Paratransit Technical Advisory Committee
Meeting Agenda
Tuesday, February 14, 2012, 9:30 to 11:30 a.m.
1333 Broadway, Suite 300, Oakland, CA 94612

Meeting Outcomes:
- Make a recommendation to PAPCO on Gap policy and guidelines
- Receive an update on the pass-through funding estimates
- Participate in planning for the 2012 Annual Mobility Workshop
- Exchange technical information

9:30 – 9:35 a.m.  1. Welcome and Introductions
   Naomi Armenta

9:35 – 9:40 a.m.  2. Public Comment
   Public

9:40 – 9:45 a.m.  3. Review of January 10, 2012 Minutes
   Staff
   03_TAC_Meeting_Minutes_011012.pdf – Page 1

9:45 – 10:15 a.m.  4. Gap Policy and Guidelines Recommendation
   Staff

10:15 – 10:30 a.m.  5. Update on Pass-through Funding Estimates
   Staff

10:30 – 10:50 a.m.  6. Planning for 2012 Annual Mobility Workshop
   Staff

10:50 – 11:10 a.m.  7. Technical Exchange
   TAC
   A. Mobility Management
   B. Preparedness
   C. Ask a TAC Member
   D. Other Technical Exchange Items
Alameda CTC Paratransit Technical Advisory Committee Meeting Agenda 2/14/2012

11:10 – 11:25 a.m.  8. Information Items

Staff
A. CWTP-TEP Status Update/Input
   08A_CWTP-TEP_Overview.pdf – Page 13
   08A1_Regional_SCS-RTP_CWTP-TEP_Process.pdf – Page 15

TAC
B. SRAC Update

PAPCO Chair
C. PAPCO Update

TAC
D. TAC Committee Member Announcements

Staff
E. Alameda CTC Staff Report
   08E_PAPCO_Appointments.pdf – Page 29

Staff
F. Outreach

G. Other Staff Updates

11:25 – 11:30 a.m.  9. Draft Agenda Items for Next Meeting

Staff
A. Confirm Program Plan Review Schedule
B. Update on Hospital Discharge Transportation Service/Wheelchair Scooter Breakdown Transportation Service (HDT/WSBTS)
C. Technical Exchange

11:30 a.m.  10. Adjournment

Key: A – Action Item; I – Information/Discussion Item; full packet available at www.alamedactc.org

Next TAC Meeting:
Date: April 10, 2012
Time: 9:30 to 11:30 a.m.
Location: Alameda CTC, 1333 Broadway, Suite 300, Oakland, CA 94612

Staff Liaisons:
John Hemiup, Senior Transportation Engineer (510) 208-7414 jhemiup@alamedactc.org
Naomi Armenta, Paratransit Coordinator (510) 208-7469 narmenta@alamedactc.org

Location Information: Alameda CTC is located in Downtown Oakland at the intersection of 14th Street and Broadway. The office is just a few steps away from the City Center/12th Street BART station. Bicycle parking is available inside the building, and in electronic lockers at 14th and Broadway near Frank Ogawa Plaza (requires purchase of key card from bikelink.org). There is garage parking for autos and bicycles in the City Center Garage (enter on 14th Street between Broadway and Clay). Visit the Alameda CTC website for more information on how to get to the Alameda CTC: http://www.alamedactc.com/directions.html.

Public Comment: Members of the public may address the committee regarding any item, including an item not on the agenda. All items on the agenda are subject to action and/or change by the committee. The chair may change the order of items.

Accommodations/Accessibility: Meetings are wheelchair accessible. Please do not wear scented products so that individuals with environmental sensitivities may attend. Call (510) 893-3347 (Voice) or (510) 834-6754 (TTD) five days in advance to request a sign-language interpreter.
1. Welcome and Introductions
Paratransit Coordinator Naomi Armenta called the meeting to order at 9:40 a.m. The meeting began with introductions and a review of the meeting outcomes.

Guests Present: Ron Caldwell, American Logistics Company (ALC); Jennifer Cullen, Senior Support Program of the Tri Valley; William Scott, Paratransit Advisory and Planning Committee (PAPCO)

2. Public Comment
There were no public comments.

3. Review of December 13, 2011 Minutes
TAC members reviewed the meeting minutes from December 13, 2011 and by consensus approved them as written.

4. Discussion on Gap Policy
Naomi Armenta introduced the discussion on the Gap Policy. She said the purpose of the discussion was to review the history and guidelines for allocating Gap Funds. The Measure B Expenditure Plan designates “Coordination/Gaps in Service” funding to be allocated by PAPCO to reduce differences that might occur based on the geographic area of any individual needing services. PAPCO has also identified priority projects and programs for
Gap funding that include implementing a range of services, filling emergency gaps, maximizing use of accessible transit, and expanding community education and information.

Questions and feedback from members:
- A TAC member asked what would happen to the $100,000 available annually for Gap Grant Matching if the money wasn’t used. Staff stated that the money would go back into the fund.

Cathleen gave a summary on the proposed funding categories, and informed members that Alameda CTC has developed a Transportation Expenditure Plan (TEP) that may go on the ballot in November 2012 and may increase the amount of funding for paratransit programs and projects. Regarding the current Gap funding, she said that after TAC reviews the Gap funding on January 10, 2012, the timeline is as follows:
  - January 23 – PAPCO will review Gap funding.
  - February 14 – TAC will review the Gap Guidelines.
  - February 27 – PAPCO will make a recommendation on the Gap Guidelines.
  - February or March – Alameda CTC will notify current recipients of an extension opportunity.
  - March 31 – Gap proposals for fiscal year 2012-2013 (FY 12-13) extensions are due.
  - May 21 – PAPCO will make a recommendation on the Gap extensions.
  - June 28 – Alameda CTC Board will act on recommendation for Gap Guidelines and the FY 12-13 extension.

