

Comments from CAWG member on TEP parameters (09/20/11):

- Why the 60 (programs) / 40 (projects) split? It seems that this split should be much higher in favor of programs. This would help address the huge need created by the reduced transit operations revenues at the state (STA) and local level (property and sales taxes). The program-related requests outnumbered project requests three to one (\$9,868 vs \$3,212), yet the projects are receiving roughly 2/3 the amount the programs are. Based upon the 'craft your own expenditure plan' exercises done by the TAWG and CAWG, it seems as if the split is more appropriate at 75% (programs)/ 25% (projects) split.
- In addition in putting more of Measure B into programs, I specifically would suggest that a new funding pot be created to assist cities to prevent displacement of existing residents and businesses in Transit Oriented Development.