

**VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE
Annual Program Compliance Report
Reporting Fiscal Year 2018-2019**

AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

Agency Name: **City of Oakland**

Date: 2/27/2020

Primary Point of Contact

Name: Julieth H. Ortiz
Title: Acting Funding Program Manager
Phone: 510-238-4772
Email: jortiz@oaklandca.gov

Agency's Certification of True and Accurate Reporting by Submission

By submitting this Compliance Report to the Alameda County Transportation Commission, the submitting agency certifies the compliance information reported is true and complete to the best of their knowledge, and the dollar figures in the agency's Audited Financial Statement matches exactly to the revenues and expenditures reported herein.

Program Compliance Report Structure

This Reporting Form is broken into the following sections for the Vehicle Registration Fee Direct Local Distribution Programs applicable to the recipient agency.

- * **Cover - Agency Contact**
- * **General Compliance Reporting for all programs**
- * **Table 1 - Summary of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance**
- * **Table 2 - Detailed Summary of Expenditures and Accomplishments**

VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE
Annual Program Compliance Report Fiscal Year 2018-2019

TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF REVENUE, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

DIRECTIONS: Complete the sections below based on the VRF Audited Financial Statements, for the applicable DLD programs for your agency. Values must match financial statements and total reported expenditures on Table 2.

A.VRF Direct Local Distribution Programs

	Local Streets and Roads	Total
Beginning of Year Fund Balance	\$ 1,825,889	\$ 1,825,889
Revenue	\$ 1,745,960	\$ 1,745,960
Interest	\$ 35,620	\$ 35,620
Expenditures	\$ 1,904,117	\$ 1,904,117
<small>Expenditures Matches Table 2?</small>	<small>TRUE</small>	
End of Year Fund Balance	\$ 1,703,352	\$ 1,703,352

Notes

**Local Streets and Roads (LSR) Direct Local Distribution Program
Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2018-19**

GENERAL COMPLIANCE REPORTING

1. What is agency's current Pavement Condition Index (PCI)?

PCI =

Use PCI from the most recent MTC's VitalSigns linked here:

<http://www.vitalsigns.mtc.ca.gov/street-pavement-condition>

If your PCI falls below a score of 60 (fair condition), specify what actions are being implemented to increase the PCI.
Indicate N/A, if not applicable.

Oakland passed a bond measure in 2016 with \$350 million devoted to transportation, with the vast majority intended for resurfacing. In 2017/18 - 2018/19 budget, \$25 million of the bond was budgetted for paving, and in the 2019/20-2020/21 budget, that amount was tripled to \$75 million.

2a. How much of the balance identified here is encumbered into active contracts and projects?

Encumbered value should be less than or equal to the available balance.

		\$ Encumbered
VRF Balance	<input type="text" value="\$ 1,703,352"/>	<input type="text" value="\$ 239,797"/>

2b. Why is there a fund balance? Indicate N/A, if not applicable.

VRF funds are currently primarily used to fund maintenance of city street light and traffic signals. FY 18/19 expenditures exceeded revenues, but still left a substantial balance. In FY 19/20 the funds will be spent down or will be re-programmed into contracted paving projects.

2c. Specify any large planned uses of fund balances within this program and their status i.e. planned or underway.

Project Title	Brief Project Description	DLD Amount	Project Status
Melrose Avenue Streetscape	Complete Streets	\$ 475,000	Planned
Lakeside Green Street	Complete Streets project at Lake Merritt, in closeout	\$ 158,000	Underway
Street maintenance	staffing and materials for in house street maintenance/paving	\$ 1,070,352	Underway
		\$ -	
		\$ -	
		\$ -	
		\$ -	
		\$ -	

3. Confirm all expenditures were governing body approved (Yes/No).

4. Confirm the completion of the publicity requirements in the table below (Yes/No).

Article	Yes/No?	Copy of Article, website, signage Attached?	If applicable, briefly explain why the publicity requirement wasn't completed.
Article	yes	Yes	
Website	Yes	Yes	
Signage	yes	Yes	

**Local Streets and Roads Direct Local Distribution Program
Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2018-19**

TABLE 2: DETAILED SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Provide a detailed summary of VRF Expenditures for the reporting fiscal year.
- Expenditure total must correspond to your Audited Financial Statements and Table 1 values

No.	Project Category <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Project Phase <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Project Type <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Primarily Capital or Administrative Expenditure?	Project Name	Project Description/Benefits	Quantity Completed in FY 18-19	Units for Quantity <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Additional description on units or expanded detail on expenditures, performance, accomplishments	VRF DLD Expenditures
1	Bike/Ped	Construction	Streetscape / Complete Streets	Capital	OBAG 1 Lakeside Green Streets Project	Improved bike &ped connection to Lake Merritt, complete streets improvements,protected bike lanes/bicylist and pedestrian safety	0.92	Lane Miles	New protected and buffered bike lanes. Project also included 1.28 miles of new and improved pedestrian pathways, sidewalks and trails. Reduced vehicular lanes and shortened pedestrian crossings, added 30 new ADA ramps, and accessible parking and new audible traffic signals for 3 intersections.	\$ 84,660
2	Streets/Rds	Construction	Street Resurfacing/Maintenance	Capital	Street Resurfacing Project	Completion of five year paving program/improved PCI	1	Other	partial staff costs for preparation of plans and contract documents for \$25 million in Measure KK funded pavement projects	\$ 86,634
4	Streets/Rds	Maintenance/Operations	Staffing	Capital	Electrical Division Maintenance	Staff costs and associated O/M costs for traffic signal and streetlight maintenance/ state of good repair	1	Other	For 461 signalized intersections responded and resolve 1907 service requests; for 38,000 streetlights, 1021 issues resolved ; 413 underpass lights converted to LED fixtures.	\$ 1,732,822
5										\$ -
6										\$ -
7										\$ -
8										\$ -
9										\$ -
10										\$ -
11										\$ -
12										\$ -
13										\$ -
14										\$ -
15										\$ -
16										\$ -
17										\$ -
18										\$ -
19										\$ -
20										\$ -
21										\$ -
22										\$ -
23										\$ -
24										\$ -
25										\$ -
Percentage of Capital vs Administrative Costs				100%						
a. Total Capital				\$ 1,904,117						
b. Total Administrative				\$ -						
									TOTAL	\$ 1,904,117
									Match to Table 1?	TRUE

If your agency did not expend greater than 50% of total costs on Capital Investments, explain how capital investments will increase in the future over Program Administration (outreach, staffing, administrative support). Indicate N/A if not applicable.