Questions and feedback from members:
- The TAC members asked if the timeline will be made available to all members. Yes, staff will email the timeline to all members.
- What if the new “big funding program” does not happen? Staff stated that the idea is to extend the current Gap Cycle 4 Grant for one more year and start to work on a comprehensive set of policies for the Gap Program, which could have double the funding if the new TEP passes.
- When will TAC members receive the financial projections? Staff stated that draft financial projections will come out in January or February 2012, and staff will send copies to members when available.
- Members expressed strong support of the Mobility Management program.
- A member wanted clarification on the programs and projects that enhance Mobility Management in Alameda County. Staff stated that according to the criteria, if a city has a countywide program or one that crosses travel areas or planning areas, or a volunteer driver program, these programs can qualify, whereas a one-year pilot program may simply be a city-based program. Staff also stated that Gap funds through the one-year pilot program are not necessarily to just fund ongoing city-based programs. The one-year pilot program is to test and see the program demand and to work out all the issues, so a base program can absorb it once the once year is over.
- A member said one thing that is missing is how we evaluate these programs for continued funding, and for cost-effective programs delivery. Staff stated there is a good matrix in travel training. She said if the money doubles for specific programs, we will have to do a lot of thinking about how to use the funding throughout the county and whether we want to do something to encourage more provision of service at the planning area level.

- Members expressed concerns about having to coordinate travel outside Alameda County. Staff stated that we could do countywide and cross-county programs if other counties pay for travel out of Alameda County.

- One member stated that we should clarify Mobility Management eligibility because transportation programs are provided by nonprofit programs, and she thinks Measure B pass-through fund recipients need to be included as well. Staff stated they are already included under other categories, and these have separate criteria, such as for a Measure B provider who provides travel training, a volunteer program, or a program that provides geographic equity.

- If a small agency makes a capital purchase or buys equipment, which category would this fall under, and would it be considered a pilot project? Staff stated that we could add this to the Matching category, and if the project is not eligible, it could be part of the one-year pilot program. Alameda CTC will have to handle these issues case by case.

- Members expressed concern about which capital projects and other projects fall under the one-year pilot, and suggested that Alameda CTC include provisions in the Gap Grant for vehicle purchases. Staff stated that those providers would be eligible to apply for the Gap Grant, regardless if their project was a new pilot or not, and Alameda CTC may fund some things through Mobility Management or through a different category.

Cathleen thanked all members for their feedback. She asked members if they support extending eligible Gap Cycle 4 grants for one more year and then adopting a Mobility Management focus in the future. All TAC members agreed by consensus.

5. Update on HDTS/WSBTS
Krystle presented the Hospital Discharge Transportation Service/Wheelchair Scooter Breakdown Transportation Service (HDTS/WSBTS) update. Krystle said the hospital discharge transportation usually occurs within one hour, and the service provides seven rides per month on average. The hospital staff gives the patient a voucher to pay for the ride, and the cost to the hospital is only $5 per voucher. Eight facilities participate, and the service is at a minimum of one hospital in every single planning area in the county.

Krystle also explained the free services that Alameda CTC administers for two basic wheelchair/scooter breakdown situations:

- Countywide transportation service—a one-way ride home or to a repair facility—for people in mechanical or motorized wheelchairs or scooters in the event of a mechanical breakdown
• Service available to pick up a stranded wheelchair if someone is taken to the hospital in an emergency

She said staff has updated all promotional materials, including flyers, brochures and manuals. She urged members to call the toll-free number to request service or to contact her or Naomi Armenta for questions and/or assistance.

Questions and feedback from members:

• Are emergency vehicles dispatched from one central location? Staff stated she is not aware of where all vehicles are dispatched from, but she thinks unmarked vehicles are dispatched from San Leandro.
• Members expressed their concern about how long it takes to dispatch the emergency vehicles and asked if it’s possible to send volunteer workers to wait with the person until the dispatchers get to him or her. Staff will look into that.
• Is the service available to residents of Contra Costa County, as long as they are picked up and dropped off within Alameda County? Yes, it is.
• Does Alameda CTC keep a record in our database of those who use the service, so you can suspend service if there is any misuse of it? Staff said the phone service provides some information, but Alameda CTC only receives misuse complaints from vendors.

Staff will come back to TAC with more information in April.

6. Technical Exchange
   A. Mobility Management
      None
   
   B. Preparedness
      None
   
   C. Ask a TAC Member
      None
   
   D. Other Technical Exchange Items
      None

7. Information Items
   A. CWTP-TEP Status
      Cathleen provided information on the regional and countywide transportation planning efforts related to the updates of the Countywide Transportation Plan and Sales Tax Transportation Expenditure Plan (CWTP-TEP) as well as the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and the development of the Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS). Cathleen updated members by informing them that the Alameda CTC Board is considering final
approval of the Final TEP on January 26, 2012. She stated that there is still time to provide your comments.

B. SRAC Update
   None

C. PAPCO Update
   None

D. TAC Committee Member Announcements
   None

E. Alameda CTC Staff Report
   None

F. Outreach
   Krystle Pasco informed members that there will be Central County Transportation Forum on Thursday, January 19, 2012 at Hayward City Hall, and she invited all to attend. Krystle also reported on the following upcoming outreach events:
   • 2/24/12 – United Senior of Oakland and Alameda County 21st Annual Convention
   • 3/16/12 – Pleasanton Senior Center Senior Transit Fair

G. Other Staff Updates
   None

8. Draft Agenda Items for Next Meeting
   A. Update on Pass-through Funding Estimates
   B. 2012 Annual Mobility Workshop Brainstorm
   C. Technical Exchange

9. Adjournment
   The meeting adjourned at 11:25 a.m.
This page intentionally left blank.
MEMORANDUM

To: Paratransit Technical Advisory Committee

From: Paratransit Coordination Team

Date: February 1, 2012

Subject: Gap Policy and Guidelines

Beginning in January 2012, TAC and PAPCO were asked to discuss Gap policy and guidelines. Specifically, both committees were asked to consider Gap grant extensions for FY 12/13 and a comprehensive Gap policy to begin FY 13/14. The committees were asked to provide feedback on specific questions about the new gap policy and proposed categories for future gap funding. The issues that were discussed are summarized below. On February 23, 2012 PAPCO will be asked to provide a recommendation to the Alameda CTC Board.

