SCS/RTP/CWTP-TEP Update

- Update for September 2011
  - Regional Planning Activities
    - Develop Land Use and Transportation Scenarios
    - Prepare for Scenario Analysis
    - Conduct Project Performance Assessment
  - Countywide Planning Activities
    - Release Administrative Draft CWTP
    - Approve TEP Parameters
    - Prepare for Next Round of Outreach and Second Poll
Regional Planning Activities

- ABAG/MTC adopts five land use scenarios and two transportation networks (July 2011)
- Released Land Use Scenarios (August 2011)
  - 2 are unconstrained and assume:
    - Strong employment growth
    - Unconstrained funding to support affordable housing
  - 3 are constrained and are based on financial feasibility:
    - Core Concentration
    - Focused Growth
    - Outer Bay Area Growth
- Developing Transportation Networks (September 2011)
  - T2035 based
  - Core Capacity Transit
Regional Schedule (Proposed)

- Finalize draft land use and transportation networks (September 2011)
- Conduct analysis (October 2011)
- Release project performance assessment results (November 2011)
- Release Scenario Analysis results (December 2011)
- Outreach/Define Preferred Scenario (January 2012)
- Conduct Analysis (February 2012)
- Release Results (March 2012)
- Approve Preferred Scenario (May 2012)
- By January 2012, counties must have approved local priorities
Countywide Planning Activities

- Administrative Draft CWTP released (September 2011)
- $6.8 Billion: 60% programs, 40% projects
  - Strongly supports transit operations and regional rail plan to move more people not cars
  - Establishes guarantees for transit, roadway, community based transportation plans, bike and pedestrian funding
  - Supports TOD, PDAs
  - Supports roadway and highway investments to address freight movement and congestion relief
  - Honors on-going commitments and legislative mandates
Countywide Transportation Plan

- First “Administrative” Draft of the CWTP
- 7 Chapters
- New Format
- Draws on past work:
  - Briefing Book
  - Issue Papers
  - Performance Evaluation
  - Stakeholder Outreach
Developing a Financially Constrained CWTP

- Total estimate of funding available to Alameda County as assigned by MTC: $6.8 billion
- Call for projects resulted in $13 billion
  - Capital project submissions: $3.2 billion
  - Program requests: $9.8 billion
- Fund requests were almost 100% over available funding amounts
Financial Constraints in a New Context

- CWTP to address a new set of goals, different from previous CWTPs
- Consistent with SB 375 and supportive of the Sustainable Communities Strategy
- Consistent with other legislative mandated and adopted goals
  - Maintenance of transit and roads
  - Congestion relief to improve air quality
- Results will include broader list of projects and programs to support:
  - SB 375 and commitments to on-going investments and goals
Considerations in Developing Financially Constrained List

- **Committed Projects**: As defined by MTC are fully funded or under construction and considered as part of baseline transportation network (Figure 6-2).

- **Performance Evaluation**: used to identify relative performance of projects and programs in scenario packages against sustainability goals in adopted CWTP - a starting point.

- **Projects Grouped**: Based on performance evaluation and on-going commitments
  - Group A – Measure B
  - Group B – High performing, low cost (under $5 M) – “low hanging fruit”
  - Group C – Projects from policy and technical consensus processes (Reso. 3434, LATIP, etc.)
  - Group D – Other high performing projects, some high costs
  - Group E – All other projects, generally medium to low scoring
Considerations continued

- **Projects identified in Tiers (Figures 6-3 through 6-6):**
  - Tier 1 – fully funded
  - Tier 2 – partially funded and have commitment to project phase implementation or project development
  - Vision – no discretionary funding proposed in this CWTP, but eligible for funding as it becomes available

- **Projects Moved into Vision Tier:**
  - Projects were moved into Vision funding from Group E if they were low performing and had less than 50% of outside funding identified

- **Program Performance:** Programs were allocated funding based upon CWTP goals, prior commitment, and the importance of new investment strategies to meet SB 375 goals

- **Equity**
## Baseline Funding Request: $13 B

### Table 1: Baseline Funding Request

**Capital Projects and Programs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning Area</th>
<th>Capital Projects</th>
<th>Overall Program Requests</th>
<th>Total Amount by Planning Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North County</td>
<td>$554</td>
<td></td>
<td>$554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central County</td>
<td>$279</td>
<td></td>
<td>$279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South County</td>
<td>$1,112</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East County</td>
<td>$1,267</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,212</strong></td>
<td><strong>$9,868</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13,080</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **MTC Assigned Funding**: $6.8 billion
## Overall Funding Recommendation

Table 2: Proposed Financially Constrained First Draft Countywide Transportation Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital Projects and Programmatic Capital Projects: 40%</th>
<th>Capital Projects</th>
<th>Programmatic Capital Projects</th>
<th>Total Amount by Planning Area</th>
<th>Percent of Total by Planning Area</th>
<th>County Pop. Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North County</td>
<td>$390</td>
<td>$750</td>
<td>$1,140</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central County</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South County</td>
<td>$818</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$828</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East County</td>
<td>$395</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$405</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,753</strong></td>
<td><strong>$970</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,723</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Proposed Project and Programmatic Project Recommendation is 40% of MTC allocated funds**
Proposed Funding for Capital and Programmatic Capital Projects: 40%
Countywide Programs

Recommendation: 60%

- 15 countywide Program Categories (Figure 6-10)
- Program Request: $9.8 billion
- Program Recommendation: $4.1 billion
- Program funding recommendation represents 60% of MTC allocated funds
Proposed Program Funding: 60%
Additional Analysis

- Additional analysis will be done in the next evaluation to address how investments support:
  - Low-income communities
  - Transit-oriented development
  - Priority development areas
Countywide Planning Activities

- CWTP used as the basis to develop the TEP
- TEP Parameters approved by Steering Committee
  - Duration: Permanent with reconsideration every 20 years
  - Amount: Augment current tax; 1 cent beyond 2022
  - Division of funds between Programs and Projects: 60/40
  - Program Categories: Keep current and expand
  - Performance Measures: Yes
  - Flexibility: Yes
  - Distribution of Funds: Formula based, pass through, grants
  - Rainy Day Fund/Excess Funds: Yes
Countywide Schedule

- Steering Committee approves Administrative Draft CWTP/TEP Parameters (September 2011)
- Second Round of CWTP Evaluation, Public Outreach and Develop First Draft TEP (October 2011)
- Steering Committee approves Second Draft CWTP/First Draft TEP (December 2011)
- Commission approves Final Draft CWTP-TEP (December 2011/January 2012)
- Final Round of Evaluation (March 2012)
- Steering Committee/Commission approves Final CWTP-TEP (May/June 2012)
- Submit TEP for ballot (July 2012)
Public Outreach and Second Poll (October 2011)

- **Key Public Outreach Dates:**
  - October 18: District 5/North Planning Area
  - October 19: District 3/Central Planning Area
  - October 24: District 4/North Planning Area
  - October 27: District 2/South Planning Area
  - November 2: District 1/East Planning Area

- **Conduct Second Poll**

- **Other Presentations**
  - October 13: Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Committee
  - October 20: CAC/North County Transportation Forum
  - October 26: Paratransit Advisory and Planning Committee
  - November 7: Citizen’s Watchdog Committee
  - October ACTAC, PPLC and Commission
Questions?