





# Measure B Direct Local Distributions Program Compliance Report



### Fiscal Year 2013-2014

June 2015

Alameda County Transportation Commission 1111 Broadway Suite 800 Oakland, CA 94607 www.AlamedaCTC.org

June 2015





Introduction	3
Direct Local Distributions Revenues	4
Direct Local Distributions Expenditures	5
Expenditures	6
Revenues and Expenditure Trends	7
Expenditures by Transportation Mode	8
Expenditures by Project Phase	9
Expenditures by Project Type	11
Timely Use of Funds and Reserve Policy	14
Capital Fund Reserve Monitoring	16
Capital Fund Reserve Summary	16
Capital Fund Reserve Window Fund Balances	17
Local Streets and Roads Capital Fund Reserve FY13-16 Window $\ . \ .$	20
Bicycle and Pedestrian Capital Fund Reserve FY 13-16 Window	21
Local Streets and Roads Capital Fund Reserve FY14-17 Window $\ . \ .$	22
Bicycle and Pedestrian Capital Fund Reserve FY 14-17 Window	23
Measure B Fund Balances	24
Local Streets and Roads	24
Bicycle and Pedestrian	25
Mass Transit	26
Paratransit	27

## Introduction



In 1986, Alameda County voters approved the Measure B Transportation Expenditure Plan, which authorized the collection of a half-cent transportation sales tax to finance transportation improvements throughout the county. With the revenue generated through the sales tax, Alameda County became one of the first "self-help" counties in California. As the 1986 expenditure plan neared expiration, in November 2000, approximately 81.5 percent of Alameda County voters reauthorized the Measure B Transportation Expenditure Plan to continue sales tax collections through 2022. Alameda CTC distributes approximately 60 percent of net Measure B revenues to local Alameda County jurisdictions on a monthly basis as Direct Local Distributions (DLDs).

In FY 13-14, Alameda CTC distributed approximately \$66.6 million to the twenty local jurisdictions in Alameda County. Each fiscal year, Alameda CTC requires these recipients to report on their Measure B Direct Local Distribution fund expenditures.

Alameda County jurisdictions rely on Measure B funds for numerous types of projects including bikeways, bicycle parking facilities, pedestrian crossing improvements, intersection and signal improvements, guardrails, street resurfacing and maintenance, bus and ferry operations, rail services, shuttle and fixed transit operations, and programs for seniors and people with disabilities.

This Compliance Report provides a summary of FY 13-14 revenues and expenditures reported by Measure B recipients, as required by a Master Programs Funding Agreement (MPFA) that was executed between Alameda CTC and the local jurisdictions in 2012. The MPFA outlines the funding distribution to the recipients, eligible expenditures, and reporting requirements pertaining to the use of the transportation sales tax.

Measure B recipients are required to submit an audited financial statement and complete a compliance reporting process, including submitting the following deliverables annually to Alameda CTC:

- Road miles: The number of maintained road miles within the city's jurisdiction.
- Population: The number of people the jurisdiction's transportation program serves in the fiscal year.
- Newsletter: Documentation of a published article that highlights the Measure B funded improvements.
- Website: Documentation of program information on the agency's website including a link to Alameda CTC's website.
- **Signage:** Documentation of the public identification of the program improvements as a benefit of Measure B.
- Pavement Condition Index: Documentation of the agency's Pavement Condition Index (PCI) to provide a frame of reference for the condition of their local streets and roads as applicable to the Local Streets and Road Program.
- Bicycle/Pedestrian Master Plans Update: Confirm local Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plans are updated regularly.
- Timely Use of Funds and Reserve Policy: Provide an implementation plan using unexpended fund balances. Per the MPFA, local jurisdictions must expend Measure B funds in an expeditious manner, and no unexpended funds beyond those identified in specified reserve categories are permitted. If Measure B recipients do not meet the Timely Use of Funds requirements, unspent funds may be subject to rescission.



Dollars in millions

Total Distributions	\$66.7	100%
4 Bicycle and Pedestrian	\$4.5	6%
3 Paratransit	\$10.7	16%
2 Mass Transit	\$25.1	38%
1 Local Streets and Roads	\$26.4	40%

### Measure B Direct Local Distributions Revenues

The Alameda CTC disburses Measure B Direct Local Distribution funds on a monthly basis to local Alameda County jurisdictions for their transportation programs based on distribution formulas identified in the 2000 Measure B Transportation Expenditure Plan. This report summarizes the total Alameda CTC Measure B allocations and agency expenditures for fiscal year 2013-2014 (FY 13-14).

The data within this report is based on information included in compliance and audited financial statement reports that the jurisdictions submitted. The individual reports and audits are available for review online at <u>http://www. alamedactc.org/app\_pages/view/4135</u>.

#### **Measure B Direct Local Distributions**

Measure B sales tax collections have increased from the prior years with the strengthening economy. In FY 13-14, Alameda CTC provided approximately \$66.7 million in Measure B Direct Local Distributions funds to four transportation programs:

- 1) Local Streets and Roads (\$26.4 million)
- 2) Mass Transit Services (\$25.1 million)
- 3) Special Transportation Services for Seniors and People with Disabilities (paratransit) (\$10.7 million)
- 4) Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety (\$4.5 million)

The FY 13-14 distributions are approximately \$2 million more than the prior fiscal year. In the audited financial statements and compliance reports, the agencies reported the receipt of \$66.7 million in Direct Local Program Distributions, and used approximately \$63.9 million in FY 13-14.





### Measure B Direct Local Distribution Program Expenditures

Each fiscal year, local jurisdictions utilize Direct Local Distribution funds to implement their projects and programs. In FY 13-14, jurisdictions expended \$63.9 million on transportation improvements in Alameda County. That is approximately \$2.8 million less than the annual revenue received by the jurisdictions. In a closer examination of the programs, paratransit and mass transit fund recipients noted Measure B funds were expended but not classified in the FY 13-14 reporting year. This creates an appearance of unused funds for the fiscal year, but the funds have been spent and will be captured in the following fiscal year. Through the compliance report, jurisdictions provide implementation plans using remaining Measure B funds in the future fiscal years for all of their Measure B funded programs.

See the chart below for more information on Measure B FY 13-14 Direct Local Distribution balances, annual revenue distributions, and expenditures.