Background

The Measure B Expenditure Plan designates 1.43% of revenue for “Coordination/Gaps in Service” funding. These funds are to be “allocated by PAPCO to reduce differences that might occur based on the geographic residence of any individual needing services.” PAPCO has also identified Priority Projects and Programs for Gap Funding that included implementing a range of services, filling ‘emergency’ gaps, maximizing use of accessible transit, and expanding community education and information.

Current or Past Categories of Gap Funding

Competitive grant programs for Measure B providers and non-profits
Gap Cycles 1-4 awarded over $10,900,000 to 52 grants, including capital projects and programs. Examples include:

- Shuttles
- Travel training
- Taxi programs
- Volunteer driver programs

A significant "lesson learned" from this effort is that pilots often have no sustainable funding stream, and therefore run the risk of needing indefinite renewals or cutting services that people have come to depend on.
Gap Grant Matching
Gap grant matching was designated for Measure B providers or non-profits to access matching funding to apply for grants (e.g. 5310). $100,000 was available annually, but the fund has only been accessed twice:

- 2008 – $60,000 in matching funds for a New Freedom Grant to expand travel training in South County
- 2011 – $10,000 in matching funds for a New Freedom Grant to expand mobility management in Alameda County

Minimum Service Level Grants
Minimum Service Level (MSL) grants were designated to help City-based programs meet Minimum Service Levels as defined by PAPCO in 2006. $100,000 has been available annually and has been fully utilized most years beginning in 2006. Cities are reimbursed for approved expenses after the end of the Fiscal Year. This fund will be unnecessary after FY 12/13 because MSLs have been replaced by Implementing Guidelines.

Stabilization
Stabilization funding was designated to fill gaps in revenue due to a low-performing economy and to help prevent Measure B providers from cutting services. Stabilization funds have been allocated twice.

- $254,773 in 2003-2005
- $820,000 in 2009-2011

Hospital Discharge Transportation Service and Wheelchair Scooter Breakdown Transportation Service
Funding was designated for two small countywide transportation programs to meet small but urgent transportation gaps. These were originally funded through the Mobility Coordination Gap Grants administered by ACTIA. A $50,000 annual contract is maintained to provide these two programs.

Consumer Resources
Gap funding has also been allocated by PAPCO for some of our consumer resources, including Access Alameda Guides and Wheelchair Scooter Breakdown Transportation Service materials.

Gap policy has been somewhat on an “as needed” basis for the first half of the measure. This flexibility in Gap funding has given PAPCO and ACTIA/Alameda CTC the ability to respond to changing needs. However, the County’s Paratransit program has grown and changed over time, and new realities necessitate a reconsideration of our approach to Gap grant funding. First, some categories of funding (e.g. MSL funding) are becoming obsolete. Second, PAPCO and the Alameda CTC have taken steps in recent years to increase coordination between programs and move more towards a mobility management approach in Alameda County. Finally, the need for a more sustainable approach to pilot projects must be addressed.

The following proposal was designed to address these needs.
Extension of Existing Cycle 4 Gap Grants

TAC and PAPCO were asked for initial feedback on a proposal to extend eligible Gap Cycle 4 grants for a third time to provide continued service in FY 12/13. These programs are providing valuable services to consumers throughout the County and depend on Gap funds to continue operating. It is hoped that a successful Measure B3 would provide new options for ongoing funding of some of these successful grants beginning in FY 13/14. An extension through FY12/13 would bridge the gap until this potential new funding stream can be tapped into.

Both committees expressed initial support for a third extension. Initial estimates indicate that this would cost between $960,000 and $1,000,000 of Gap funding.

Proposed criteria for eligible grants are:

- Applicants must be one of the 13 extended grants from FY 11/12 and must demonstrate that the program continues to address closing gaps in services for seniors and people with disabilities
- Applicant will be required to submit cost of operation for one year
- Programs should meet the following categories of priority:
  - Mobility management programs that directly increase consumer mobility – e.g. Travel Training
  - Trip Provision – Shuttles that are cost effective, lessen the burden on base programs, and provide a same-day option as part of a spectrum of services; Volunteer Driver Programs that do the same
  - Other programs that successfully fill an otherwise-unmet need
- Applicant will be required to submit past performance data and targets for FY 12/13
- Applicant will be required to address a future sustainable funding plan with Alameda CTC

Proposed Categories for Gap Funding

Programs and Projects that Enhance Mobility Management in Alameda County

Mobility management programs and projects should account for the majority of Gap funding. Both committees expressed a desire to develop improved performance metrics in order to better measure a project’s cost effectiveness and make sure we are getting the most “bang for our buck”.

Criteria for Funding:

- Programs/projects that enhance mobility management and coordination in Alameda County
- Emphasis on countywide or planning area level programs and projects
- Emphasis should be on projects and programs that do not fit a traditional transportation service model, but service provision that is coordinated at the planning area level or countywide will also be considered.

