued, if necessary. Proposers may sign up for RFP email notifications using the RFP Registration Form identified in the cover letter of this RFP.	July 28, 2021
Due Date for Proposals and Reference Questionnaires. LATE SUBMISSIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED. References shall submit the web-based Reference Questionnaire (identified in the cover letter of this RFP) by or before this deadline.	August 10, 2021 3:00 p.m.
Anticipated Proposal Review. Selection Review Panel evaluates proposals and develops short list of firms to interview, if necessary.	September 22, 2021
Interviews, if necessary.	October 6, 2021
Anticipated Final Evaluation and Determination of Top-Ranked Firm.	October 8, 2021
Contract Award Request to the Alameda CTC Commission	January 20, 2022
Anticipated Contract Commencement.	February 4, 2022

2. PROPOSAL CONTENT AND FORMAT

A. General Instructions

By the proposal due date, interested proposers shall submit:

- **Technical Proposal** (written proposal, **without cost proposal or cost elements**): the complete set of electronic files comprising the proposal in PDF format, except the Resources Form ([Appendix D](#)) which shall be provided in source (i.e., XLS/XLSX) **and** PDF formats. All PDF documents must be formatted with Optical Character Recognition to ensure text is searchable and may be copied.
- **Cost Proposal (in a separate digital folder** from the technical proposal and labeled “Cost Proposal,” as identified in [Section II.2.E.5](#)): the complete set of electronic files comprising the cost proposal in source (i.e., XLS/XLSX) **and** PDF formats.

Electronic files shall be sent via email attachment or file sharing service. Files should be clearly named. Proposers should allow sufficient delivery time to ensure timely receipt by Alameda CTC. Late submittals will not be accepted. Submissions transmitted by facsimile or hard copies will not be accepted.

The files must be submitted to the Sole Point of Contact at the email address identified in [Section II.1.D](#) (Sole Point of Contact) in an email with a subject line containing the RFP number and title and with the body containing the proposer’s name, address, and telephone number.

Proposals must be typed with a minimum 12-point font and submitted on 8½” x 11” pages with at least 1” margins. The font requirement applies to all text except for charts, tables and graphs. Graphs, diagrams or organizational charts could be shown on 11” x 17” pages. An 8½” x 11”

page counts as one page and an 11” x 17” page counts as two pages. Proposals shall not exceed 16 pages, excluding sections or content as indicated in [Table 2](#) (Page Limit Requirements).

Proposal content and completeness are most important. Clarity, organization, and conciseness are essential and will be considered in assessing the proposer’s capabilities. Proposals should only contain relevant information pertaining to the response of this RFP; marketing materials should not be included, unless appropriate. When organizing required forms and certifications, please collate by form type rather than by firm; e.g., an appendix for Debarment and Suspension Certification forms from all firms, with the prime consultant first, then in alphabetical order by firm name. If there is any reason that specific items are incomplete or not provided, proposers must include a justification for each item explaining why it was incomplete or not provided.

TABLE 2: PAGE LIMIT REQUIREMENTS

SECTION OR CONTENT	INCLUDED IN PAGE LIMIT
Proposal Covers	–
Letter of Transmittal (Section II.2.B)	–
Title Page (Section II.2.C)	–
Table of Contents (Section II.2.D)	–
Proposal Content (Section II.2.E) (Exceptions listed below)	✓
Proposal Content (Section II.2.E) (Exceptions to page limit) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detailed Schedule (Section II.2.E.1) • Resources Form (Appendix D) • Cost Proposal (Section II.2.E.5) 	–
Performance Measures (Section II.2.F)	✓
References (Section II.2.G)	–
Required Forms and Certifications (Section II.2.H)	–
Resumes	– Resumes may be provided in an appendix to the proposal but may not exceed 2 pages each.
Design Samples (Optional and as applicable)	–
Writing Samples (Optional and as applicable)	–

B. Letter of Transmittal

An officer authorized to bind the proposer’s firm must sign the transmittal letter. The transmittal letter must include the following content and language:

1. Full list of the project team (including proposer and all subconsultants, subcontractors and/or vendors, of any tier) and detail any proposed joint venture arrangements such as revenue/profit sharing:
 - a. *Company (LBE, SLBE, and/or VSLBE status, if any) – arrangements detail (if any)*
2. Selection Process Lead (the primary point of contact during the selection process):
 - a. *English honorific prefix (e.g., Mr./Ms.), Name, Title*
 - b. *Mailing address*
 - c. *Telephone number*
 - d. *Email address*

3. Public Contract Code Statement (**no modification to this statement is allowed**):

Our firm (the “Prime Proposer”), hereby certifies under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States that neither Prime Proposer nor any subconsultant and/or subcontractor hereunder, nor any firm affiliated with Prime Proposer or any subconsultant and/or subcontractor hereunder, is (i) potentially subject to rejection of any bid pursuant to the provisions of Public Contract Code (“PCC”) section 10162; (ii) unable to truthfully sign a statement in the form required by PCC section 10232; (iii) suspended or potentially subject to suspension pursuant to PCC section 10285.1; (iv) ineligible to bid on a contract with a public entity for goods and services of \$1 million or more pursuant to PCC sections 2202-2208; or (v) not in compliance with any federal, state, and local laws and ordinances applicable to the work. Prime Proposer further represents and warrants that no portion of the work under the resulting agreement shall be subcontracted to or otherwise performed by any subconsultant and/or subcontractor that meets any of the criteria set forth in subsections (i)-(v) above.

4. This letter is signed by an officer that is authorized to bind the proposer’s firm contractually and includes the following language:

This proposal is a binding offer to contract with Alameda CTC according to the requirements of this RFP for a period of one hundred twenty (120) calendar days from the proposal due date.

C. Title Page

The title page must include the RFP number and title, the proposer’s firm and contact information (local address and telephone number), contact person and contact information (name, email, and telephone number), and the date.

D. Table of Contents

Provide a table of contents that includes a clear identification of the material by section and page number.

E. Proposal Content

Proposals must contain the following sections:

1. **Understanding the Required Scope of Work.** By presentation of a well-conceived work plan, this section of the proposal shall (i) establish that the proposer understands Alameda CTC’s objectives and work requirements and (ii) describe the proposer’s ability to satisfy those objectives and requirements. Additional items included that are not specifically requested in the RFP must be clearly described as “optional tasks” in this section and should also be included as separate line items in the cost proposal.
 - a. Succinctly describe the proposed approach for addressing the required work and the rationale for the implementation approach. Outline the activities that would be undertaken in completing the various tasks (including subtasks) and specify who would perform them. Include any underlying assumptions.
 - b. Provide a timetable for completing all work specified in [Appendix A](#) (Required Scope of Work, Deliverables and Staffing). Include, as a proposal appendix, a Detailed Schedule to support each task contained in the Scope of Work and to demonstrate your firm’s ability to provide services in an effective manner. The Detailed Schedule should show the expected sequence of tasks and subtasks for Tasks 1-8, including

durations for the performance of each task, subtask, milestones, submittal dates and review periods for each submittal. Schedule information for the two On-Call tasks may be generally described at this time.