### FY 13-14 Measure B Expenditures and Fund Balances

Jurisdiction	13-14 Starting	13-14	13-14	13-14	13-14 Ending
	MB Balance	MB Revenue	MB Interest	MB Expended	MB Balance
AC Transit	\$0	\$25,360,728	\$0	\$22,296,461	\$3,064,267
BART	\$0	\$1,763,298	\$0	\$1,763,298	\$0
LAVTA	\$0	\$969,687	\$0	\$969,687	\$0
WETA	\$3,183,231	\$923,069	\$2,015	\$661,891	\$3,446,424
ACPWA	\$749,251	\$2,940,831	\$10,076	\$1,443,996	\$2,256,162
ACE	\$2,478,936	\$2,508,854	\$4,821	\$2,824,169	\$2,168,442
City of Alameda	\$3,008,030	\$2,017,093	\$28,795	\$2,298,204	\$2,755,714
City of Albany	\$428,577	\$467,919	\$1,146	\$768,464	\$129,178
City of Berkeley	\$1,548,672	\$3,342,735	\$1,278	\$2,330,062	\$2,562,623
City of Dublin	\$904,164	\$520,539	\$4,135	\$559,739	\$869,099
City of Emeryville	\$153,022	\$301,474	\$1,474	\$39,170	\$416,800
City of Fremont	\$4,194,004	\$3,561,826	\$19,568	\$4,490,636	\$3,284,761
City of Hayward	\$2,170,957	\$3,292,248	\$8,746	\$3,431,698	\$2,040,253
City of Livermore	\$1,879,663	\$1,188,417	\$19,883	\$1,157,631	\$1,930,332
City of Newark	\$244,705	\$717,001	\$997	\$487,502	\$475,201
City of Oakland	\$12,016,585	\$11,930,940	\$40,017	\$12,539,565	\$11,447,976
City of Piedmont	\$555,948	\$425,931	\$1,417	\$589,535	\$393,761
City of Pleasanton	\$2,289,901	\$1,031,710	\$31,276	\$1,666,789	\$1,686,098
City of San Leandro	\$3,472,226	\$1,835,523	\$12,312	\$1,899,673	\$3,420,388
City of Union City	\$1,201,273	\$1,562,322	\$12,196	\$1,633,452	\$1,142,339
Total	\$40,479,144	\$66,662,145	\$200,152	\$63,851,622	\$43,489,819

Notes:

1. The table above reflects Measure B financials reported on the Audited Financial Statements and Compliance Reports.

2. Revenue and expenditure figures throughout this report may vary due to number rounding.

3. The Starting MB Balance may vary from the prior year due to restatement of fund balances in FY 13-14.

4. The Ending MB Balance includes interest on Measure B funds.



Total Measure B Funds Expended

	\$5.6	0%
4 Bicycle and Pedestrian	¢E /	8%
<b>3</b> Paratransit	\$10.5	16%
<b>2</b> Mass Transit	\$23.9	37%
1 Local Streets and Roads	\$25.4	39%

#### Total Measure B Funds Expended by Type

Dollars in millions1 MB DLD Funds\$63.998%2 MB Discretionary\$1.52%Total Expended\$65.4100%

# Measure B Direct Local Distributions and Discretionary Fund Expenditures

As part of the Annual Program Compliance Reporting process, agencies provided expenditure details on their Measure B expenses. This includes reporting on Measure B Direct Local Distribution expenses and project/ program financing using "Other Measure B" funds such as Measure B discretionary grant awards.

In FY 13-14, agencies reported a total of \$65.4 million in Measure B expenditures. This includes \$63.9 million in Measure B Direct Local Distribution fund expenditures and \$1.5 million in "Other Measure B" funds. These expenditures supported infrastructure improvements on local roadways, and bicycle and pedestrian facilities, as well as paratransit and transit operations.

By program type, agencies spent 39 percent of total Measure B funds on local streets and roads, 37 percent on mass transit, 16 percent on paratransit, and 8 percent on bicycle and pedestrian projects.

#### **Measure B Direct Local Distribution Expenditures**

Of the reported \$63.9 million of Measure B Direct Local Distribution expenditures, local jurisdictions used their previous year's fund balance (\$40.5 million) and a portion of their FY 13-14 Measure B Direct Local Distribution funds (\$66.7 million) to implement FY 13-14 improvements. Remaining fund balances are identified for use in the jurisdictions' compliance reports.

#### Other Measure B Discretionary Fund Expenditures

Discretionary Measure B funds that are awarded through Alameda CTC's grant programs are distributed to local jurisdictions on a reimbursement basis. In FY 13-14, agencies reported approximately \$1.5 million in Other Measure B expenditures that were used in conjunction with Measure B Direct Local Distributions to implement a robust project or program. These discretionary grant expenditures include the following:

- Express Bus Service Grant Program (\$1.0 million)
- Paratransit Gap Grant Program (\$0.5 million)

Measure B grant fund recipients receive payment after submitting a request for reimbursement for costs already incurred. As such, recipients reported their grant fund expenditures on an accrual basis, according to invoices submitted during FY 13-14.

### **Measure B Revenues and Expenditure Trends**

Each year, the state of the economy directly affects the amount of transportation sales tax revenue generated in Alameda County. Since the events in 2007 that precipitated an economic downturn, the annual net sales tax revenue has steadily increased, as shown in the chart below. The progressive growth in sales tax revenues has resulted in an increase of overall Measure B program distributions to the jurisdictions.





In FY 13-14, Measure B expenditures by the jurisdictions were in-line with the prior year's reported expense amounts. However, expenditures were slightly less than the annual revenue received for the fiscal year. This contributed to a \$2.8 million increase in the overall year end fund balance among the jurisdictions. The chart below details Measure B funds expended over the last six fiscal years.

#### Measure B Expenditures Trends FY 08-09 through FY 13-14



Note: "Other Measure B" includes Measure B discretionary grants.





Transportation Modes: Transit, Local Streets, and Bicycle and Pedestrian

In FY 13-14, jurisdictions used \$65.4 million in Measure B funds to support the following transportation modes within each program:

- Bicycle and pedestrian: Of the \$5.6 million used, local agencies spent:
  - 42 percent on bicycle and pedestrian improvements;
  - 40 percent on direct pedestrian improvements; and
  - 18 percent on direct bicycle improvements.
- Local streets and roads: Of the \$25.4 million used, local agencies spent:
  - 75 percent on local road improvement projects;
  - 14 percent on bicycle and pedestrian projects; and
  - 11 percent on other projects including paratransit services, bus facilities improvements, general program administration, and traffic management.
- Mass transit: Of the \$23.9 million used, local agencies spent:
  - 85 percent on bus operations;
  - 12 percent on rail operations; and
  - 3 percent on ferry operations.
- Paratransit: Of the \$10.5 million used, local agencies spent
  - 55 percent on services for people with disabilities;
  - 44 percent on services for seniors and people with disabilities;
  - 1 percent on other senior transportation services.