Examples:

- Travel Training
- Volunteer Driver Programs
• Information and Outreach

Eligible Recipients:
• Non-profits / community-based transportation providers
• Measure B providers (where project benefits the whole planning area or broader)
• Alameda CTC

Proposal for Initial Consideration:
• Two-year cycle beginning FY 13/14
• Competitive process that would run parallel to Program Plan Review
• If appropriate, ongoing funding could be designated for some programs in future cycles

One Year Pilots
The purpose of this category would be to provide assistance to providers in piloting a new program that does not meet the mobility management criteria above.

Criteria for Funding:
• Pilot programs that do not meet the mobility management criteria, i.e. benefit only a single city
• Proposals should be geared towards service provision
• Programs must have a sustainable funding plan after the first year (e.g. be absorbed into a base program or have alternative funding source)

Eligible Recipients:
• Measure B providers
• Non-profits / community-based transportation providers
• Alameda CTC

Proposal for Initial Consideration:
• Competitive process that would run parallel to Program Plan Review beginning FY 13/14

This proposal did not have full concurrence. One committee member expressed strongly that if a provider could afford to absorb a program after the first year, said provider did not need Gap funding to pilot the program. However, this would provide a pot of money for providers to test new service ideas to gauge their usefulness and popularity or to cover initial start-up costs that would not be ongoing.

Gap Grant or Capital Matching
The purpose of this category would be to allow Measure B providers or non-profits to access matching funding to apply for grants (e.g. New Freedom or 5310) or to obtain assistance in making a capital purchase (e.g. a vehicle or scheduling software).

Criteria for Funding:
• All applications, including those for capital expenditures, would require a match
Eligible Recipients:
- Measure B providers
- Non-profits / community-based transportation providers
- Alameda CTC

Proposal for Initial Consideration:
- $75,000 available annually beginning FY 12/13
- Individual award maximum of $25,000
- Requests to be evaluated by PAPCO as needed

Implementation Guidelines Assistance
The purpose of this category would be to help city-based programs meet the Implementation Guidelines that will become effective in FY 13/14. If Measure B3 passes, this assistance will likely not be necessary.

Eligible Recipients:
- Measure B providers

Proposal for Initial Consideration:
- $50,000 available annually
- Requests to be submitted and evaluated during Program Plan Review beginning FY 13/14

Rainy Day Fund
The purpose of this category would be to fill gaps in revenue due to a low-performing economy and prevent Measure B providers from cutting services. Presumably unspent Gap funds could accumulate as a “Rainy Day Fund”. It might be advisable to set a maximum for this fund in the future as funds accumulate.

Eligible Recipients:
- Measure B Providers

Hospital Discharge Transportation Service and Wheelchair Scooter Breakdown Transportation Service
The purpose of this category would be to fund two small countywide programs that fill small but urgent transportation gaps.

Eligible Recipients:
- Alameda CTC

Proposal for Initial Consideration:
- Retain $50,000 annual allotment beginning FY 12/13

Other committee suggestions for all Gap funding include emphasizing cost effectiveness, using quantitative criteria where available to evaluate performance, being flexible in the proposed allocations, and creating a consolidated application.
Next Steps and Proposed Timeline
TAC members are being asked to provide concurrence on Gap Cycle 4 extension criteria for FY 12/13 and proposed Gap funding categories at their February 14 meeting. On February 23, 2012 PAPCO will be asked to provide a recommendation to the Alameda CTC Board.

- January 10 – TAC reviews Gap funding proposals
- January 23 – PAPCO reviews Gap funding proposals
- February 14 – TAC reviews Gap grant extensions and Gap policy
- February 27 – PAPCO makes recommendation on Gap grant extensions and Gap policy
- Feb-Mar – Notify current Gap grant recipients of extension opportunity
- March 31 – Gap grant proposals for FY 12/13 extension due
- May 21 – PAPCO makes recommendation on Gap grant extensions
- June 28 – Alameda CTC Board acts on recommendation for FY 12/13 Gap grant extensions
Countywide Transportation Plan Update and Transportation Expenditure Plan Development Overview

The Alameda CTC is in the process of updating the Alameda County Countywide Transportation Plan (CWTP), a 20-year plan that lays out a strategy for addressing transportation needs for all users in Alameda County and feeds into the Regional Transportation Plan. The Alameda CTC is also developing a new Transportation Expenditure Plan (TEP) concurrently with the CWTP.

The following committees are involved in the CWTP-TEP development process:

**Steering Committee:** Comprised of 13 members from the Alameda CTC including representatives from the cities of Berkeley, Emeryville, Hayward, Livermore, Newark, Oakland, Pleasanton, and Union City, as well as Alameda County, BART and AC Transit. Mayor Mark Green of Union City is the chair and Councilmember Kriss Worthington of Berkeley is the vice-chair. The purpose of the Steering Committee is to lead the planning effort, which will shape the future of transportation throughout Alameda County. To view the meeting calendar, visit [http://www.alamedactc.org/events/month/now](http://www.alamedactc.org/events/month/now).

Staff liaisons:
- Tess Lengyel, Deputy Director of Policy, Public Affairs, and Legislation, (510) 208-7428, tlengyel@alamedactc.org
- Beth Walukas, Deputy Director of Planning, (510) 208-7405, bwalukas@alamedactc.org

**Technical Advisory Working Group (TAWG):** Comprised of agency staff representing all areas of the County including planners and engineers from local jurisdictions, all transit operators in Alameda County, and representatives from the park districts, public health, social services, law enforcement, and education. 

*continued*
The purpose of the Technical Advisory Working Group is to provide technical input, serve in an advisory capacity to the Steering Committee, and share information with the Community Advisory Working Group. To view the meeting calendar, visit http://www.alamedactc.org/events/month/now.