- c. Demonstrate understanding of, and identify, the key risks and challenges for delivering the project, and discuss risk mitigation measures for the aforementioned.
2. **Expertise.** This section shall describe how your firm’s expertise will be practically applied to fulfill the Scope of Work, including areas of consideration and how your firm will implement the contract.
 - a. Provide representation of work performed by your firm that are similar in nature to this project or elements of this project.
 - b. Discuss specific qualifications and technical expertise of the firm.
 - c. Identify any technical and/or procedural innovations that have been used successfully on other projects which may facilitate the performance of services and which may not have been specifically stated in this RFP.
3. **Management Plan.** This section shall describe methods of project management.
 - a. Describe methods of planning, scheduling, and delivering tasks. Discuss coordination meeting strategies and how the team will provide up-to-date and accurate information to Alameda CTC for the duration of the contract.
 - b. Describe how management of the team members and subconsultants will be handled. Discuss management of budgetary controls and avoiding exceeding resources allocated for specific tasks.
4. **Staffing Plan and Availability.** This section shall include an organizational chart and identify key team members. Key team members, also referred to as “key personnel” herein, denotes individuals from any firm on the proposer team that have key roles and responsibilities and are expected to remain the same throughout the duration of the contract.
 - a. Designate (i) the principal-in-charge and the project manager who will serve as Alameda CTC’s key contacts throughout the duration of the contract, and (ii) the key team members for your proposed team.
 - b. Describe the qualifications and expertise of the proposed team, including all subconsultants, in providing services for clients comparable to Alameda CTC. Full resumes may be included in the proposal appendices with the expectation that key team members will remain the same throughout the duration of the contract.
 - c. Proposers must also complete a Resources Form ([Appendix D](#)) and include as a proposal appendix.
5. **Cost Proposal.** Proposer shall submit, in XLS/XLSX and PDF formats, the following in a separate digital folder clearly labeled “Cost Proposal”:
 - a. The overall price and budget, showing the level of effort and cost breakdown by tasks identified in the scope.
 - The budget should include an estimate of hours for each task and subtask, for all team members, along with their billing rates.
 - **Billing rates must be broken down into direct salary, fringe, overhead, annual escalation, and profit rates, if any.** Note that for this contract, the escalation rates may not exceed 3% and the profit rates may not exceed 8-10%.

To justify and support a profit rate exceeding 8%, consideration will be given based on the complexity of the work to be performed, the risk borne by the consultant, the amount of subcontracting, and industry profit rates in the surrounding geographical area for similar work.

- **Unit costs and non-labor expenses (direct expenses) must be itemized and broken down to show quantity and unit price.**
- b. Provide cost breakdown by subconsultants, if any, and goal attainability, based on current certification at time of proposal submission.
- The LBCE worksheet of the cost proposal must be accurate and consistent with the Breakdown of Costs and Expense Detail worksheets and will be the basis for determining the percentage of participation toward each goal, excluding costs for any optional task(s) and/or phase(s).
 - Only the value of the work actually performed by LBE, SLBE and/or VSLBE firms will be counted towards the applicable goals. **Alameda CTC will count expenditures to LBE, SLBE and/or VSLBE firms that perform a Commercially Useful Function per the proposal.** This includes the cost of equipment, supplies, and materials.
- c. Any additional tasks that are not specifically requested in the RFP must be clearly described as “optional tasks” and shown as On-Call Tasks line items.

The top-ranked proposer will be required to participate in negotiations which may result in revisions to the proposal. Also see Section II.1.B(3) (Method of Payment).

Additionally, prior to award of a contract, the successful proposer may be required to submit the firm’s most recent complete financial statements, including footnotes and auditor’s opinion or other financial instrument that would establish the firm’s ability to complete the obligations of the contract resulting from this solicitation.

F. Performance Measures

Provide a list of proposed performance measures that could be used during the course of the contract for Alameda CTC to evaluate the firm’s deliverables and services performed. If selected, these will be negotiated with Alameda CTC during contract negotiations and final performance measures will be incorporated into a professional services contract.

G. References

Proposer shall ensure that Alameda CTC receives a minimum of three (3) completed Reference Questionnaires from appropriate client references for each key team member on the proposal, related to previous projects similar to this project, or elements of this project, on which the key team member had significant involvement within the past five (5) years. None of the references shall be from Alameda CTC. The proposer is responsible for communicating to the referenced party the instructions and requirements for this process as outlined in this RFP, including the deadline for which Reference Questionnaires (identified in the cover letter of this RFP) are due to be submitted online, as indicated in [Table 1](#) (RFP Schedule).

The proposer shall additionally include, as an appendix to the proposal, reference project information for previous projects similar to this project, or elements of this project, on which its key team members had significant involvement within the past five (5) years, including but not limited to the following:

1. Project Owner
2. Project Title
3. Project Phase
4. Project Location
5. Project Description
6. Project Duration (i.e., months or years, start and end dates)
7. Total Contract Amount
8. Key Team Member’s Firm and its Role in the Project
9. Key Team Member’s Role in the Project
10. Attachments (optional; i.e., any other reference materials, such as project fact sheets or resumes)

Such appendix will not count toward the page count limit.

H. Forms and Certifications

Proposers **must** provide completed forms in their submittal as required in Table 3 and the RFP [Appendices](#). Please collate by form type rather than by firm; e.g., an appendix for Debarment and Suspension Certification forms from all firms, with the prime consultant first, then in alphabetical order by firm name. If there is any reason that specific items are incomplete or not provided, proposers must include a justification for each item explaining why it was incomplete or not provided.

TABLE 3: REQUIRED FORMS AND CERTIFICATIONS

FORMS AND CERTIFICATIONS	SEPARATE DIGITAL FOLDER	REQUIRED FOR PRIME CONSULTANT	REQUIRED FOR SUBS*
Section I.3 – LBE, SLBE, and/or VSLBE Proof of Certification	–	✓	✓
Section II.2.B – Letter of Transmittal: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full list of the project team • Selection Process Lead • Public Contract Code Statement • 120-Day Binding Offer Statement • Signed by an authorized officer 	–	✓	–
Section II.2.G – Reference Project Information Note that Reference Questionnaires should be received by Alameda CTC directly, and are thus not part of the proposal submittal.	–	✓	If Applicable
Appendix C – Exceptions to the Alameda CTC Sample Professional Services Contract Form	–	Optional	–

FORMS AND CERTIFICATIONS	SEPARATE DIGITAL FOLDER	REQUIRED FOR PRIME CONSULTANT	REQUIRED FOR SUBS*
Appendix C – Cost Proposal Form B: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • B1: Breakdown of Costs • B2: Cost Summary • B3: Contact Information by Firm • B4: LBCE Program Participation Summary • B5: Contract Cost Basis • B6: Budget by Firm • B7: Rates by Firm • B8: Unit Costs by Firm 	✓	✓	✓ Include in Prime Consultant's Cost Proposal Form B
Appendix C – Certification of Financial Management System and Contract Costs (Caltrans LAPM Exhibit 10-K) (required unless firm is eligible for, and requesting, a Safe Harbor Rate)	✓	✓	✓ Required for all firms
Appendix C – Safe Harbor Rate Application (Caltrans Form)	✓	If applicable and eligible	If applicable and eligible
Appendix D – Resources Form in its entirety: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key Personnel Availability • Firm Participation Summary • Task Resource Summary • Alameda CTC Contracts Summary • Potential Conflicts of Interest 	–	✓	✓ Include in Prime Consultant's Resources Form
Appendix E – Insurance Requirement Form	–	✓	✓ Include in Prime Consultant's Insurance Requirement Form

* Required for subs (subconsultant, subcontractor, vendor, etc.) of any tier.

