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Project Submissions
Update
Project Submissions

• Received ~300 projects
• Received ~80 programs
• Well over $30 billion in costs

Project Submissions - Next Steps

✓ Reviewing mapping details
✓ Confirm project descriptions with sponsors as needed
✓ Evaluate potential overlap in submissions
✓ Map against screening evaluation factors
✓ Consider state of good repair needs (MTC)
Draft Screening Approach
For discussion

Screening Objectives

• Translate CTP goals into plan priorities through evaluation factors
• Provide initial basis to start discussions on priorities
• Use streamlined approach for initial evaluation
  • Qualitative
  • Use information that is readily available
  • Rely on spatial assessment
**Screening Objectives**

The screening will **not**:
- Estimate quantitative impacts for projects and programs
- Provide the sole source of prioritization in CTP
- Override local prioritization processes

30 year vs 10 year vs 5 year horizons
CTP = 30 year and 10 year

---

**Screening Approach**

- Attachment B and C: Draft performance objectives and evaluation factors
- Sources:
  - Alameda CTC modal plans
  - Examples locally and around the state
- 20 objectives including 3 readiness factors for 10-year
2020 CTP Goals

### Accessible, Affordable and Equitable
- Performance Objectives:
  1. Improve...
  2. Reduce...
  3. Increase...
- Evaluation Factors:
  +0 points
  +1 point
  +2 points
  +3 points

### Safe, Healthy and Sustainable

### High Quality and Modern Infrastructure

### Economic Vitality

---

**Screening Example**

**Performance Objectives**

1. Improve...
2. Reduce...
3. Increase...

**Evaluation Factors**

- +0 points
- +1 point
- +2 points
- +3 points

**Projects and Programs**

A. BRT on major arterial
B. Interchange modification
C. Trail gap closure
D. Managed lanes on freeway
E. Downtown bike and ped access improvements
Screening Approach

Draft Evaluation factors support:
• Multimodal nature of projects
• Safety for high-injury network and access to major transit corridors
• Investment in Communities of Concern
• Opportunities to carpool, transit, walk or bike
• Projects of countywide significance
• Community outreach and some level of project readiness

How will the results be used?

• As first input to CTP projects and programs
• Compare similar types to each other
• Compare projects within planning area
• Basis for discussions with jurisdictions, public, Commissioners
• Concurrently with gaps analysis and strategy papers
How will the results be used?

**Next Steps**

| November 2019 | • Receive comments on screening approach  
|               | • Follow up on projects and programs |
| Winter 2019/20 | • Conduct initial screening  
|               | • Gaps analysis and strategy paper development |
| Early Spring 2020 | • Planning-area meetings with jurisdictions on priorities and strategies  
|                 | • Public outreach for CTP |
| Late Spring 2020 | • Planning-area meetings with Commissioners on priorities and strategies |
| Summer 2020 | • Draft plan release  
|             | • Public outreach for CTP |

In addition:
January – July 2020: Monthly updates to ACTAC on CTP components
Discussion

• Draft performance objectives and factors
  • Do they cover full intent of Goals and Goal Statements?
  • Any modifications to evaluation factors?
  • Successful local examples of prioritization?

Comments by November 22 to Kristen Villanueva