Staff liaisons:
- Beth Walukas, Deputy Director of Planning, (510) 208-7405, bwalukas@alamedactc.org
- Saravana Suthanthira, Senior Transportation Planner, (510) 208-7426, ssuthanthira@alamedactc.org

**Community Advisory Working Group (CAWG):** Comprised of 27 members representing diverse interests throughout Alameda County including business, civil rights, education, the environment, faith-based advocacy, health, public transit, seniors and people with disabilities, and social justice. The purpose of the Community Advisory Working Group is to provide input on the Countywide Transportation Plan and the Transportation Expenditure Plan to meet the multi-modal needs of our diverse communities and businesses in Alameda County, serve in an advisory capacity to the Steering Committee, and share information with the Technical Advisory Working Group. To view the meeting calendar, visit http://www.alamedactc.org/events/month/now.

Staff liaisons:
- Tess Lengyel, Deputy Director of Policy, Public Affairs, and Legislation, (510) 208-7428, tlengyel@alamedactc.org
- Diane Stark, Senior Transportation Planner, (510) 208-7410, dstark@alamedactc.org
Memorandum

DATE: January 30, 2012

TO: ACTAC

FROM: Beth Walukas, Deputy Director of Planning
Tess Lengyel, Deputy Director of Policy, Public Affairs and Legislation

SUBJECT: Review of Countywide Transportation Plan (CWTP) and Transportation Expenditure Plan and Update on Development of a Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS)/Regional Transportation Plan (RTP)

Recommendation
This item is for information only. No action is requested.

Summary
This item provides information on regional and countywide transportation planning efforts related to the updates of the Countywide Transportation Plan and Sales Tax Transportation Expenditure Plan (CWTP-TEP) as well as the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and the development of the Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS).

Discussion
Ten separate committees receive monthly updates on the progress of the CWTP-TEP and RTP/SCS, including ACTAC, the Planning, Policy and Legislation Committee (PPLC), the Alameda CTC Board, the CWTP-TEP Steering Committee, the Citizen’s Watchdog Committee, the Paratransit Advisory and Planning Committee, the Citizen’s Advisory Committee, the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee, and the Technical and Community Advisory Working Groups. The purpose of this report is to keep various Committee and Working Groups updated on regional and countywide planning activities, alert Committee members about issues and opportunities requiring input in the near term, and provide an opportunity for Committee feedback in a timely manner. CWTP-TEP Committee agendas and related documents are available on the Alameda CTC website. RTP/SCS related documents are available at www.onebayarea.org.

February 2012 Update:
This report focuses on the month of February 2012. A summary of countywide and regional planning activities for the next three months is found in Attachment A and a three year schedule for the countywide and the regional processes is found in Attachments B and C, respectively. Highlights at the regional level include release of revised draft Project Performance and Targets Assessment results and the start of the needs and investment strategies and tradeoffs discussion. At the county level, highlights include the Commission adoption of the draft Transportation Expenditure Plan for approval.
by the Alameda CTC Board at its January meeting and continued development of the draft CWTP, including input to MTC on the development of the Preferred SCS and transportation network.

1) SCS/RTP
MTC released draft results of the project performance and targets assessment in November 2011 followed by the draft scenario analysis results on December 9, 2011. Staff made comment on the results and revised project performance results were released on January 24, 2012. The project performance results categorized the highest and lowest performing projects based on benefit/cost and identified guidance for developing compelling case arguments for CMAs and project sponsors to submit to MTC in writing by March 9, 2012. The MTC Planning and ABAG Administrative Committees will be reviewing and acting on the guidance at its meeting on February 17, 2012. Staff is preparing responses on the guidance requesting that inclusion of projects in the RTP consider more than just benefit/cost, but also consider existing policy commitments such as Resolution 3434 and local sales tax measure projects and the ability to meet the MTC/ABAG adopted performance targets that are sustainability based. Of the 31 low performing projects regionwide, 18 of them are transit, energy and lifeline specific. On the SCS, ABAG continued work on the One Bay Area Alternative Land Use Scenarios. Comment letters are being prepared by Alameda CTC staff and will be distributed to the committees as they are available. MTC and ABAG will use the results of the project performance and targets assessment along with the results of the scenario analysis to begin framing the discussion about tradeoffs and investment strategies that will ultimately result in the selection of a preferred land use and transportation scenario. This scenario will be evaluated in March 2012 and results released in April 2012 with an adoption of a preferred scenario still scheduled for May 2012.

2) CWTP-TEP
On January 26, 2012, the Alameda CTC, based on the CWTP-TEP Steering Committee recommendation, adopted the final Transportation Expenditure Plan. Since the December 16, 2011 Commission retreat, three ad hoc committee and one joint CAWG/TAWG meetings were held to respond to final comments on the draft Plan. The Transportation Expenditure Plan will be taken to each city council and the Board of Supervisors for approval by May 2012 per the schedule in Attachment C. Both the final Transportation Expenditure Plan and the draft CWTP will be brought to the Commission in May 2012 for approval so that the Board of Supervisors can be requested at their June 2012 meeting to place the Transportation Expenditure Plan on the ballot on November 6, 2012. Staff continues to work with MTC and ABAG in developing the SCS and RTP. The administrative draft CWTP will now be aligned and made consistent with the Transportation Expenditure Plan and a draft will be reviewed by the CAWG and TAWG and Steering Committee in March.