#### Measure B Expenditures by Transportation Mode

	Bicycle and Pedestrian Fund	Local Streets and Roads Fund	Mass Transit Fund	Paratransit Fund	Total Expenditures
Bicycle	\$1,032,874	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,032,874
Bicycle and Pedestrian	\$2,312,653	\$808,732	\$0	\$0	\$3,121,385
Pedestrian	\$2,224,728	\$2,627,988	\$0	\$0	\$4,852,716
Local Streets and Roads	\$0	\$19,067,587	\$O	\$0	\$19,067,587
Bus	\$0	\$4,836	\$20,407,575	\$0	\$20,412,411
Ferry	\$0	\$0	\$661,891	\$O	\$661,891
Rail	\$0	\$0	\$2,824,169	\$O	\$2,824,169
Disabled Services	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,871,108	\$5,871,108
Meals on Wheels	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,266	\$7,266
Seniors and Disabled Services	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,620,313	\$4,620,313
Other	\$0	\$2,926,348	\$0	\$771	\$2,927,119
Total	\$5,570,255	\$25,435,491	\$23,893,635	\$10,499,458	\$65,398,839

Note: Measure B expenditures by mode include both Direct Local Distributions and grant funds.

### Measure B Expenditures by Project Phase

Measure B funds are invested in a wide variety of projects across Alameda County to improve and maintain the transportation infrastructure. By project phase, the twenty Direct Local Distribution fund recipients reported expenditures of 53 percent of Measure B funds on operations. These dollars helped agencies to maintain roadways, bicycle trails, and transit operations to create greater access, safety and travel convenience to commuters and residents.

Other top expenditures by phase include:

- Construction (\$14.7 million)
- Maintenance (\$6.6 million)
- Project Completion / Closeout (\$4.0 million)
- Scoping, Feasibility and Planning (\$4.0 million)



Total Measure B Expenditures by Phase

Total Expenditures	\$65.4	100%
7 Other	\$0.7	1%
<b>6</b> PS&E	\$0.7	1%
<b>5</b> Scoping, Feasibility and Planning	\$4.0	6%
Closeout	\$4.0	6%
4 Project Completion /		
3 Maintenance	\$6.6	10%
2 Construction	\$14.7	23%
1 Operations	\$34.7	53%
Dollars in millions		

#### Local Streets and Roads Expenditures by Project Phase

In FY 13-14, agencies reported \$25.4 million in Local Transportation Program expenditures. Of this amount, \$22.5 million was spent on projects that directly improved road and bicycle/pedestrian facilities, while the remaining \$2.9 million funded transit infrastructure and services.

By Project Phase, the majority of the expenses were reported in the Construction Phase in the amount of \$10.0 million (39%). Construction projects include street resurfacing, street reconstruction and overlay, drainage improvements, turn lanes, curb ramps, and stair repairs. An additional \$6.6 million (26%) was spent on the Maintenance Phase which includes pot hole repair, traffic signal repair services, and trail maintenance.

Other top local streets and roads expenditures by phase include:

- Project Completion / Closeout (\$3.7 million)
- Scoping, Feasibility and Planning (\$3.5 million)

#### FY 13-14 Program Highlights:

- The City of Albany performed pavement rehabilitation on 2,800 square feet of pavement, repaired 485 potholes, and restriped bicycle lanes and sharrows.
- The City of Dublin resurfaced over 295,000 square feet of street pavement to prolong the life of existing roadways.
- The City of Newark removed and replaced drainage sidewalks, curb ramps, and damaged gutters 3,800 linear feet.



Local Streets & Roads Expenditures by Phase Dollars in millions

Total Expenditures	\$25.4	100%
7 Other	\$0.4	1%
<b>6</b> PS&E	\$0.5	2%
5 Operations	\$0.7	3%
<b>4</b> Scoping, Feasibility & Planning	\$3.5	14%
3 Project Completion/ Closeout	\$3.7	15%
<b>2</b> Maintenance	\$6.6	26%
1 Construction	\$10.0	39%

### **Expenditures by Project Phase**



#### Mass Transit Expenditures by Phase

Total Expenditures	\$23.9	100%
2 Other	\$0.7	3%
1 Operations	\$23.2	97%
Dollars in millions		



#### Paratransit Expenditures by Phase

Total Expenditures	\$10.5	100%
1 Operations	\$10.5	100%
Dollars in millions		



#### **Bicycle and Pedestrian Expenditures by Phase** Dollars in millions

Total Expenditures	\$5.6	100%
<b>6</b> Other	\$0.1	2%
5 PS&E	\$0.2	3%
Closeout		
4 Project Completion/	\$0.3	6%
3 Operations	\$0.3	6%
& Planning	\$0.6	10%
2 Scoping, Feasibility		
1 Construction	\$4.1	73%
Dolidis II I I IIIIIO IIs		

#### Mass Transit Expenditures by Project Phase

Transit agencies expended 97% of Measure B Mass Transit funds on service operations in the amount of \$23.2 million. Additional expenditures are tied to construction related improvements including ferry maintenance and transit facility repairs.

FY 13-14 Program Highlights:

- Measure B funds supported AC Transit's fixed route transit operations to provide over 49.3 million one-way trips.
- LAVTA used a combination of Measure B Direct Local Distributions and discretionary grant funds to provide 1.7 million one-way trips for Tri-Valley residents and commuters.
- San Francisco Water Emergency Transportation Authority performed mid-life refurbishments on the Bay Breeze ferry.

#### Paratransit Expenditures by Project Phase

Agencies spent 100 percent of the \$10.5 million in Measure B paratransit funds on operations to provide services include transportation, meal delivery, and travel training to seniors and people with disabilities.

FY 13-14 Program Highlights:

- The City of Berkeley provided over 9,000 taxi trips for medical, grocery, and recreational trips as part of their same day transportation program.
- The City of Fremont provided 19,000 trips through its Measure B funded city-baed transportation services for seniors and persons with disabilities.

#### Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety Expenditures by Project Phase

Agencies reported total expenditures of \$5.6 million on bicycle and pedestrian projects. The majority of these expenditures funded construction of capital projects such as lanes and pathways for bicyclists and pedestrians, sidewalk and ramp repair, and bicycle facilities. Many of the improvements from Measure B funding made intersections and walkways safer and more accessible for pedestrians and bicyclists.

FY 13-14 Program Highlights:

- The City of Hayward constructed 1,285 linear feet of new sidewalks on Huntwood Ave, D. Street, and Industrial Blvd.
- The City of Piedmont expended Measure B on the development of a Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan to establish a plan to improve bicycle and pedestrian safety.

### Measure B Expenditures by Project Type

#### Local Streets and Roads Expenditures by Project Type

Jurisdictions reported a total of \$25.4 million in local street and road expenditures for transportation improvements. By project type, approximately \$10.9 million went to street resurfacing and maintenance, \$4.2 million financed staffing program administration, and \$3.1 million was used for sidewalk and ramp improvements. The other expenditures including financing a wide variety of improvements such as sidewalk and ramp repairs, equipment and field supplies for street projects, guardrails, and bicycle safety education training.

#### FY 13-14 Program Highlights:

- The Alameda County Public Works used Measure B to maintain service operations of the bridges leading into the City of Alameda.
- The City of Emeryville's maintenance department covered over 19 lane miles of street paint and crack-sealing to improve safety and general pavement conditions.
- The City of Livermore performed improvements to existing signals with the installation of LED lights at three intersections and twenty street lights.
- The City of Oakland installed a new traffic signal at International and 53rd to improve traffic and pedestrian safety.



Local Streets & Roads Expenditures by Type Dollars in millions

To	otal Expenditures	\$25.4	100%
	Improvements	\$0.3	1%
10	DPedestrian Crossing		
9	Bikeways & Multiuse Paths	\$0.3	1%
8	Operations	\$0.4	1%
7	Traffic Calming	\$0.6	3%
6	Bridges and Tunnels	\$1.7	7%
5	Other	\$1.9	8%
4	Signage	\$2.0	8%
3	Sidewalk and Ramps	\$3.1	12%
2	Staffing	\$4.2	17%
1	Street Resurfacing & Maintenance	\$10.9	43%



### Mass Transit Expenditures by Project Type

Of the \$23.9 million Mass Transit Program expenditures by transit agencies, by project type approximately 97% of funds went to operations and the remaining amount was used for equipment purchases and facilities maintenance.

FY 13-14 Program Highlights:

- The Altamont Commuter Express transported over 1.1 million passengers to the Vasco, Livermore, Pleasanton, and Fremont stations.
- The San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation Authority contunues its refurbishment projects at the Alameda Main Street and Oakland Jack London Square terminals.

Mass Transit Expenditures by Type

Total Expenditures	\$23.9	100%
2 Other	\$0.7	3%
1 Operations	\$23.2	97%
Dollars in millions		

### **Expenditures by Project Type**



Paratransit Expenditures by Type

4% 4% 3% 2% 1% 1%
4% 3% 2% 1%
4% 3% 2%
4% 3%
4%
4%
6%
7%
11%
60%

#### Paratransit Expenditures by Project Type

By project type, agencies reported the majority of the \$10.5 million in Measure B Paratransit program expenditures for Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) mandated service, which includes approximately \$5.9 million in AC Transit and BART ADA-mandated paratransit services provided through the East Bay Paratransit Consortium. Other paratransit expenditures by type include \$1.2 million for city-based door-to-door programs and \$682,000 for same-day taxi programs.

These expenditures also include Paratransit Gap Grant projects intended to reduce the differences in special transportation available to individuals in different geographic areas of Alameda County.

#### FY 13-14 Program Highlights:

- The City of Alameda's Paratransit Shuttle provided over 4,000 one-way trips funded exclusively with Measure B funds.
- The Albany Senior Center Community Shuttle provided over 5,000 accessible door-to-door service to grocery stores, group trips, and recreational facilities.
- The City of Hayward implemented the Central County Same Day Taxi program and provided same day services through Measure B Direct Local Distributions.
- The City of Newark provided approximately 2,000 one-way trips for local door-to-door medical, grocery, and recreational trips for seniors and people with disabilities.
- The City of Oakland's van voucher program transported over 13,000 seniors and people with disabilities.
- The City of Pleasanton's Downtown Route Shuttle provided 2,250 oneway trips to eligible residents.



### **Expenditures by Project Type**

#### Bicycle and Pedestrian Expenditures by Project Type

By project type, the majority of Measure B expenditures were for sidewalk and ramp improvements (\$1.2 million), pedestrian improvements (\$0.9 million), and streetscape/complete street enhancements (\$0.8 million). These projects continue to be among the annual reoccurring expenditures financed through the Measure B Bicycle and Pedestrian Program.

#### FY 13-14 Program Highlights:

- Alameda County implemented streetscape improvements on Grove Way from Meekland to Western to improve access for pedestrians and bicyclists.
- The City of Fremont constructed a new sidewalk and curb ramp on East Warren Avenue from Yakima Drive to West of I-680, which enhances an access point to James Leitch Elementary School.
- The City of Pleasanton continues intersection improvements at I-580/ Foothill Road interchange to improve operations and travel safety through this corridor.
- Union City performed a traffic study at Alvarado-Niles Road to evaluate potential pedestrian crossing improvements. The City also continues to implement its citywide trail system rehabilitation program to repair asphalt and trail paths.



#### Bicycle and Pedestrian Expenditures by Type

Total Expenditures	<b>\$5.6</b>	100%
12. Master Plan	\$ -	1%
11 Education and Promotion	\$0.1	2%
10 Multi-Use Paths	\$0.1	2%
9 Bridges and Tunnels	\$0.2	3%
8 Other	\$0.3	4%
7 Bike Parking	\$0.3	5%
6 Staffing	\$0.3	6%
5 Bikeways (non-Class 1)	\$0.7	12%
4 Safety Improvements	\$0.7	13%
3 Complete Streets	\$0.8	15%
2 Pedestrian Improvements	\$0.9	16%
1 Sidewalk and Ramps	\$1.2	21%
Dollars in millions		

#### Measure B Program Administration

Per the Master Programs Funding Agreement, Measure B is eligible to fund activities that support the implementation and construction of transportation related improvements. Each year Measure B recipients expend funds not only on construction activities, but also on staffing activities associated with program administration and project development.