3. PROPOSAL EVALUATION/CRITERIA

A. Review for General Responsiveness

Alameda CTC staff, in consultation with Alameda CTC legal counsel if deemed necessary, will conduct an initial review of the proposals for general responsiveness and compliance with requirements of this RFP. Proposals failing to satisfy the requirements in this RFP will not be considered.

Any proposal that does not include enough information to permit the Selection Review Panel to rate the proposals in any one of the evaluation factors listed below will be considered non-responsive. A proposal that fails to include one or more items requested in [Section II.2](#) (Proposal Content and Format), may be considered complete and generally responsive, if evaluation in every criterion is possible.

Alameda CTC reserves the right to request additional information from **responsible and responsive** proposers prior to evaluation.

B. Proposal Evaluation

A Selection Review Panel, which may be comprised of staff from Alameda CTC and representatives from outside agencies, will evaluate responsive proposals. The Selection Review Panel will then establish a short list of the most qualified firms based on the following Proposal Criteria, and the Sole Point of Contact shall schedule interviews with the firms on the short list, if deemed necessary.

C. Proposal Criteria – 100 Points Possible

The result of the selection process will be a recommendation that Alameda CTC award the contract to the top-ranked proposer, as determined by the Selection Review Panel. The following criteria and point system will be used to evaluate and rank the proposals:

TABLE 4: PROPOSAL CRITERIA

PROPOSAL CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS
1. Understanding the Required Scope of Work. Understanding of the RFP objectives, project needs, appropriate deliverables, schedule in accordance with the Scope of Work, and key risks and challenges as well as approach and mitigation measures.	30
2. Expertise. Qualifications and technical expertise of the proposer in performing related work, and proposer’s experience in working with this scope and with public agencies and familiarity with the related process.	30
3. Management Plan. Methods and strength of project management, including project communication, schedule and budget control, and quality assurance and quality control.	15
4. Staffing Plan and Availability. Appropriate staffing and organization of proposed team; qualifications and technical expertise of the team, particularly the project manager; key personnel’s level of involvement in performing related work; and assessments by client references as available. Capacity and ability to provide quality personnel in a timeframe that meets the needs of the contract and project.	15
5. Ability to Meet or Exceed Applicable LBE and SLBE Goals – As further described in Section I.3 (Local Business Contract Equity Program) this RFP and the resulting contract are subject to the LBCE Program established by Alameda CTC. The percentage of participation toward each goal shall be based on the cost proposal, excluding costs for any optional task(s) and/or phase(s). Such excluded costs may be shown as On-Call Tasks/Deliverables line items in the submitted Cost Proposal Form B.	10 (5 for each goal)
Total:	100

D. Proposer Interviews

If the Selection Review Panel determines that interviews are not necessary, proposers will be ranked based on the Selection Review Panel’s evaluation of the technical proposals. Otherwise,

the Selection Review Panel will establish a short list of proposers based on the evaluation and ranking of the proposals, and Alameda CTC will select proposers from the short list for an interview. The final evaluation to select the top-ranked proposer will be based solely on the interview criteria below and will not include the initial evaluation or ranking based on the proposal criteria above. The principal-in-charge, project manager and key team members should plan to attend the interview.

E. Proposer Interview Criteria – 100 Points Possible

The interview, if applicable, will be evaluated and ranked by the Selection Review Panel using the following criteria and point system:

TABLE 5: INTERVIEW CRITERIA

INTERVIEW CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS
1. Understanding the Required Scope of Work.	25
2. Expertise.	25
3. Management Plan.	20
4. Staffing Plan and Availability.	10
5. Ability to Meet or Exceed Applicable LBE and SLBE Goals , as further described in Section I.3 ; the percentage of participation toward each goal will be as calculated under Section II.3.C (Proposal Criteria).	10 (5 for each goal)
6. Effectiveness of Interview. Overall interview discussions and presentation.	10
Total:	100

F. Award

The selection of the consultants shall be fair, open, and competitive using a qualifications-based selection process, and will be based on clearly stated objectives identified in this RFP and on demonstrated competence, professional qualifications, experience, and capabilities to perform the required scope of services identified in [Appendix A](#) (Required Scope of Work, Deliverables, and Staffing). Proposers will be evaluated based on the accuracy and completeness of their submittal and against the qualifications and other requirements listed in this RFP.

The Selection Review Panel will recommend award to the proposer with the highest average ranking based on the Selection Review Panel’s evaluation using the Proposer Interview Criteria in [Section II.3.E](#) and will not include the initial evaluation or ranking of the technical proposals. If the Selection Review Panel determines that interviews are not necessary, proposers will be ranked based on the technical proposals. The top-ranked firm may be required to submit a revised cost proposal and/or technical or other revisions to its proposal as a result of negotiations with Alameda CTC. If negotiations with the top-ranked proposer are ultimately unsuccessful, or if the proposer declines the work offered, then negotiations will proceed with the second highest ranked proposer from the proposal list, and so forth.

APPENDICES

A. REQUIRED SCOPE OF WORK, DELIVERABLES, AND STAFFING	21
B. REFERENCE MATERIALS	29
C. REQUIRED FORMS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE	30
D. RESOURCES FORM	31
E. INSURANCE REQUIREMENT FORM	32

A. REQUIRED SCOPE OF WORK, DELIVERABLES, AND STAFFING

BACKGROUND, APPROACH AND OUTCOMES

Background

The I-580 corridor is a significant corridor in Alameda County serving interregional and intercounty travel. This corridor is part of the National Primary Highway Freight Network, connecting the Port of Oakland with agricultural producers and warehousing in the Central Valley, and serving as a key delivery corridor for Alameda County consumers and businesses. It is a core Alameda County travel corridor, carrying significant intra-county flows serving many key population and employment centers. Several of our major transit service providers rely heavily on the interstate itself as well as its parallel arterials including AC Transit Transbay routes, Livermore Amador Valley Transit Authority (LAVTA) express and rapid routes, and major rail operators provide parallel rail services, including Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART), Altamont Corridor Express (ACE) in East County, and the planned Valley Link project. Additionally, I-580 interchanges have been highlighted as bottlenecks and key barriers in communities for local bicycle and pedestrian networks.