3) Upcoming Meetings Related to Countywide and Regional Planning Efforts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Regular Meeting Date and Time</th>
<th>Next Meeting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CWTP-TEP Steering Committee</td>
<td>Typically the 4th Thursday of the month, noon Location: Alameda CTC offices</td>
<td>March 22, 2012 May 24, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWTP-TEP Technical Advisory Working Group</td>
<td>2nd Thursday of the month, 1:30 p.m. Location: Alameda CTC</td>
<td>March 8, 2012 May 10, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWTP-TEP Community Advisory Working Group</td>
<td>Typically the 1st Thursday of the month, 2:30 p.m. Location: Alameda CTC</td>
<td>March 8, 2012* May 10, 2012*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The March and May CAWG
Committee | Regular Meeting Date and Time | Next Meeting
--- | --- | ---

SCS/RTP Regional Advisory Working Group  | 1<sup>st</sup> Tuesday of the month, 9:30 a.m. Location: MetroCenter, Oakland | February 7, 2012  
March 7, 2012  
April 3, 2012

SCS/RTP Equity Working Group  | 2<sup>nd</sup> Wednesday of the month, 11:15 a.m. Location: MetroCenter, Oakland | February 8, 2012  
March 7, 2012  
April 3, 2012

SCS Housing Methodology Committee  | Typically the 4<sup>th</sup> Thursday of the month, 10 a.m. Location: BCDC, 50 California St., 26<sup>th</sup> Floor, San Francisco | February 23, 2012

**Fiscal Impact**

None.

**Attachments**

Attachment A: Summary of Next Quarter Countywide and Regional Planning Activities
Attachment B: CWTP-TEP-RTP-SCS Development Implementation Schedule
Attachment C: OneBayArea SCS Planning Process (revised October 2011)
Attachment D: List of City Council meetings for TEP approval
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Summary of Next Quarter Countywide and Regional Planning Activities  
(Feb 2012 through Apr 2012)

Countywide Planning Efforts (CWTP-TEP)
The three year CWTP-TEP schedule showing countywide and regional planning milestone schedules is found in Attachment B. Major milestone dates are presented at the end of this memo. During the February 2012 through April 2012 time period, the CWTP-TEP Committees will be focusing on:

- Coordinating with ABAG and local jurisdictions to provide comments on the Alternative Land Use Scenarios for the Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) and developing the preferred scenario;
- Preparing and submitting comments to MTC on the project performance and targets assessment and scenario evaluation results and developing compelling cases;
- Coordinating with the local jurisdictions and ABAG to develop a draft Alameda County Locally Preferred SCS to test with the financially constrained transportation network in Spring 2012;
- Responding to comments on the Administrative Draft and releasing the Draft CWTP;
- Refining the financially constrained list of projects and programs for the Draft CWTP to align with the adopted TEP;
- Refining the countywide 28-year revenue projections consistent and concurrent with MTC’s 28-year revenue projections;
- Presenting the Draft CWTP to the Steering Committee for approval; and
- Seek jurisdiction approvals of the Final TEP.

Regional Planning Efforts (RTP-SCS)
Staff continues to coordinate the CWTP-TEP with planning efforts at the regional level including the Regional Transportation Plan (MTC), the Sustainable Communities Strategy (ABAG), Climate Change Bay Plan and amendments (San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC)) and CEQA Guidelines (Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD)).

In the three month period for which this report covers, MTC and ABAG are or will be:

- Framing the tradeoff and investment strategy discussion and developing policy initiatives for consideration;
- Refining draft 28-year revenue projections;
- Finalizing maintenance needs and Regional Programs estimates; and
- Developing the preferred land use and transportation scenario.

Staff will be coordinating with the regional agencies and providing feedback on these issues, through:

- Participating on the MTC/ABAG Regional Advisory Working Group (RAWG);
- Submitting local transportation network priorities through the CWTP-TEP process; and
- Commenting on the project performance and alternative land use TEP scenarios results.
Key Dates and Opportunities for Input
The key dates shown below are indications of where input and comment are desired. The major activities and dates are highlighted below by activity:

**Sustainable Communities Strategy:**
- Presentation of SCS information to local jurisdictions: Completed
- Initial Vision Scenario Released: March 11, 2011: Completed
- Draft Alternative Land Use Scenarios Released: Completed (released August 26, 2011)
- Preferred SCS Scenario Released/Approved: April/May 2012

**RHNA**
- RHNA Process Begins: January 2011
- Draft RHNA Methodology Adopted: July 2012
- Draft RHNA Plan released: July 2012
- Final RHNA Plan released/Adopted: April/May 2013

**RTP**
- Develop Financial Forecasts and Committed Funding Policy: Completed
- Call for RTP Transportation Projects: Completed
- Conduct Performance Assessment: Completed
- Transportation Policy Investment Dialogue: November 2011 – April 2012
- Prepare SCS/RTP Plan: April 2012 – October 2012
- Draft RTP/SCS for Released: November 2012
- Prepare EIR: December 2012 – March 2013
- Adopt SCS/RTP: April 2013

**CWTP-TEP**
- Develop Alameda County Locally Preferred SCS Scenario: May 2011 – May 2012
- Call for Projects: Completed
- Administrative Draft CWTP: Completed
- Preliminary TEP Program and Project list: Completed
- Final TEP Adopted: Completed
- TEP approvals from jurisdictions: February – May 2012
- Draft CWTP Released: March 2012
- TEP Outreach: January 2011 – June 2012
- Adopt Final CWTP and TEP: May/Jun 2012
- TEP Submitted for Ballot: July 2012
### Countywide Transportation Plan and Transportation Expenditure Plan

#### Preliminary Development Implementation Schedule - Updated 1/4/2012

**Calendar Year 2010**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>Sept</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alameda CTC Committee/Public Process</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steering Committee</td>
<td>Establish Steering Committee</td>
<td>Working meeting to establish roles/responsibilities, community working group</td>
<td>RFP feedback, tech working group</td>
<td>Update on Transportation/Finance Issues</td>
<td>Approval of Community working group and steering committee next steps</td>
<td>No Meetings</td>
<td>Feedback from Tech, comm working groups</td>
<td>No Meetings</td>
<td>Expand vision and goals for County ?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Advisory Working Group</td>
<td>No Meetings</td>
<td>Roles, resp, schedule, vision discussion/feedback</td>
<td>No Meetings</td>
<td>No Meetings</td>
<td>Education: Transport statistics, issues, financials overview</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Advisory Working Group</td>
<td>No Meetings</td>
<td>Roles, resp, schedule, vision discussion/feedback</td>
<td>No Meetings</td>
<td>No Meetings</td>
<td>Education: Transport statistics, issues, financials overview</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Participation</td>
<td>No Meetings</td>
<td>Stakeholder outreach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Agency Public Education and Outreach**

