VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE

Annual Program Compliance Report Reporting Fiscal Year 2017-2018

AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

Agency Name: City of Piedmont

Date: 12/28/2018

Primary Point of Contact

Name: Chester Nakahara

Title: Public Works Director

Phone: 510-430-3061

Email: cnakahara@ci.piedmont.ca.us

Agency's Certification of True and Accurate Reporting by Submission

By submitting this Compliance Report to the Alameda County Transportation Commission, the submitting agency certifies the compliance information reported is true and complete to the best of their knowledge, and the dollar figures in the agency's Audited Financial Statement <u>matches</u> exactly to the revenues and expenditures reported herein.

Program Compliance Report Structure

This Reporting Form is broken into the following sections for the Vehicle Registration Fee Direct Local Distribution Programs applicable to the recipient agency.

- * Cover Agency Contact
- * General Compliance Reporting for all programs
- * Table 1 Summary of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
- * Table 2 Detailed Summary of Expenditures and Accomplishments

VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE Annual Program Compliance Report Fiscal Year 2017-2018

TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF REVENUE, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

DIRECTIONS: Complete the sections below based on the VRF Audited Financial Statements, for the applicable DLD programs for your agency. Values must match financial statements and total reported expenditures on Table 2.

A.VRF Direct Local Distribution Programs

	Local Streets and Roads	Total
Beginning of Year Fund Balance	\$ 4,932	\$ 4,932
Revenue Interest	\$ 47,297 \$ 281	\$ 47,297 \$ 281
Expenditures Expenditures Matches Table 27	\$ 52,405	\$ 52,405
End of Year Fund Balance	\$ 105	\$ 105
Notes		

Local Streets and Roads (LSR) Direct Local Distribution Program Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2017-18

GENERAL COMPLIANCE REPORTING

	_	y's current Paveme est recent MTC's VitalSigns	nt Condition Index (PC		s.mtc.ca.gov/street-pa	PCI =	c-condition	61
	Indicate N/A, i	s below a score of 6 f not applicable.	60 (fair condition), spe	cify what actions are b	peing implemented to	increase	e the PCI.	
	N/A							
2a.	How much of	the balance identifi VRF Balance		d into active contracts \$ Encumbered \$ 105	and projects?			
	-			the agency plans to ex	pend the balances do	wn.		
	Carry over balar	nce is neary zero.						
2c.	Specify any lai	ge planned uses of	fund balances within	this program and thei	r status i.e. planned o	r underv	vay.	
	Proj	ect Title	Brief Projec	t Description	DLD Amount		Pro	ject Status
	2019 Paving Pro	ject	Mill/fill of Magnolia Ave	e, Craig Ave and Annerly	\$	47,000	Underway	
			ıku		\$	-		
					\$	_		
					\$			
					· ·			
					\$	-		
					\$	-		
					\$	-		
					\$	-		
3.	Confirm all ex	penditures were go	overning body approve	ed (Yes/No).		Y	'es	
			0 /					
4.	Confirm the co	empletion of the pu	blicity requirements i	n the table below (Yes	/No).			
				Copy of Article,	If an alterble but floor	1-!		.l. 1: -:a
		Yes/No?		website, signage Attached?	If applicable, briefly explain why the publicity requirement wasn't completed.			DIICITY
	Article		No	No	Local newspaper did n	_		
	Website	,	Yes	Yes	http://www.ci.piedmont.ca.us/publicworks/maintenance.shtml			

Yes

See attached photos

Signage

Yes

Local Streets and Roads Direct Local Distribution Program Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2017-18

TABLE 2: DETAILED SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Provide a detailed summary of VRF Expenditures for the reporting fiscal year.

- Expenditure total must correspond to your Audited Financial Statements and Table 1 values

N	Project Category (Drop-down Menu)	Project Phase (Drop-down Menu)	Project Type (Drop-down Menu)	Primarily Capital or Administrative Expenditure?	Project Name	Project Description/Benefits	Quantity Completed in FY 17-18	Units for Quantity (Drop-down Menu)	Additional description on units or expanded detail on expenditures, performance, accomplishments		penditures
	1 Streets/Rds	Operations	Operations	Administrative	Annual Compliance Reporting Requirements	Generation of annual compliance reports and audit to conform to requirements	1	Other	Compliance reporting requirements completed for FY 2017-18	\$	1,024
	2 Streets/Rds	PS&E	Street Resurfacing/Maintenan ce	Capital	2017 Pavement Project	Pavement and intersection improvements	40%	# of Plans developed	60% of plans were developed in FY 2016-17. Plans were completed & project advertised in FY 2017-18	\$	18,422
	3 Streets/Rds	PS&E	Street Resurfacing/Maintenan ce	Capital	2018 Traffic Striping Project	Striping, markings, curb and crosswalk improvements in various areas of the City	3%	# of Plans developed	% of plans developed. Project includes 5 miles of traffic striping, 1.7 miles of curb painting, parking space marking, pavement markers and markings. See also Measure B/BP	\$	1,596
	4 Streets/Rds	Construction	Street Resurfacing/Maintenan ce	Capital	Failed Pavement Repair	Removal and replacement of damaged or failed pavement	485	Square Feet	3 locations	\$	12,211
	Streets/Rds	Planning/Scoping	Other	Administrative	Kingston and Piedmont Court Parking Studies	Parking studies in 2 areas of the City for implementation of parking zones	90%	Other	Study is about 90% complete	\$	8,558
	Streets/Rds	Construction	Pedestrian Crossing Improvements	Capital	Linda Ave Crosswalk Improvements	Bulbouts and street lighting at midblock crosswalk locations along Linda Avenue, plus striping.	702	Square Feet	Pavement markings, plus relocation of 7 roadside signs, 39 lf curb & gutter, 33 stall markings plus 1 accessible parking space. See also MBB B/P & MBB LSR for additional project improvements.	\$	10,594
	7									\$	-
	3									\$	-
-	9									\$	-
-	1									¢	
	2									\$	-
_	3									\$	-
-	4									\$	-
	5									\$	-
	6									\$	-
	7									\$	-
-	9									\$	-
	0									\$	
	1									\$	-
	2									\$	-
2	3									\$	-
	4									\$	-
_ 2	5								===:	\$	-
	Perce	entage of Capital vs Adn	ninistrative Costs 1. Total Capital	\$2% \$ 42.823	4				TOTAL Match to Table 1?	\$	52,405 TRUE
		a	i iotal Canital	1					IVIATOR TO LABLE 17		TRITE

						Ş
Percentage of Capital vs Administrative Costs		82%			TOTAL	\$
a. Total Capital		\$ 42,823			Match to Table 1?	TRUE
b. Total Administrat	tive	\$ 9,581				

If your agency did not expend greater than 50% of total costs on Capital Investments, explain how capital investments will increase in the

		<u> </u>	• • •		
N/A					