Given the corridor’s significance, there are many existing and previous studies and plans along and around this corridor. Key efforts, including their status, include the following (links are provided in Attachment B):

- A managed lanes feasibility assessment from the Bay Bridge to I-238 called a “Design Alternatives Assessment” (completed by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) in partnership with Alameda CTC and presented to the Alameda CTC Commission in September 2019),
- A Project Study Report for the I-580/I-680 Interchange (completed in 2009),
- The I-580 Express Lanes implementation in the Tri-Valley and its After Study (presented to the Alameda CTC Commission in September 2018 and finalized for the state legislature),
- Project development of Valley Link (currently underway, led by the Tri-Valley – San Joaquin Valley Regional Rail Authority (TVSJRRRA)),
- San Joaquin County I-205 Managed Lane Project (currently underway, led by San Joaquin Council of Governments and Caltrans District 10), and
- Study of a transit hub near the I-580/I-680 interchange as part of the Tri-Valley Hub Network Integration Study (currently underway, led by LAVTA).

In late 2020, the Alameda CTC Commission adopted the 2020 Countywide Transportation Plan (CTP) that sets policy priorities going forward for transportation in Alameda County. Key among these priorities is a renewed focus on reducing vehicle miles travelled (VMT) and greenhouse gas emissions, and robust consideration of equity and safety. These are consistent with regional and state policy in Plan Bay Area 2050 and the state’s draft Climate Action Plan for Transportation Infrastructure (CAPTI). Additionally, for Plan Bay Area 2050, Alameda CTC committed that any new managed lanes along the corridor would be implemented through conversion of existing lanes, rather than any capacity expansion.

Overall Approach

Given previous planning efforts along the corridor, this strategy will leverage existing analysis and readily-available insights to apply the latest policy direction within and along a specific corridor and develop a transformative, yet actionable set of projects for the corridor. The approach to this

strategy should support efficient decision making throughout strategy development. Proposers are encouraged to recommend innovative and agile data analysis, modeling evaluation, and communication techniques appropriate for non-technical audiences and that effectively drive decisions while minimizing impact on budget. Consultants will consider uncertainties around future travel due to the COVID-19 pandemic but a full scenario planning effort will not be part of approach. It is intended that a significant share of the effort for the strategy will be on developing implementation details for the most promising strategies.

Equity considerations should form a central element of the overall approach, informing identification of corridor issues and challenges, strategy development, strategy refinement, evaluation, and implementation details. It is anticipated that community outreach will primarily occur in the low-income and communities of color along I-580 in Oakland, San Leandro, and central Alameda County, and in coordination with community groups. Community outreach with Oakland communities will be done in partnership with the Oakland Department of Transportation (OakDOT).

It is likely that Alameda CTC and partner agencies will pursue state funding to implement the recommendations of this strategy. Proposers shall recommend an overall approach for this corridor strategy that can meet the requirements to potentially serve as a Senate Bill 1 (SB1) Comprehensive Multimodal Corridor Plan (CMCP) and, as such, should take into consideration the guidelines of the California Transportation Commission (CTC) and the policy framework of the Climate Action Plan for Clean Infrastructure (CAPCI) to support eventual project applications to SB1 funding programs.

Intended Outcomes

It is intended that the I-580 Sustainable Corridor Strategy will accomplish the following for Alameda CTC:

- Provide a baseline understanding of current issues and opportunities along I-580 and parallel roadways, as well as of existing and future travel demand in the corridor, drawing from past efforts and existing data.
- Develop and evaluate potential strategies for the corridor that acknowledge the current uncertainty around travel.
- Recommend a set of competitive express bus services and transit priority infrastructure projects, as well as effective TDM strategies (e.g. park & ride, vanpool, carpool) and promising opportunities for implementation of zero-emission vehicles and fueling infrastructure.
- Develop recommendations for key parallel arterials, including MacArthur Blvd. and potentially others (e.g. Castro Valley Blvd.), that address multimodal safety, connections to/from I-580, and connections to/from/within community commercial districts.
- Complement infrastructure recommendations with a set of policies that will support mode-shift goals, e.g., pricing policies.
- Create clear and actionable implementation-level documents that provide strategic guidance for staff, the Commission and partner agencies on how to implement the recommendations.

SCOPE OF WORK

- Task 1. Project Management
- Task 2. Agency and Stakeholder Engagement

- Task 3. Data and Evaluation Methodology
- Task 4. Travel Demand and Market Assessment
- Task 5. Corridor Issues and Opportunities
- Task 6. Strategy Development
- Task 7. Strategy Evaluation and Roadmap
- Task 8. Multimodal Arterials Planning
- On-Call Tasks
 - O1: Strategy Refinement and Development for Implementation
 - O2: Community Engagement

Task 1. Project Management

The objective of this task is to effectively manage this effort, and keep Alameda CTC staff and other interested parties informed as to project status, technical issues, and any scope, schedule, or budget issues. During project management meetings, proposer shall provide information, receive feedback, and follow up with the actions necessary to effectively manage the project.

The Proposer, in coordination with Alameda CTC staff, shall produce and maintain a Task-based milestone schedule throughout the duration of the project as well as create and maintain an online file sharing system that will have different levels of access depending on membership on Project management team or sharing draft and final deliverables with stakeholders.

Example Deliverables:

- Monthly progress report on status of scope of work, budget, and schedule
- Weekly and/or bi-weekly status update calls and monthly meetings with Alameda CTC project management staff and study partners, as needed
- Schedule creation and regular maintenance throughout
- Maintenance of web-based file sharing platform

Task 2. Agency and Stakeholder Engagement

The strategies that are developed as part of this project will require partnerships to be successful. Proposers shall recommend an effective agency and stakeholder engagement plan. Given the length of the corridor and variation throughout, it is anticipated that small group meetings of particular agencies will be conducted as opposed to a corridor-wide Technical Advisory Committee. It is also anticipated that Commissioners will be briefed, and input solicited at key milestones. Non-agency engagement will likely include coordination meetings with stakeholders such as large employers and institutions, the East Bay Economic Development Alliance (East Bay EDA), educational institutions, and key community groups.

The list of project stakeholders and partners will include the following at a minimum:

- Caltrans
- AC Transit, BART, LAVTA, TVSJVRRRA, ACE
- Jurisdictions along I-580: Oakland, San Leandro, Hayward, Alameda County, Dublin, Pleasanton, Livermore.
- Large employers and institutions, East Bay EDA, and key community groups.

Input from non-agency stakeholders will likely be solicited to help define challenges and issues for the corridor and to identify strategies and projects. Additional community engagement to inform strategy refinement and development of implementation details will be included in an on-call task.

Broad, corridor-wide community input is not anticipated for this effort; rather, focused community touch-points should be recommended.