Information about upcoming CWTP Update and reauthorization

### Alameda CTC Technical Work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Studies/RFP/Work timelines: All this work will be done in relation to SCS work at the regional level</th>
<th>Board authorization for release of RFPs</th>
<th>Pre-Bid meetings</th>
<th>Proposals reviewed</th>
<th>ALP/RUC approves shortlist and interview; Board approves top ranked; auth. to negotiate or NTP</th>
<th>Technical Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Public Participation

No Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder outreach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Sustainable Communities Strategy/Regional Transportation Plan

<p>| Regional Sustainable Community Strategy Development Process - Final RTP in April 2013 | Local Land Use Update P2009 begins &amp; FDA Assessment begins | Green House Gas Target approved by CARB. | Start Vision Scenario Discussions | Adapts methodology for jobs/housing forecast/directory targets | Projections 2011 Base Case | Adapt Voluntary Performance Targets | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>Sept</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alameda CTC Committee/Public Process</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Steering Committee</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopt vision and goals; begin discussion on performance measures, key needs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development plan, outreach for projects, briefing book, outreach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review workshop, outcomes, transportation issues, programs, final project plans, land use, financials, committed projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach and call for projects update project and program screening outcomes, project and program selection, county land use</td>
<td>Outreach update; project and program screening outcomes, call for projects final list to MTC, TEP strategic parameters, land use, financials, committed projects</td>
<td>Outreach update; project and program screening outcomes, call for projects final list to MTC, TEP strategic parameters, land use, financials, committed projects</td>
<td>No Meetings.</td>
<td>No Meetings.</td>
<td>1st Draft CWTP, TEP potential project and program packages, outreach and polling discussion</td>
<td>No Meetings.</td>
<td>Meeting moved to December due to holiday conflict</td>
<td>Review 2nd draft CWTP, 1st draft TEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Technical Advisory Working Group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment on vision and goals; begin discussion on performance measures, key needs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue discussion on performance measures, costs guidelines, call for projects, briefing book, outreach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review workshop, outcomes, transportation issues, programs, final project plans, land use, financials, committed projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach and call for projects update project and program screening outcomes, project and program selection, county land use</td>
<td>Outreach update; project and program screening outcomes, call for projects final list to MTC, TEP strategic parameters, land use, financials, committed projects</td>
<td>Outreach update; project and program screening outcomes, call for projects final list to MTC, TEP strategic parameters, land use, financials, committed projects</td>
<td>No Meetings.</td>
<td>No Meetings.</td>
<td>1st Draft CWTP, TEP potential project and program packages, outreach and polling discussion</td>
<td>No Meetings.</td>
<td>Review 2nd draft CWTP, 1st draft TEP, poll results update</td>
<td>No Meetings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community Advisory Working Group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment on vision and goals; begin discussion on performance measures, key needs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue discussion on performance measures, costs guidelines, call for projects, briefing book, outreach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review workshop, outcomes, transportation issues, programs, final project plans, land use, financials, committed projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach and call for projects update project and program screening outcomes, project and program selection, county land use</td>
<td>Outreach update; project and program screening outcomes, call for projects final list to MTC, TEP strategic parameters, land use, financials, committed projects</td>
<td>Outreach update; project and program screening outcomes, call for projects final list to MTC, TEP strategic parameters, land use, financials, committed projects</td>
<td>No Meetings.</td>
<td>No Meetings.</td>
<td>1st Draft CWTP, TEP potential project and program packages, outreach and polling discussion</td>
<td>No Meetings.</td>
<td>Review 2nd draft CWTP, 1st draft TEP, poll results update</td>
<td>No Meetings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Participation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Workshops in two areas of County vision and needs Central County Transportation Forum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Workshops in all areas of County vision and needs East County Transportation Forum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agency Public Education and Outreach</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing Education and Outreach through November 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alameda CTC Technical Work</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Studies/RFP/Work timelines: All this work will be done in relation to SCS work at the regional level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback on Technical Work, Modified Vision, Preliminary projects lists</td>
<td>Work with feedback on CWTP and financial scenarios</td>
<td>Technical work refinement and development of Expenditure plan, 2nd draft CWTP</td>
<td>Technical Analysis of SCS Scenarios; Adoption of Regional Housing Needs Allocation Methodology</td>
<td>SCS Scenario Result/land funding discussions</td>
<td>Release Preferred SCS Scenario</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Polling</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct baseline poll</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sustainable Communities Strategy/Regional Transportation Plan</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release Initial Vision Scenario</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detailed SCS Scenario Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release Detailed SCS Scenarios</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Analysis of SCS Scenarios; Adoption of Regional Housing Needs Allocation Methodology</td>
<td>SCS Scenario Result/land funding discussions</td>
<td>Release Preferred SCS Scenario</td>
<td>Technical Analysis of SCS Scenarios; Adoption of Regional Housing Needs Allocation Methodology</td>
<td>SCS Scenario Result/land funding discussions</td>
<td>Release Preferred SCS Scenario</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regional Sustainable Community Development Process - Final RTP in April 2013</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss Call for Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call for Transportation Projects and Project Performance Assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Regional Housing Needs Allocation Methodology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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# Countywide Transportation Plan and Transportation Expenditure Plan
## Preliminary Development Implementation Schedule - Updated 1/4/2012