In FY 13-14, approximately 9 percent of Measure B expenditures supported the following program administration activities:

- Engineering development
- Transportation planning
- Street resurfacing and maintenance, traffic operations services, electrical services, pavement rehabilitation, pothole repair, and preventative maintenance
- Information technology services
- Customer service and outreach
- Bicycle/pedestrian planning
- Paratransit program management





### **Timely Use of Funds and Reserve Policy**

In order to ensure agencies are expending Measure B funds expeditiously on local transportation improvements, the MPFA's Timely Use of Funds Policy requires jurisdictions to report anticipated use of all Measure B funds for each of their programs. As part of the annual compliance reporting process, jurisdictions provide information on planned uses of Measure B funds and anticipated projects.

Per the MPFA's Fund Reserve Policy, jurisdictions can establish certain fund reserves to account for unexpended balances. The types of fund reserves and their eligibilities are noted in the following chart.

#### **Fund Reserve Categories**

Reserve Category	Maximum Funding Allotment	ing Timely Use of Funds Requirement	
Capital Fund Reserve Recipients may establish a specific capital fund reserve to fund specific large capital project(s) that could otherwise not be funded with a single's year revenue of Measure B funds.	None.	(1)	Recipients shall expend all reserve funds by the end of three fiscal years following the fiscal year during which the reserve was established.
Operations Fund Reserve Recipients may establish and maintain a specific reserve to address operational issues, including fluctuations in revenues, and to help maintain transportation operations.	50 percent of anticipated annual Measure B Direct Local Distribution revenue	(1) (2)	Revolving fund Unexpended funds may be reassigned in the subsequent fiscal year.
Undesignated Fund Reserve Recipients may establish and maintain a specific reserve for transportation needs over a fiscal year for grants, studies, contingency, etc.	10 percent of anticipated annual Measure B Direct Local Distribution revenue	(1)	Unexpended funds may be reassigned in the subsequent fiscal year.

### **Monitoring Timely Use of Funds and Reserves**

As part of the annual compliance report, Measure B recipients are required to provide an implementation plan using uncommitted fund balances and anticipated annual revenue. Over the subsequent annual compliance reports, Alameda CTC will utilize the reported information to track reported expenditures and to monitor the implementation plans for compliance with the MPFA's Timely Use of Funds Policy.

As part of the FY 11-12 Annual Compliance Report, Alameda CTC implemented the first year of monitoring and tracking fund reserves. Jurisdictions identified implementation plans using remaining fund balances per the Timely Use of Funds Policy. Each subsequent fiscal year, jurisdictions are required to provide updated implementation plans using uncommitted fund balances at the end of the fiscal year (i.e. funds not already identified in a previous plan). Alameda CTC continues to monitor these implementation plans for expenditure compliance.

Alameda CTC's compliance reporting evaluation includes the following:

- 1. Monitor jurisdictions' implementation plans to ensure jurisdictions are actively expending Measure B funds and enhancing the local transportation system throughout Alameda County.
- 2. Review jurisdictions' updated implementation plans which include the identification of uncommitted fund balances and anticipated annual revenue for the next fiscal year.

The charts on the following pages provides a monitoring summary of Capital Fund Reserve balances, a review of the jurisdictions' Measure B Direct Local Distribution fund balances, and expenditures by reserve category.





### Measure B Capital Fund Reserve Monitoring Window Summary

Alameda CTC monitors identified Capital Fund Reserves for expenditure compliance within set four year periods per the Timely Use of Funds Policy.

As part of the FY 11-12 reporting, jurisdictions identified a plan to use all Measure B funds available in FY 12-13. This established a Capital Fund Reserve Plan that spans from FY 12-13 through FY 15-16, referred to as the FY 13-16 Capital Fund Reserve Window (FY 13-16 Window). In total, jurisdictions identified \$41.7 million in this window and have expended \$27.4 million as of the end of FY 13-14. Jurisdictions have until the end of FY 15-16 to expend the remaining \$14.3 million.

As part of the FY 12-13 reporting, jurisdictions identified a plan to use all available Measure B funds in FY 13-14 (that were not already identified in a previous Capital Reserve). This established a Capital Fund Reserve Plan that spans from FY 13-14 through FY 16-17, referred to as the FY 14-17 Capital Fund Reserve Window (FY 14-17 Window). In total, jurisdictions identified \$19.6 million and have expended \$3.8 million as of the end of FY 13-14. Jurisdictions have until the end of FY 16-17 to expend the remaining \$15.8 million.

As part of the FY 13-14 reporting, jurisdictions identified a plan to use all Measure B funds available in FY 13-14 (that were not already identified in previous Capital Reserves). This established a Capital Fund Reserve Plan that spans from FY 14-15 through FY 17-18, referred to as the FY 15-18 Capital Fund Reserve Window (FY 15-18 Window). In total, jurisdictions identified \$16.5 million and have until the end of FY 17-18 use this reserve.

#### Capital Reserve Window Summary

Reserve Window	FY 12-13	FY 13-14	FY 14-15	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18
FY 13-16 Window		\$41.7 million committed \$14.3 million remaining				
FY 14-17 Window		\$19.6 million committed \$15.8 million remaining				
FY 15-18 Window				\$16.5 millior	<b>committed</b> \$16.5 million r	emaining

Over the next two years of implementing the Timely Use of Funds and Reserve Policy, Alameda CTC anticipates a further reduction of overall Measure B fund balances as shown below.

Anticipated Year End Capital Fund Reserve Balance



### **Measure B Capital Fund Reserve** FY 13-16 Window Fund Balances

#### FY 13-16 Capital Fund Reserve Window

In the first year of implementation of the MPFA's Timely Use of Funds Policy, jurisdictions identified \$41.7 million in the FY 13-16 Window as part of the FY 11-12 Compliance Report. Over two fiscal years, jurisdictions have expended \$27.4 million from this reserve. At the end of FY 13-14, jurisdictions' collective FY 13-16 Window balance is approximately \$14.3 million. The balance is required to be expended by the end of the reserve window (FY 15-16).