Example deliverables

- Agency and stakeholder engagement plan
- Meeting support materials and attendance

Task 3. Data and Evaluation Methodology

As an initial task, Proposer shall develop a methodology memo that will further define the approaches to Tasks 4, 5, and 7 and allow iteration with the Alameda CTC project team related to the following topics at minimum:

- Data collection and assembly utilizing existing data sources to the largest extent possible, such as from recently completed or concurrent efforts (Tasks 4 and 5)
- Evaluation plan detailing multimodal metrics and prioritization approach (Tasks 4, 5, 7)
- Identifying baseline year and strategy horizon, likely 10-15 years in the future (Task 7)

The methodology memo shall recommend data and modeling tools that can appropriately evaluate the multimodal nature of a broad range of potential strategies such as express bus, protected bike lanes on adjacent corridors and at interchanges, employer incentives, and clean-fueling opportunities. Consideration of non-traditional metrics and analysis tools for multimodal corridors such as multimodal accessibility shall be included in this Task.

Data analysis and evaluation should build off of existing and previous studies and identify opportunities to leverage previous planning work on and around the corridor. Exploration of utilizing already-purchased datasets will be part of this task, as well as how to effectively combine existing data from disparate sources.

Example Deliverables:

- Data and evaluation technical memo – draft and final

Task 4. Travel Demand and Market Assessment

Developing strategies that have the highest potential for reducing VMT and supporting a mode-shift to carpool, vanpool, or transit requires a deep understanding of demand factors along the corridor. This task will assess and document the variety of factors that influence travel decisions along the corridor.

Key demand considerations for this effort are, at minimum:

- Commute characteristics of employment clusters along the corridor, such as hospitals/medical facilities in central Oakland, major employers in uptown Oakland, educational institutions, office employment clusters, and Lawrence Livermore Labs.
- Travel trends of the low-income communities and communities of color, especially in Oakland, San Leandro, and central Alameda County.
- Significant role of interregional commuters along this corridor and their demand generators.

This is not intended to be a lengthy, costly effort but rather an accurate yet high-level assessment that can be completed efficiently. Proposers shall also recommend how to reflect uncertain future considerations in the demand assessment given potentially permanent changes caused by the pandemic and housing and jobs outlook for the Bay Area and Central Valley in the next 15 years.

Deliverables for this task should seek to be succinct and focus on key conclusions that can clearly inform the remainder of the Tasks and that are accessible to a wide range of audiences, including non-technical audiences.

Example Deliverables:

- Briefing Document and PPTs (and/or other creative methods of sharing travel demand/market conclusions with a range of audiences)

Task 5. Corridor Issues and Opportunities

The objectives of this task are to assemble readily-available data on issues and opportunities along the corridor with respect to safety, access and connection issues, traffic bottlenecks, and goods movement. Information gleaned from this task shall be combined with the demand assessment findings in Task 4 to identify issues and opportunities and inform strategy development in Task 6.

Elements included in this task could include a combination of the following:

- Safety analysis along I-580, at interchanges, and parallel arterials and including analysis of which factors lead to unsafe conditions.
- Access and connection issues between I-580 and existing park & ride, BART/Rail stations, express bus stops, and nearby neighborhoods.
- Traffic operations summaries of bottleneck locations, choke points for AC Transit and LAVTA buses that travel on the freeway, and existing managed lanes operations and access issues, among others.
- Goods movement travel flows along I-580 and opportunities for clean-fueling sites, especially for trucks ultimately destined to the Port of Oakland.

Proposers shall recommend an approach for documenting these elements in a succinct manner and with the primary purpose of strategically informing development of strategies in Task 6 and off-model metrics, such as related to safety, in Task 7.

Example Deliverables

- Corridor issues and opportunities – draft and final

Task 6. Strategy Development

Through this task, the Proposer shall develop strategies at a level of detail that will enable them to be effectively evaluated in Task 7 and then, if deemed effective, further detailed in in Task O1. This is particularly true for the express bus elements, which have been recommended along this corridor in concept but never explored in enough detail with transit operators, Caltrans, and jurisdictions to lead to meaningful actions related to implementation.

Initial strategies to be developed could include the following:

- Express bus services deemed viable in travel demand analysis such as within Oakland or between Central County and Oakland (and farther if deemed competitive).
- TDM programs, park & ride opportunities, and employer partnerships with large employment clusters along the corridor.
- Corridor-based clean fueling and vehicle opportunities, such as for heavy and medium-duty trucks heading for the Port of Oakland.
- First/last mile strategies to increase mode shift to rail operators in and along the corridor

- Multimodal arterial improvements that address safety, integration with the freeway, and access to neighborhoods, including along MacArthur Blvd. and potentially others (e.g. Castro Valley Blvd.).
- Managed lanes and infrastructure to provide priority to transit, vanpools, and carpools along I-580, as well as considerations for equity of tolling these lanes and complementary transit priority infrastructure connecting to/from communities.

Proposer shall recommend how these different strategies could be combined to improve multimodal choices along the corridor and address the goals and objectives of the strategy. It is likely that different strategies will be appropriate in different areas of the corridor; this should be considered in strategy development, informed by the insights gained in Tasks 4 and 5.

Example Deliverables:

- Specific strategy materials in briefing documents
- Documentation of final set of strategies to advance

Task 7. Strategy Evaluation and Roadmap

Evaluation and prioritization of strategies shall occur in this task with the outcome being a roadmap or other document that defines the components that will be developed further in Task O1. Proposer shall efficiently evaluate the strategies developed in Task 6 by utilizing travel demand models or other innovative tools. Modeling for this Task shall build upon modeling done for Plan Bay Area 2050. Multimodal metrics recommended in Task 3 will be utilized to communicate the benefits of strategies and combinations of strategies as well as to inform short-listing to a prioritized set of strategies to be further advanced in Task O1. Development of a scope for Task O1 will also be included in this task.

Example deliverables

- Strategy evaluation document and technical outputs, metrics
- Prioritization and Corridor roadmap – admin draft, draft, final
- Scope of work for Strategy Refinement and Development for Implementation (Task O1)

Task 8. Multimodal Arterials Planning

Previous efforts along the corridor recommended advanced planning along parallel arterials as these represent the interface between the freeway corridor and communities. Services in Task 8 will include conceptual plans for parallel arterials and connections to I-580, including priority treatments for express buses and transit trunk lines and multimodal safety and access improvements.

Conceptual plans for multimodal treatments along MacArthur Blvd. will be developed in this task. MacArthur Blvd. runs through several low-income and communities of color, is one of AC Transit's highest ridership corridors, serves many access points for Transbay routes, and was a priority coming out of AC Transit's Major Corridors Study and Transbay Tomorrow efforts. Additionally, the corridor has been prioritized as a key east-west bicycle route in Oakland's recent bike plan. Safe walking and biking access along the roadway to express bus stops, park & ride lots, and through interchanges will be addressed. Community engagement along MacArthur Blvd. within the City of Oakland will be done in partnership with OakDOT. Services under this task will include meeting materials and conceptual exhibits for community presentations to be utilized in this engagement.

Planning and multimodal concept development for MacArthur Blvd. will happen concurrent to Tasks 2-7. It is anticipated that development of multimodal recommendations and conceptual-level

drawings will be needed for additional parallel arterials. These could be incorporated in this task or in Task O1.