### Calendar Year 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>Sept</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>November</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alameda CTC Committee/Public Process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steering Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopt TEP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VOTE: November 6, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review polling questions, Update on TEP progress through councils, Review final draft CWTP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEP to BOS to approve for placement on ballot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditure Plan on Ballot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOTE: November 6, 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Advisory Working Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Draft TEP, Outcomes of outreach meetings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review polling questions, Update on TEP progress through councils, Review final draft CWTP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review Final Plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOTE: November 6, 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Advisory Working Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Draft TEP, Outcomes of outreach meetings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review polling questions, Update on TEP progress through councils, Review final draft CWTP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review Final Plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOTE: November 6, 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Participation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditure Plan City Council/BOS Adoption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOTE: November 6, 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Public Education and Outreach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing Education and Outreach Through November 2012 on this process and final plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing Education and Outreach Through November 2012 on this process and final plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda CTC Technical Work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Studies/RFP/Work timelines: All this work will be done in relation to SCS work at the regional level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finalize Plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Go/No Go Poll for Expenditure Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Communities Strategy/Regional Transportation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approval of Preferred SCS, Release of Regional Housing Needs Allocation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begin RTP Technical Analysis &amp; Document Preparation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare SCS/RTP Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release Draft SCS/RTP for review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Plan Bay Area Planning Process: Phases 3 & 4 Details for 2012-2013

**Phase 3: Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA) and Draft Plans**
- Draft RHNA
- Regional Housing Need Analysis
- Public Hearings on Draft Plan
- Public Comment
- Draft Region Plan
- Final Plan

**Phase 4: Plan Adoption**
- Final Plan
- Final EIR
- Final Conformity
- Final RHNA

**Scenario Planning and Investment Dialogue**
- Deliberation on Draft Plan
- County Workshops/Public Hearing on Draft Plan
- Public Comment

**Web Activity**
- Surveys
- Updates
- Comment Opportunities

**ABAG Executive Board**
- ABAG
- ABAG
- ABAG
- ABAG
- ABAG
- ABAG
- MTC

**Actions/Decisions**
- Final Plan
- Final EIR
- Final Conformity
- Final RHNA

**Equity Planning Directors**
- Working Group Forum

**Weekly Meeting**
- Regional Planning Committee
- ABAG Regional Planning Committee
- MTC Planning Committee
- MTC Planning Committee
- MTC Planning Committee

**Committee**
- ABAG
- ABAG
- ABAG
- MTC
- MTC

**Public Comment**
- Public Comment
- Public Comment
- Public Comment

**For more information on key actions and decisions and how to get involved, visit OneBayArea.org**
# Calendar - City Council TEP Presentations

## February 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Confirmed City Council Date</th>
<th>Proposed City Council Date</th>
<th>Meeting Time</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/7/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 PM</td>
<td>City of Fremont</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 PM</td>
<td>City of Livermore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/14/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 PM</td>
<td>City of Union City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 PM</td>
<td>City of Hayward</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 PM</td>
<td>City of Emeryville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/28/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>10:30 AM</td>
<td>City of Oakland</td>
<td>Public Works Committee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## March 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Confirmed City Council Date</th>
<th>Proposed City Council Date</th>
<th>Meeting Time</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/5/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 PM</td>
<td>City of San Leandro</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/6/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>5:30 PM</td>
<td>City of Oakland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/19/2012</td>
<td>7:30 PM</td>
<td>City of Piedmont</td>
<td>The clerk would like to wait until the new mayor is in place on February 21, 2012 before scheduling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/20/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 PM</td>
<td>City of Alameda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>10:00 AM</td>
<td>Board of Supervisors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## April 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Confirmed City Council Date</th>
<th>Proposed City Council Date</th>
<th>Meeting Time</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/2/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>7:30 PM</td>
<td>City of Albany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/3/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 PM</td>
<td>City of Dublin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/11/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>5:00 PM</td>
<td>AC Transit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/12/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>7:30 PM</td>
<td>City of Newark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/17/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 PM</td>
<td>City of Pleasanton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/26/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>9:00 AM</td>
<td>BART</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## May 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Confirmed City Council Date</th>
<th>Proposed City Council Date</th>
<th>Meeting Time</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/1/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 PM</td>
<td>City of Berkeley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## CURRENT PAPCO APPOINTMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appointer</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AC Transit</td>
<td>Hale Zukas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>Herb Hastings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor Scott Haggerty, D-1</td>
<td>Michelle Rousey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor Nadia Lockyer, D-2</td>
<td>Sylvia Stadmire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor Wilma Chan, D-3</td>
<td>Betty Mulholland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor Nate Miley, D-4</td>
<td>Will Scott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor Keith Carson, D-5</td>
<td>Sandra Johnson Simon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BART</td>
<td>Esther Waltz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAVTA</td>
<td>Harriette Saunders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Alameda (Pending)</td>
<td>Jonah Markowitz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Albany (Pending)</td>
<td>Aydan Aysoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Berkeley</td>
<td>Shawn Costello</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Dublin</td>
<td>Joyce Jacobson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Emeryville</td>
<td>Sharon Powers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Fremont</td>
<td>Vanessa Proee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Hayward</td>
<td>Jane Lewis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Livermore</td>
<td>Herb Clayton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Newark</td>
<td>Rev. Carolyn M. Orr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Newark</td>
<td>Gaye Lenahan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Oakland</td>
<td>Carmen Rivera-Hendrickson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Piedmont</td>
<td>(Vacancy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Pleasanton</td>
<td>(Vacancy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Leandro</td>
<td>Larry Bunn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Union City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union City Transit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you have any questions, please contact Naomi at (510) 208-7469.
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