#### FY 13-16 Capital Fund Reserve Window Balance

Jurisdiction	Committed Amount <sup>1</sup>	Expended Amount <sup>2</sup>	Remaining Balance <sup>3</sup>	Percent Remaining
AC Transit	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
BART	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
LAVTA	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
WETA	\$2,502,463	\$0	\$2,502,463	100%
ACE	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
ACPWA	\$5,874,262	\$5,874,262	\$0	0%
City of Alameda	\$4,684,971	\$3,616,829	\$1,068,142	23%
City of Albany	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
City of Berkeley	\$713,370	\$58,113	\$655,257	92%
City of Dublin	\$296,353	\$0	\$296,353	100%
City of Emeryville	\$426,459	\$309,114	\$117,345	28%
City of Fremont	\$5,285,131	\$3,824,462	\$1,460,669	28%
City of Hayward	\$693,672	\$693,672	\$0	0%
City of Livermore	\$1,560,382	\$789,046	\$771,336	49%
City of Newark	\$1,024,214	\$978,438	\$45,776	5%
City of Oakland	\$10,659,000	\$7,142,160	\$3,516,840	33%
City of Piedmont	\$778,266	\$588,571	\$189,695	24%
City of Pleasanton	\$1,664,943	\$597,015	\$1,067,928	64%
City of San Leandro	\$4,282,857	\$2,443,519	\$1,839,338	43%
City of Union City	\$1,250,813	\$440,701	\$810,112	65%
Total	\$41,697,156	\$27,355,901	\$14,341,255	34%



#### FY 13-16 Capital Fund Reserve Window

Balance Remaining	\$14,341,255
Expended Amount	\$27,355,901
Committed Amount	\$41,697,156



Notes:

1. Committed Amount as established in the FY 11-12 Compliance Report.

2. Expended amount as of June 30, 2014.

Remaining amount to be expended by the end of FY 15-16.
 Figures may vary due to number rounding and reclasses of committed amounts.



#### FY 14-17 Capital Fund Reserve Window

Balance Remaining	\$15,808,334
Expended Amount	\$3,809,232
Committed Amount	\$19,617,566

### **Measure B Capital Fund Reserve** FY 14-17 Window Fund Balances

#### FY 14-17 Capital Fund Reserve Window

In the FY 12-13 Compliance Report, jurisdictions identified \$19.6 million in the FY 14-17 Capital Fund Reserve Window. As of the end of FY 13-14, jurisdictions expended \$3.8 million from this reserve. The remaining collective balance among the jurisdictions for the FY 14-17 Window balance is approximately \$15.8 million. The balance is required to be expended by the end of the reserve window (FY 16-17).

#### FY 14-17 Capital Fund Reserve Window Balance

Jurisdiction	Committed Amount <sup>1</sup>	Expended Amount <sup>2</sup>	Remaining Balance <sup>3</sup>	Percent Remaining
AC Transit	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
BART	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
LAVTA	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
WETA	\$768,597	\$0	\$768,597	100%
ACE	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
ACPWA	\$2,232,928	\$237,705	\$1,995,223	89%
City of Alameda	\$1,532,385	\$629,016	\$903,369	59%
City of Albany	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
City of Berkeley	\$904,065	\$0	\$904,065	100%
City of Dublin	\$89,879	\$0	\$89,879	100%
City of Emeryville	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
City of Fremont	\$2,433,594	\$2,051,961	\$381,633	16%
City of Hayward	\$795,890	\$94,566	\$701,324	88%
City of Livermore	\$1,072,783	\$0	\$1,072,783	100%
City of Newark	\$552,813	\$209,599	\$343,214	62%
City of Oakland	\$7,100,524	\$345,816	\$6,754,708	95%
City of Piedmont	\$466,770	\$200,000	\$266,770	57%
City of Pleasanton	\$166,864	\$0	\$166,864	100%
City of San Leandro	\$1,334,135	\$40,569	\$1,293,566	97%
City of Union City	\$166,339	\$0	\$866,339	100%
Total	\$19,617,566	\$3,809,232	\$15,808,334	81%

Notes:

1. Committed Amount as established in the FY 12-13 Compliance Report.

2. Expended amount as of June 30, 2014.

3. Remaining amount to be expended by the end of FY 15-16.

4. Figures may vary due to number rounding and reclasses of committed amounts.

### **Measure B Capital Fund Reserve** FY 15-18 Window Fund Balances

#### FY 15-18 Capital Fund Reserve Window

In this year's compliance report, jurisdictions identified \$16.5 million in the FY 15-18 Window. Jurisdictions anticipate \$6.2 million in FY 14-15 expenditures for improvements through Alameda County. Alameda CTC will monitor the total expenses in future compliance reports to ensure funds identified in the Capital Fund Reserves are utilized by the end of the required four fiscal year period (FY 17-18).

#### FY 15-18 Capital Fund Reserve Window Balance

Jurisdiction	Committed Amount <sup>1</sup>	Anticipated Expenses <sup>2</sup>	Remaining Balance <sup>3</sup>	Percent Remaining
AC Transit	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
BART	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
LAVTA	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
WETA	\$1,009,740	\$0	\$1,009,740	100%
ACE	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
ACPWA	\$2,255,350	\$1,300,000	\$955,350	42%
City of Alameda	\$1,636,609	\$334,469	\$1,302,140	80%
City of Albany	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
City of Berkeley	\$142,249	\$0	\$142,249	100%
City of Dublin	\$23,490	\$0	\$23,490	100%
City of Emeryville	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
City of Fremont	\$2,193,259	\$2,193,259	\$0	0%
City of Hayward	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
City of Livermore	\$98,256	\$0	\$98,256	100%
City of Newark	\$576,810	\$268,853	\$307,957	54%
City of Oakland	\$6,189,767	\$1,626,404	\$4,563,363	74%
City of Piedmont	\$405,232	\$348,586	\$56,646	14%
City of Pleasanton	\$233,136	\$0	\$233,136	100%
City of San Leandro	\$1,355,716	\$0	\$1,355,716	100%
City of Union City	\$425,240	\$75,240	\$350,000	82%
Total	\$16,544,854	\$6,146,811	\$10,398,043	63%

#### FY 15-18 Capital Fund Reserve Window

Anticipated Balance	\$10,398,043
Anticipated FY 14-15 Expenses	\$6,146,811
Committed Amount	\$16,544,854

Contraction of
1
And the first of the second

#### Notes:

1. Committed Amount as established in the FY 13-14 Compliance Report.

2. Anticipated Expenses in FY 14-15.

Remaining amount to be expended by the end of FY 15-16.
 Figures may vary due to number rounding and reclasses of committed amounts.