Example deliverables:

- Conceptual-level drawings and exhibits
- Meeting materials

On-Call Tasks

Task O1. Strategy Refinement and Development for Implementation

It is anticipated that a substantial amount of effort proportional to other tasks will be services that will further refine and develop the most promising strategies recommended in Task 7. This includes completing tasks related to implementation such as conceptual level drawings for multimodal recommendations, conceptual level drawings for park & ride and clean charging opportunities near I-580, express bus service plan details and TDM program details. This also includes developing funding strategies and preparation of grant applications, if warranted. Services related to this Task shall be authorized by Task Order, initiated and developed according to the Task Order Process described in Alameda CTC Sample Professional Services Contract. Task Orders shall include a detailed description of the work to be performed.

Proposers shall document how their proposed team is qualified to provide the following services related to strategy refinement and development of implementation details, which may include but not necessarily be limited to:

- Express bus service implementation details for the most viable markets
- Multimodal conceptual plans for parallel arterials and select interchanges beyond MacArthur Blvd., such as Castro Valley Blvd, and/or other central and east county arterials as deemed necessary with a focus on safety, complete streets and transit improvements
- Development of conceptual-level drawings, preliminary layouts and cross-sections for recommended infrastructure, such as dedicated lanes for bus, vanpool and carpool along mainline I-580, and at key interchanges
- TDM specifics related to employer programs and incentives, expansion of park & ride facilities and operations plan, expansion of first/last mile shuttles
- Clean fueling opportunities, especially for heavy- and medium-duty trucks heading for the Port of Oakland

Example Deliverables

- Express bus service plan
- Conceptual-level drawings and exhibits
- TDM program and implementation details
- Park & Ride and zero-emission vehicle implementation plan
- I-580 Sustainable Corridor Implementation Recommendations with executive summary – admin draft, draft, final
- Funding grant applications

Task O2. Community Engagement

It is intended that community engagement will inform strategy refinement and development of implementation details. Given that the outcomes of the preceding tasks are not yet known, community engagement services related to strategy refinement and further development will be authorized through a Task Order. Services shall be authorized by Task Order, initiated and developed according to the Task Order Process described in Alameda CTC Sample Professional Services Contract. Task Orders shall include a detailed description of the work to be performed.

Proposers shall describe a conceptual recommendation and budget for community outreach. Work with Community-Based Organizations (CBOs) will be essential in conducting this community engagement. Additional mechanisms for ensuring opinions and input are received from historically disenfranchised communities along the corridor should be recommended.

Example Deliverables

- Community engagement approach memo
- Community meetings and materials

B. REFERENCE MATERIALS

The following information and documents related to this RFP are incorporated herein as if attached:

1. 2020 Countywide Transportation Plan
 - a. <https://www.alamedactc.org/planning/countywidetransportationplan/>
2. I-580 Design Alternatives Assessment – Final Report
 - a. https://www.alamedactc.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/580-DAA_Final-Report_FinalSubmittal3_30_20_MTC.pdf
3. I-580 Design Alternatives Assessment – Existing Conditions
 - a. <https://www.alamedactc.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Appendix-A-Existing-Conditions-Memorandum.pdf>
4. I-580 Express Lanes After Study: Report to the California State Legislature
 - a. https://www.alamedactc.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/580_Express_Lanes_After_Study_FINAL.pdf
5. Project Study Report: I-580/I-680 Interchange
 - a. https://www.alamedactc.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/580-680-PSR-PDS-2-14-09_with-att.pdf
6. May 2021 Staff Report: RFP for the I-580 Sustainable Corridor Strategy
 - a. https://www.alamedactc.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/5.1_PPLC_I-580_Study_RFP_20210510.pdf
7. AC Transit Major Corridors Study Final Report
 - a. https://www.actransit.org/sites/default/files/2021-04/Final%20MCS%20Report_August2016_v1%20%281%29.pdf
8. 2019 Oakland Bike Plan
 - a. https://cao-94612.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/LBOakland_FinalDraft_20190807_web.pdf
9. Interstate 205 Managed Lane
 - a. <https://dot.ca.gov/caltrans-near-me/district-10/district-10-current-projects/interstate-205-managed-lane>
10. Valley Link Project Website
 - a. <https://www.valleylinkrail.com/>
11. Comprehensive Multimodal Corridor Plan Guidelines
 - a. <https://catc.ca.gov/programs/sb1/solutions-for-congested-corridors-program/comprehensive-multimodal-corridor-plan-guidelines>

C. REQUIRED FORMS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

If proposer is unable to provide in its proposal the documents denoted with an asterisk (*) below, proposer should **NOT** submit a proposal to Alameda CTC. The following forms are required unless noted otherwise, incorporated herein as if attached, and available at www.alamedactc.org/contracting-forms:

1. Exceptions to the Alameda CTC Sample Professional Services Contract Form (optional)
2. Cost Proposal Form B*
 - Cost Proposal Form B must be completed in its entirety for prime consultant and all subconsultants.
3. Consultant Annual Certification of Indirect Costs and Financial Management System (Caltrans LAPM Exhibit 10-K)*
 - The Indirect Cost Rate (ICR) for the most recent Fiscal Period (one-year accounting period) must be submitted.
 - A date range format of MM/DD/YY-MM/DD/YY must be provided in the Fiscal Period field, per the definition of fiscal period on the form.
 - Exhibit 10-K must be completed for prime consultant and all subconsultants.
4. Safe Harbor Rate Consultant Certification of Eligibility; Contract Costs and Financial Management System (Safe Harbor Rate Application/Form)
 - If applicable, firms that are eligible may utilize a Safe Harbor Rate in lieu of an Exhibit 10-K.

D. RESOURCES FORM

PRIME PROPOSER MUST SUBMIT A RESOURCES FORM

The Resources Form is available at or from the RFP Web Page identified in the cover letter of this RFP and is incorporated herein as if attached. The completed form should include the details for all team members (prime proposer and subconsultants/subcontractors if any). The prime proposer shall submit the following in XLS/XLSX **and** PDF formats:

1. **Key Personnel Availability.** Provide sufficient detail to describe each individual's specific roles/responsibilities for this contract, a description of the benefits the person brings to the team, and their availability over the duration of this contract as to provide assurance to their ability to perform the requested services in a responsive and timely manner.
2. **Firm Participation Summary.** For firms with multiple offices, proposals must identify all locations from which resources are anticipated to be used. Clearly include a listing of any lawsuit or litigation and the result of that action resulting from (a) any services provided by the proposer or by its subconsultants where litigation is still pending or has occurred within the last five years or (b) any type of project where claims or settlements were paid by the proposer or its insurers within the last five years. Firms providing services subject to prevailing wage requirements must include proof of registration with the DIR.
3. **Task Resource Summary.** A table of estimated hours by task and firm (prime proposer and all subconsultants) should be provided, including the percentage of the total contract hours that each firm will spend on the contract. Total estimated hours should be provided for each task and for each firm.
4. **Alameda CTC Contracts Summary.** Provide a summary of all contracts that members of your team (including subconsultants) have held with Alameda CTC in the past three years.
5. **Potential Conflicts of Interest.** Proposers must provide a list of any potential conflicts of interest in working for Alameda CTC. This must include, but is not limited to, a list of your firm's clients who are cities in Alameda County, the County of Alameda, and/or transit or transportation agencies that operate and/or have projects in Alameda County, and a brief description of work for these clients. Identify any other clients that would pose a potential conflict of interest as well as a brief description of work you provide to these clients. This list must include all potential conflicts of interest within the year prior to the release of this RFP as well as current and future commitments to other projects.

