VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE

Annual Program Compliance Report Reporting Fiscal Year 2018-2019

AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

Agency Name: City of Oakland

Date: 12/6/2019

Primary Point of Contact

Name: Bruce Williams

Title: Funding Program Manager

Phone: 510-238-7229

Email: bwilliams@oaklandca.gov

Agency's Certification of True and Accurate Reporting by Submission

By submitting this Compliance Report to the Alameda County Transportation Commission, the submitting agency certifies the compliance information reported is true and complete to the best of their knowledge, and the dollar figures in the agency's Audited Financial Statement <u>matches</u> exactly to the revenues and expenditures reported herein.

Program Compliance Report Structure

This Reporting Form is broken into the following sections for the Vehicle Registration Fee Direct Local Distribution Programs applicable to the recipient agency.

- * Cover Agency Contact
- * General Compliance Reporting for all programs
- * Table 1 Summary of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
- * Table 2 Detailed Summary of Expenditures and Accomplishments

VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE Annual Program Compliance Report Fiscal Year 2018-2019

TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF REVENUE, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

DIRECTIONS: Complete the sections below based on the VRF Audited Financial Statements, for the applicable DLD programs for your agency. Values must match financial statements and total reported expenditures on Table 2.

A.VRF Direct Local Distribution Programs

	Local Streets and Roads	Total	
Beginning of Year Fund Balance	\$ 1,825,889	\$ 1,825,889	
Revenue Interest	\$ 1,745,960 \$ 35,320	\$ 1,745,960 \$ 35,320	
Expenditures Expenditures Matches Table 2?	\$ 1,904,117	\$ 1,904,117	
End of Year Fund Balance	\$ 1,703,052	\$ 1,703,052	
Notes			

Local Streets and Roads (LSR) Direct Local Distribution Program Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2018-19

GENERAL COMPLIANCE REPORTING

1.	What is agency's current Pavement Condition Index (PCI)	?
	what is agency 3 current i avenient condition mack t		

PCI =

54

Use PCI from the most recent MTC's VitalSigns linked here:

http://www.vitalsigns.mtc.ca.gov/street-pavement-condition

If your PCI falls below a score of 60 (fair condition), specify what actions are being implemented to increase the PCI. *Indicate N/A, if not applicable.*

Oakland passed a bond measure in 2016 with \$350 million devoted to transportation, with the vast majority intended for resurfacing. In 2017/18 - 2018/19 budget, \$25 million of the bond was budgetted for paving, and in the 2019/20-2020/21 budget, that amount was tripled to \$75 million.

2a. How much of the balance identified here is encumbered into active contracts and projects?

Encumbered value should be less than or equal to the available balance.

\$ Encumbered VRF Balance \$ 1,703,052 \$ 239,797

2b. Why is there a fund balance? *Indicate N/A, if not applicable.*

VRF funds are currently primarily used to fund maintenance of city street light and traffic signals. FY 18/19 expenditures exceeded revenues, but still left a substantial balance. In FY 19/20 the funds will be spent down or will be re-programmed into contracted paving projects.

2c. Specify any large planned uses of fund balances within this program and their status i.e. planned or underway.

Project Title	Brief Project Description	DLD Amount	Project Status
Melrose Avenue Streetscape	Complete Streets	\$ 475,000	Planned
	Complete Streets project at Lake Merritt, in closeout	\$ 158,000	Underway
	staffing and materials for in house street maintenance/paving	\$ 1,070,052	Underway
		\$ -	
		\$ -	
		\$ -	
		\$ -	
		\$ -	

3.	Confirm al	l expenditures	were governing	body approved	(Yes/No)	١.
----	------------	----------------	----------------	---------------	----------	----

yes

4. Confirm the completion of the publicity requirements in the table below (Yes/No).

Yes/No?

Copy of Article,
website, signage
Attached?

If applicable, briefly explain why the publicity
requirement wasn't completed.

Article	yes
Website	Yes
Signage	yes

Attacheu:			
Yes			
Yes			
Yes			

requi	rement	wasn't c	ompiete	ea.	

Local Streets and Roads Direct Local Distribution Program Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2018-19

TABLE 2: DETAILED SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Provide a detailed summary of VRF Expenditures for the reporting fiscal year.

- Expenditure total must correspond to your Audited Financial Statements and Table 1 values

No.	Project Category (Drop-down Menu)	(Drop-down Menu)	Project Type (Drop-down Menu)	Primarily Capital or Administrative Expenditure?	Project Name	Project Description/Benefits	Quantity Completed in FY 18-19	Units for Quantity (Drop-down Menu)	Additional description on units or expanded detail on expenditures, performance, accomplishments		
	Bike/Ped	Construction	Streetscape / Complete Streets		OBAG 1 Lakeside Green Streets Project	Improved bike &ped connection to Lake Merritt, complete streets improvements, protected bike lanes/bicylist and pedestrian safety	0.92	Lane Miles	New protected and buffered bike lanes. Project also included 1.28 miles of new and improved pedestrian pathways, sidewalks and trails. Reduced vehicular lanes and shortened pedestrian crossings, added 30 new ADA ramps, and accessible parking and new audible traffic signals for 3 intersections.	\$	84,660
		Construction	Street Resurfacing/Maintena nce	Capital	Street Resurfacing Project	Completion of five year paving program/improved PCI	1	Other	partial staff costs for preparation of plans and contract documents for \$25 million in Measure KK funded pavement projects		86,634
4		Maintenance/Operati ons	Staffing	Capital	Electrical Division Maintenance	Staff costs and associated O/M costs for traffic signal and streetlight maintenance/ state of good repair	1	Other	For 461 signalized intersections responded and resolve 1907 service requests; for 38,000 streetslights, 1021 issues resolved; 413 underpass lights converted to LED fixtures.	\$	1,732,822
5										\$	-
6										\$	-
7 8										\$	-
9										\$	<u> </u>
10										\$	-
11										\$	-
12										\$	-
13										\$	-
14										\$	-
15 16										\$	<u>-</u>
17										\$	-
18										\$	-
19										\$	-
20										\$	-
21										\$	-
22										\$	-
23										\$	<u> </u>
25										\$	-
	Perce	ntage of Capital vs Adr	ninistrative Costs	100%			1		TOTAL	\$	1,904,117
			Total Capital	\$ 1,904,117					Match to Table 1?	7	TRUE

b. Total Administrative \$ -	
If your agency did not expend greater than 50% of total costs on Capital Investments, explain how capital investments will increase in the future over Program Administration (outreach, staffing, administrative support). <i>Indicate N/A if not applicable</i> .	
у при	