<sup>\$10.4</sup> M

### Measure B Local Streets and Roads Program Capital Fund Reserve Monitoring FY 13-16 Window Fund Balance

For the Measure B local streets and roads program (local transportation), jurisdictions identified \$26.3 million in FY 13-16 Capital Fund Reserve Window. Of that amount, \$20.1 million was expended as of the end of FY 13-14 on local transportation improvements throughout county. Jurisdictions are expected to expend all remaining reserve balances by the end of FY 15-16. Below is a summary of the Capital Reserve Window for the local street and road program and the balance at the end of FY 13-14.

#### Local Streets and Roads: FY 13-16 Window Fund Balance

Jurisdiction	Committed Amount <sup>1</sup>	Expended Amount <sup>2</sup>	Remaining Balance <sup>3</sup>	Percent Remaining
ACPWA	\$3,857,380	\$3,857,380	\$0	0%
City of Alameda	\$4,209,480	\$3,141,338	\$1,068,142	25%
City of Albany	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
City of Berkeley	\$440,100	\$0	\$440,100	100%
City of Dublin	\$296,353	\$0	\$296,353	100%
City of Emeryville	\$299,292	\$299,292	\$0	0%
City of Fremont	\$2,919,172	\$2,557,515	\$361,657	12%
City of Hayward	\$533,215	\$533,215	\$0	0%
City of Livermore	\$805,600	\$636,215	\$169,385	21%
City of Newark	\$797,547	\$754,768	\$42,779	5%
City of Oakland	\$7,135,000	\$5,220,511	\$1,914,489	27%
City of Piedmont	\$622,020	\$566,617	\$55,403	9%
City of Pleasanton	\$435,000	\$435,000	\$0	0%
City of San Leandro	\$3,091,233	\$1,902,169	\$1,189,064	39%
City of Union City	\$818,481	\$223,242	\$595,239	73%
Total	\$26,259,873	\$20,127,262	\$6,132,611	23%



#### Notes:

1. Committed Amount as identified by jurisdictions in the FY 11-12 Compliance Report.

2. Expended amount as of June 30, 2014.

3. Remaining amount to be expended by the end of FY 15-16.

4. Figures may vary due to number rounding and reclasses of committed amounts.

20 | ALAMEDA CTC

### Measure B Bicycle and Pedestrian Program Capital Fund Reserve Monitoring FY 13-16 Window Fund Balance

For the Measure B bicycle and pedestrian program, jurisdictions identified \$12.9 million in the FY 13-16 Capital Fund Reserve Window. Of that amount, \$7.2 million was expended as of the end of FY 13-14 on bicycle/pedestrian projects across Alameda County. Jurisdictions are expected to expend all remaining reserve balances by the end of FY 15-16. Below is a summary of the Capital Reserve Window for the bicycle and pedestrian program and the balance at the end of FY 13-14.

	Committed	Expended	Remaining	Percent
Jurisdiction	Amount	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Balance <sup>3</sup>	Remaining
ACPWA	\$2,016,882	\$2,016,882	\$0	0%
City of Alameda	\$475,491	\$475,491	\$0	0%
City of Albany	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
City of Berkeley	\$273,270	\$58,113	\$215,157	79%
City of Dublin	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
City of Emeryville	\$127,167	\$9,822	\$117,345	92%
City of Fremont	\$2,365,959	\$1,266,947	\$1,099,012	47%
City of Hayward	\$160,457	\$160,457	\$0	0%
City of Livermore	\$754,782	\$152,831	\$601,951	80%
City of Newark	\$226,667	\$223,670	\$2,997	1%
City of Oakland	\$3,524,000	\$1,921,649	\$1,602,351	46%
City of Piedmont	\$156,246	\$21,954	\$134,292	86%
City of Pleasanton	\$1,229,943	\$162,015	\$1,067,928	86%
City of San Leandro	\$1,191,624	\$541,350	\$650,274	55%
City of Union City	\$432,332	\$217,459	\$214,873	50%
Total	\$12,934,820	\$7,228,640	\$5,706,180	44%

#### Bicycle and Pedestrian: FY 13-16 Window Fund Balance



Notes:

1. Committed Amount as identified by jurisdictions in the FY 11-12 Compliance Report.

2. Expended amount as of June 30, 2014.

3. Remaining amount to be expended by the end of FY 15-16.

4. Figures may vary due to number rounding and reclasses of committed amounts.





### **Measure B Local Streets and Roads Program Capital Fund Reserve Monitoring** FY 14-17 Window Fund Balance

For the Measure B local streets and roads program (local transportation), jurisdictions identified \$2.5 million in FY 14-17 Capital Fund Reserve Window. Of that amount, \$0.6 million was expended as of the end of FY 13-14 on local transportation improvements throughout county. Jurisdictions are expected to expend all remaining reserve balances by the end of FY 14-17. Below is a summary of the Capital Reserve Window for the local street and road program and the balance at the end of FY 13-14.

#### Local Streets and Roads: FY 14-17 Window Fund Balance

Jurisdiction	Committed Amount <sup>1</sup>	Expended Amount <sup>2</sup>	Remaining Balance <sup>3</sup>	Percent Remaining
ACPWA	\$1,818,830	\$137,860	\$1,680,970	92%
City of Alameda	\$1,314,964	\$464,064	\$850,900	65%
City of Albany	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
City of Berkeley	\$724,000	\$0	\$724,000	100%
City of Dublin	\$89,879	\$0	\$89,879	100%
City of Emeryville	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
City of Fremont	\$1,934,959	\$1,934,959	\$0	0%
City of Hayward	\$400,647	\$0	\$400,647	100%
City of Livermore	\$897,832	\$0	\$897,832	100%
City of Newark	\$428,406	\$89,462	\$338,944	79%
City of Oakland	\$6,618,000	\$308,285	\$6,309,715	95%
City of Piedmont	\$432,518	\$200,000	\$232,518	54%
City of Pleasanton	\$166,864	\$0	\$166,864	100%
City of San Leandro	\$1,300,043	\$40,569	\$1,259,474	97%
City of Union City	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
Total	\$16,126,942	\$3,175,198	\$12,951,744	80%

Notes:

1. Committed Amount as identified by jurisdictions in the FY 12-13 Compliance Report.

2. Expended amount as of June 30, 2014.

Remaining amount to be expended by the end of FY 14-17.
 Figures may vary due to number rounding and reclasses of committed amounts.