E. INSURANCE REQUIREMENT FORM

*****PRIME PROPOSER MUST COMPLETE AND SUBMIT THIS FORM*****

Part A – Certification

The selected consultant and its subconsultants as defined in **Part C** under the resulting contract shall, at such firms’ own expense, obtain and maintain in effect at all times the types of insurance, as identified in **Part C**, against claims, damages and losses due to injuries to persons or damage to property or other losses that may arise in connection with the performance of work under this contract. Any firm unable to meet any of the required minimum insurance coverages listed in **Part C** must complete **Part B** to request for exception to the such insurance requirements. **IF SUCH EXCEPTIONS ARE NOT REQUESTED IN PART B WITH THE PROPOSAL, COMPLIANCE WITH THE INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS WILL BE ASSUMED AND WAIVERS WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED AT A LATER TIME.** This form must be completed and submitted in its entirety.

By signing below, you acknowledge and agree to provide the required Proof of Insurance providing verification of the minimum insurance requirements listed in Part C within ten (10) calendar days of the execution of the resulting contract and prior to performing any work under such contract.

Alameda CTC RFP No.:	R21-0006
Authorized Signature:	
Name and Title:	
Prime Company Name:	
Date:	

Part B – Insurance Exception Request

Identify the name of each firm (i.e., prime or subconsultants) and the specific insurance provision for which an exception is being sought, if any, and the requested revision(s) in the table below.

Firm Name	Insurance Provision	Requested Insurance Exception

Part C – Insurance Requirements for the Resulting Agreement (AGREEMENT)

The following additional insured and insurance coverage is required for this AGREEMENT:

- Commercial General Liability – \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence with \$2,000,000 general aggregate, and \$1,000,000 Personal & Advertising Injury.
- Workers’ Compensation as required by law, and Employer’s Liability – \$1,000,000 each accident, \$1,000,000 for each employee for bodily injury by disease, and \$1,000,000 overall limit for bodily injury by disease.
- Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Insurance (as applicable; see **Paragraph 6**) – \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence.
- Professional Liability (as applicable; see **Paragraph 4**) – \$2,000,000
- Automobile Liability – \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence.
- Umbrella or Excess Liability Insurance – \$1,000,000
- Deductible Maximum (all policies) – \$50,000 deductible or self-insured retention.
- Additional Insured – ALAMEDA CTC and its respective officers, employees and agents.
- Cyber and Privacy Insurance (if checked, **Paragraph 7** applies hereto) – \$1,000,000

The insurance requirements for this AGREEMENT, including for coverage limits and additional insured, are described herein. CONSULTANT and its subconsultants, vendors, and subcontractors of all tiers (excluding providers of products or services classified as direct expenses in this AGREEMENT) (collectively referenced as “subconsultants” herein) shall carry and maintain all such insurance coverage throughout the entire term of this AGREEMENT, except as may be specified elsewhere in this appendix. Requests for waivers to any of the insurance requirements set forth in this AGREEMENT with respect to the CONSULTANT or any subconsultant shall be submitted in writing to ALAMEDA CTC prior to the start of work or costs incurred by the CONSULTANT or such subconsultant. ALAMEDA CTC will review any insurance exception requests and may issue written approval of such waivers at its discretion. All policies will be issued by insurers with a current A.M. Best’s rating of A or better, with a Financial Size Category of VIII or better. The insurance requirements as to the types of limits of insurance coverage, to be maintained by CONSULTANT and its subconsultants, are not intended to and shall not in any manner limit or qualify the liabilities and obligations otherwise assumed by CONSULTANT pursuant hereto, including, but not limited to, liability assumed pursuant to indemnification.

1. Commercial General Liability Insurance. CONSULTANT and each subconsultant shall carry and maintain occurrence-based Commercial General Liability Insurance and maintain aggregate limits of liability equal to or greater than the minimum coverage for such insurance shown in this appendix. Such insurance shall name ALAMEDA CTC (and, if so directed by ALAMEDA CTC, the SUNOL JPA, CALTRANS, or other permitting or responsible agencies as identified by ALAMEDA CTC), and their respective officers, employees and agents, as additional insureds. The policy shall include an endorsement providing that such insurance is primary and non-contributory with respect to any insurance or self-insurance that is carried and maintained by ALAMEDA CTC or additional insured. Such insurance shall include, but shall not be limited to, (a) protection against claims arising from bodily and personal injury (including death resulting therefrom) and damage to property arising from work under this AGREEMENT performed by CONSULTANT or subconsultant, its agents, representatives, or employees, and (b) blanket contractual liability on all written contracts, including this AGREEMENT or the subcontract, as applicable.

2. Automobile Liability Insurance. CONSULTANT and each subconsultant shall carry and maintain occurrence-based Automobile Liability Insurance with limits equal to or greater than the minimum coverage for such insurance shown in this appendix for all automobiles owned, used or maintained by the CONSULTANT or subconsultant and its officers, agents and employees, including but not limited to owned, leased, non-owned and hired automobiles (ISO form CA 0001 covering any auto, code 1). Such insurance shall name ALAMEDA CTC (and, if so directed by ALAMEDA CTC, the SUNOL JPA, CALTRANS, or other permitting or responsible agencies as identified by ALAMEDA CTC), and their respective officers, employees and agents, as additional insureds. The policy shall include an endorsement providing that such insurance is primary and non-contributory with respect to any insurance or self-insurance that is carried and maintained by ALAMEDA CTC or additional insured. Such automobile liability coverage may be, but is not required to be, provided as part of the liability insurance described above.

3. Umbrella Insurance. CONSULTANT and each subconsultant shall carry and maintain Umbrella Insurance with limits equal to or greater than the minimum coverage for such insurance shown in this appendix, providing excess limits over Employer’s Liability, Automobile Liability, Commercial General Liability, and Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) Insurance (if applicable).

4. Professional Liability Insurance. CONSULTANT and each subconsultant performing professional services under this AGREEMENT shall carry and maintain Professional Liability Insurance for errors and omissions in an amount equal to or greater than the minimum coverage shown in this appendix. If such policy is written on a “Claims-Made” (rather than an “occurrence”) basis, the insuring party shall maintain continuous coverage in effect for the term of this AGREEMENT and for at least three (3) years beyond the termination or completion of services or until expiration of any applicable statute of limitations, whichever is longer. As used in this Paragraph 4, “professional services” means design, engineering, planning, legal, information technology, and similar services requiring specialized skills, knowledge, or a professional license. Upon request, ALAMEDA CTC will advise as to whether any particular service hereunder represents professional services requiring such coverage.

