

FAC Meeting 03/14/11 Agenda Item 3A Handout

Memorandum

DATE:

March 2, 2011

TO:

Finance and Administration Committee

FROM:

Arthur L. Dao, Executive Director Patricia M. Reavey, Finance Director

SUBJECT:

Approval of Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Alameda County Transportation

Commission Member Agency Fee Schedule

Recommendations:

It is recommended that the Commission approve the attached member agency fee schedule for FY2011-12 to support the Alameda County Transportation Commission (Alameda CTC) core functions.

Summary:

Pursuant to the provisions of the new JPA dated March 25, 2010 which created the Alameda CTC, the Alameda CTC is required to adopt an annual budget by June 30 of each year for the succeeding year. However, the Alameda County Congestion Management Agency (ACCMA) has historically adopted the member agencies fee schedule by April 1 with the intent of providing the cities and County of Alameda with the member agency fee schedule for use in developing their respective budgets.

The member agency fee schedule attached for FY2011-12 reflects a 6 percent increase over the fee for FY2010-11 which is a decrease from the growth rate of 9 percent which was employed over the last three years.

Discussion:

The recommended member agency fee schedule for FY2011-12 reflects the same projected fee for the original 15 member agencies for FY2011-12 that was adopted by the ACCMA Board of Directors in January 2007 and adds a portion equal to the average fee of the 15 original member agencies for the two new transit agency members of the Alameda CTC, AC Transit and BART.

Fiscal Impact:

Approval of the recommended fee schedule will set the Alameda CTC's FY2011-12 revenue budget for member agency fees at \$1,315,867 which will be incorporated into the Alameda CTC's consolidated budget scheduled for approval in June, 2011.

Attachments:

Attachment A -

Alameda CTC FY2011-12 Member Agency Fee Schedule

ALAMEDA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION FY2011-12 Member Agency Fee Schedule

Proposition 11	1 Subventions					
2005/06	Percent		FY	10/11 Fees	FY	11/12 Fees
¢ 466.670			Ф	34 234	Ф	36,288
	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF		- C		Ψ	8,129
				-		50,652
						18,561
		(-		3,712
1,302,018	8.72%			95,513		101,243
901,231	6.04%			66,112		70,079
489,291	3.28%			35,893		38,047
273,743	1.83%			20,081		21,286
2,566,697	17.19%			188,286		199,583
69,360	0.46%			5,088		5,393
418,186	2.80%			30,677		32,518
507,462	3.40%			37,226		39,460
438,021	2.93%	***************************************		32,132		34,060
6,456,483	43.24%			473,630		502,048
						77,404
						77,404
\$ 14 931 544	100 00%		\$	1 095 338	\$	1,315,867
	2005/06 \$ 466,679 104,539 651,401 238,695 47,739 1,302,018 901,231 489,291 273,743 2,566,697 69,360 418,186 507,462 438,021	of Total \$ 466,679 3.13% 104,539 0.70% 651,401 4.36% 238,695 1.60% 47,739 0.32% 1,302,018 8.72% 901,231 6.04% 489,291 3.28% 273,743 1.83% 2,566,697 17.19% 69,360 0.46% 418,186 2.80% 507,462 3.40% 438,021 2.93% 6,456,483 43.24%	2005/06 Percent of Total \$ 466,679 3.13% 104,539 0.70% 651,401 4.36% 238,695 1.60% 47,739 0.32% 1,302,018 8.72% 901,231 6.04% 489,291 3.28% 273,743 1.83% 2,566,697 17.19% 69,360 0.46% 418,186 2.80% 507,462 3.40% 438,021 2.93% 6,456,483 43.24%	2005/06 Percent of Total FY \$ 466,679 3.13% \$ 104,539 0.70% \$ 651,401 4.36% \$ 238,695 1.60% \$ 47,739 0.32% \$ 1,302,018 8.72% \$ 901,231 6.04% \$ 489,291 3.28% \$ 273,743 1.83% \$ 2,566,697 17.19% \$ 69,360 0.46% \$ 418,186 2.80% \$ 507,462 3.40% \$ 438,021 2.93% \$ 6,456,483 43.24% \$	2005/06 Percent of Total FY 10/11 Fees \$ 466,679 3.13% \$ 34,234 104,539 0.70% 7,669 651,401 4.36% 47,785 238,695 1.60% 17,510 47,739 0.32% 3,502 1,302,018 8.72% 95,513 901,231 6.04% 66,112 489,291 3.28% 35,893 273,743 1.83% 20,081 2,566,697 17.19% 188,286 69,360 0.46% 5,088 418,186 2.80% 30,677 507,462 3.40% 37,226 438,021 2.93% 32,132 6,456,483 43.24% 473,630	2005/06 Percent of Total FY 10/11 Fees FY \$ 466,679 3.13% \$ 34,234 \$ 104,539 0.70% 7,669 \$ 651,401 4.36% 47,785 \$ 238,695 1.60% 17,510 \$ 47,739 0.32% 3,502 \$ 1,302,018 8.72% 95,513 \$ 901,231 6.04% 66,112 \$ 489,291 3.28% 35,893 \$ 273,743 1.83% 20,081 \$ 2,566,697 17.19% 188,286 \$ 69,360 0.46% 5,088 \$ 418,186 2.80% 30,677 \$ 507,462 3.40% 37,226 \$ 438,021 2.93% 32,132 \$ 6,456,483 43.24% 473,630 \$

History			
Fiscal Year	Fees	% Change	
1991-92	\$1,132,953	N/A	***************************************
1992-93	831,241	-26.63%	
1993-94	639,084	-23.12%	
1994-95	581,195	-9.06%	
1995-96	581,327	0.02%	
1996-97	599,880	3.19%	
1997-98	631,858	5.33%	
1998-99	656,438	3.89%	
1999-00	704,417	7.31%	
2000-01	711,320	0.98%	
2001-02	736,216	3.50%	
2002-03	736,216	0.00%	
2003-04	736,216	0.00%	
2004-05	736,216	0.00%	
2005-06	736,216	0.00%	
2006-07	761,984	3.50%	
2007-08	845,802	11.00%	
2008-09	921,924	9.00%	
2009-10	1,004,898	9.00%	
2010-11	1,095,338	9.00%	
2011-12	1,161,059	6.00%	
2011-12	+ 154,808		

Notes: - The percentage distribution of the Proposition 111 subventions provides the basis for the distribution of member agency annual fees for the original 15 member agencies. The distribution of the Proposition 111 Subventions is based on the most recent year data was provided by the State Controller, which was FY 05/06.

- The distribution for the two new member agencies is based on the average fee of the original 15 members as adopted by the Alameda CTC on July 22, 2010.