5. Workers’ Compensation Insurance. CONSULTANT and each subconsultant shall carry and maintain Workers’ Compensation Insurance as required by California law, covering all work performed by CONSULTANT under the AGREEMENT, and all personnel performing services under the AGREEMENT. CONSULTANT and each subconsultant shall carry and maintain Employer’s Liability Insurance in an amount equal to or greater than the minimum coverage shown in this appendix, and any and all other coverage of its employees as may be required by applicable law. Such policy shall contain a Waiver of Subrogation endorsement in favor of ALAMEDA CTC. Such Workers’ Compensation Insurance and Employer’s Liability Insurance may be waived, if, and only for as long as, CONSULTANT or subconsultant, as applicable is a sole proprietor with no employees.

6. Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) Insurance. If CONSULTANT or any subcontractor, or anyone working on their behalf, utilizes any unmanned aircraft, aerial vehicle or drone (each a “UAV”) as part of the services performed under this AGREEMENT, CONSULTANT or the applicable subcontractor shall carry and maintain, or cause the operator of the UAV to carry and maintain, occurrence-based UAV Insurance and maintain aggregate limits of liability equal to or greater than the minimum coverage for such insurance shown in this appendix. Such insurance shall name ALAMEDA CTC (and, if so directed by ALAMEDA CTC, the SUNOL JPA, CALTRANS, or other permitting or responsible agencies as identified by ALAMEDA CTC), and their respective officers, employees and agents, as additional insureds. The policy shall include an endorsement providing that

such insurance is primary and non-contributory with respect to any insurance or self-insurance that is carried and maintained by ALAMEDA CTC or other additional insured. Such insurance shall include, but shall not be limited to, (a) protection against claims arising from bodily and personal injury (including death resulting therefrom) and damage to property arising from use of UAVs under this AGREEMENT, (b) protection against claims arising from violation of privacy injury arising from use of UAVs under this AGREEMENT, and (c) blanket contractual liability on all written contracts, including this AGREEMENT or the applicable subcontract. UAV Insurance may be provided under Commercial General Liability Insurance if such policy contains a separate endorsement for such UAV coverage. Any entity or individual who operates a UAV as part of performing services under this AGREEMENT must be properly certified and registered with the Federal Aviation Administration (“FAA”) and follow all applicable FAA rules and regulations.

7. Cyber and Privacy Insurance. If the applicable box is checked in the above table hereof, this **Paragraph 7** shall apply to this AGREEMENT. CONSULTANT and any subconsultant handling Personally Identifiable Information (as defined herein) under this AGREEMENT shall maintain cyber risk coverages including network and internet security liability coverage, privacy liability coverage, first party privacy coverage, and media coverage. Such insurance shall include coverage for liability arising from theft, dissemination, and/or use of confidential information or information that can be linked to a specific individual, including but not limited to, bank and credit card account information or personal information, such as name, address, social security numbers, protected health information or other similar information (collectively, “Personally Identifiable Information”), stored or transmitted in electronic form. If such policy is written on a claims-made (rather than an occurrence) basis, CONSULTANT and such subconsultant shall maintain continuous coverage in effect for the term of this AGREEMENT and for at least one (1) year beyond the termination or completion of services.

8. Deductible. A deductible or self-insured retention is permissible on all policies, provided that such deductible shall not exceed the amount shown in this appendix. Further, if any insurance policy includes a self-insured retention, nothing shall prevent any of the parties to this AGREEMENT from satisfying or paying the self-insured retention. If any insurance policy states that the self-insured retention must be paid by a named insured as a precondition of the insurer’s liability (or which has the effect of providing that payments of the self-insured retention by others, including additional insureds or insurers, do not serve to satisfy the self-insured retention), such provisions must be modified by special endorsement so as to not apply to the additional insured coverage required by this AGREEMENT.

9. Proof of Insurance.

(a) CONSULTANT’s Insurance. CONSULTANT shall provide insurance certificates and policy endorsements (collectively, “PROOF OF INSURANCE”) evidencing CONSULTANT’s policies described in this **Article I, Section E** to ALAMEDA CTC within ten (10) calendar days of the execution of this AGREEMENT. Neither CONSULTANT nor any of its subconsultants shall perform any work under this AGREEMENT prior to ALAMEDA CTC’s receipt of all required proof of insurance for CONSULTANT.

(b) Subconsultants’ Insurance. CONSULTANT shall provide PROOF OF INSURANCE with respect to professional liability coverage for each subconsultant required to carry such insurance under this AGREEMENT not less than ten (10) calendar days prior to any work being performed by such subconsultant. Notwithstanding the foregoing, CONSULTANT need not provide PROOF OF INSURANCE for any subconsultant(s) whose aggregate anticipated compensation under

this AGREEMENT is less than the greater of (i) fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) or (ii) five percent (5%) of the aggregate compensation payable hereunder.

(c) **PROOF OF INSURANCE Standards.** All PROOF OF INSURANCE shall provide for not less than thirty (30) calendar days' prior written notice to ALAMEDA CTC of any cancellation, non-renewal or material change of coverage in the policy or policies, and shall further provide that ALAMEDA CTC will not be responsible for any premiums or assessments on any policy. At least five (5) business days prior to the expiration date of any policy of insurance carried by CONSULTANT or any subconsultant for which CONSULTANT must provide PROOF OF INSURANCE hereunder, CONSULTANT shall provide PROOF OF INSURANCE confirming that the policy has been extended or a replacement policy has been obtained. If any PROOF OF INSURANCE is not provided in a timely manner as provided in this **Paragraph 9**, ALAMEDA CTC shall withhold twenty-five percent (25%) of all payments made to CONSULTANT until such document(s) are provided to ALAMEDA CTC.

10. Maintenance of Insurance. If CONSULTANT fails to maintain all insurance required by this AGREEMENT, ALAMEDA CTC, at its option, may order the CONSULTANT to suspend work at CONSULTANT's expense until such time as CONSULTANT provides PROOF OF INSURANCE to ALAMEDA CTC confirming that all required insurance policies are in effect. If any subconsultant fails to maintain the professional liability insurance required by this AGREEMENT, ALAMEDA CTC, at its option, may order the CONSULTANT to suspend work by said subconsultant at CONSULTANT's expense until such time as CONSULTANT provides PROOF OF INSURANCE to ALAMEDA CTC as evidence that the subconsultant's professional liability insurance policy is in effect.

11. Subconsultants' Insurance Requirements. The provisions of this appendix are applicable to all subconsultants hereunder, regardless of tier and subcontract amount. Except as provided in **Paragraph 9** above, CONSULTANT is solely responsible for ensuring that each subconsultant carries and maintains insurance which meets the above specifications, or confirming that each subconsultant has been added to the CONSULTANT's applicable policy as an additional name insured if said policy allows such addition, prior to such subconsultant performing any work under this AGREEMENT, and thereafter so long as such subconsultant is performing work under this AGREEMENT. Any failure to properly monitor all subconsultants' insurance coverage will constitute negligence on the part of CONSULTANT and subject to CONSULTANT's indemnity obligations pursuant to **Article I, Section D** of the AGREEMENT.