### Measure B Bicycle and Pedestrian Program Capital Fund Reserve Monitoring FY 14-17 Window Fund Balance

For the Measure B bicycle and pedestrian program, jurisdictions identified \$2.5 million in the FY 14-17 Capital Fund Reserve Window. Of that amount, \$0.6 million was expended as of the end of FY 13-14 on bicycle/pedestrian projects across Alameda County. Jurisdictions are expected to expend all remaining reserve balances by the end of FY 14-17. Below is a summary of the Capital Reserve Window for the bicycle and pedestrian program and the balance at the end of FY 14-17.



#### Bicycle and Pedestrian: FY 14-17 Window Fund Balance

Jurisdiction	Committed Amount <sup>1</sup>	Expended Amount <sup>2</sup>	Remaining Balance <sup>3</sup>	Percent Remaining
ACPWA	\$414,098	\$99,845	\$314,253	76%
City of Alameda	\$217,421	\$164,952	\$52,469	24%
City of Albany	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
City of Berkeley	\$180,065	\$0	\$180,065	100%
City of Dublin	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
City of Emeryville	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
City of Fremont	\$498,635	\$117,002	\$381,633	77%
City of Hayward	\$161,228	\$94,566	\$66,662	41%
City of Livermore	\$174,951	\$0	\$174,951	100%
City of Newark	\$124,407	\$120,137	\$4,270	3%
City of Oakland	\$482,524	\$37,532	\$444,992	92%
City of Piedmont	\$34,252	\$0	\$34,252	100%
City of Pleasanton	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%
City of San Leandro	\$34,092	\$0	\$34,092	100%
City of Union City	\$166,339	\$0	\$166,339	100%
Total	\$2,488,012	\$634,034	\$1,853,978	75%

#### Notes:

1. Committed Amount as identified by jurisdictions in the FY 12-13 Compliance Report.

2. Expended amount as of June 30, 2014.

3. Remaining amount to be expended by the end of FY 14-17.

4. Figures may vary due to number rounding and reclasses of committed amounts.





### Measure B Local Streets and Roads Program Fund Balance

For the Measure B local streets and roads program (local transportation), jurisdictions reported an ending FY 13-14 Measure B balance of \$23.8 million. This is approximately \$2.5 million less than the prior year's balance.

As part of the compliance process, jurisdictions provide a FY 14-15 implementation plan using remaining balances and projected revenues. Thus, after including FY 14-15 estimated revenue and accounting for anticipated FY 14-15 expenditures, the expected balance at the end of FY 14-15 is projected to be approximately \$15.6 million. This illustrates a continual decline in Measure B balances across the jurisdictions for the local streets and roads program.

#### Anticipated FY 14-15 Ending Fund Balances

Jurisdiction	FY 13-14 Ending Balance	FY 14-15 Estimated Revenue <sup>1</sup>	FY 14-15 Available To Expend	FY 14-15 Anticipated Expenditures <sup>2</sup>	Total Anticipated Balance <sup>3</sup>
Alameda County	\$1,904,433	\$2,535,154	\$4,439,587	\$3,715,137	\$724,450
City of Alameda	\$2,543,158	\$1,639,944	\$4,183,102	\$2,601,358	\$1,581,744
City of Albany	\$51,965	\$383,369	\$435,334	\$435,334	\$0
City of Berkeley	\$1,881,862	\$2,757,132	\$4,638,994	\$4,363,780	\$275,214
City of Dublin	\$816,319	\$386,240	\$1,202,559	\$1,112,680	\$89,879
City of Emeryville	\$255,796	\$248,696	\$504,492	\$504,493	\$0
City of Fremont	\$1,146,691	\$2,128,869	\$3,275,559	\$3,062,675	\$212,885
City of Hayward	\$691,370	\$2,121,638	\$2,813,008	\$2,813,008	\$0
City of Livermore	\$1,113,781	\$952,770	\$2,066,551	\$1,494,948	\$571,603
City of Newark	\$399,960	\$430,700	\$830,660	\$547,723	\$282,937
City of Oakland	\$9,262,519	\$9,833,674	\$19,096,193	\$10,457,508	\$8,638,685
City of Piedmont	\$223,972	\$395,883	\$619,855	\$451,586	\$168,269
City of Pleasanton	\$357,189	\$732,214	\$1,089,403	\$689,402	\$400,001
City of San Leandro	\$2,504,041	\$1,300,213	\$3,804,254	\$1,188,188	\$2,616,066
City of Union City	\$636,103	\$675,205	\$1,311,308	\$921,307	\$390,001
Total	\$23,789,159	\$26,521,699	\$50,310,858	\$34,359,126	\$15,951,731

Notes:

1. FY 14-15 Estimated Revenue is based on May 2014 Measure B projections.

2. The FY 14-15 Planned Expenditures column consists of anticipated transportation related expenditures reported in the FY 13-14 Compliance Report.

3. The Anticipated Balance is the estimated FY 15-16 beginning balance.

4. Revenue and expenditure figures may vary due to number rounding.

### Measure B Bicycle and Pedestrian Program Fund Balance

For the Measure B bicycle and pedestrian program, jurisdictions reported an ending FY 13-14 Measure B balance of \$9.2 million. This is approximately \$1.0 million less than the prior year's balance.

As part of the compliance process, jurisdictions provide a FY 14-15 implementation plan using remaining balances and projected revenues. Thus, after including FY 14-15 estimated revenue and accounting for anticipated FY 14-15 expenditures, the expected balance at the end of FY 14-15 is projected to be approximately \$4.7 million. This illustrates a further decline in Measure B balances across the jurisdictions for the bicycle and pedestrian program.



#### Anticipated FY 14-15 Ending Fund Balances

FY 13-14 Ending Balance	FY 14-15 Estimated Revenue <sup>1</sup>	FY 14-15 Available To Expend	FY 14-15 Anticipated Expenditures <sup>2</sup>	Total Anticipated Balance <sup>3</sup>
\$351,729	\$415,027	\$766,757	\$214,253	\$552,504
\$61,638	\$216,881	\$278,519	\$250,000	\$28,519
\$66,472	\$53,728	\$120,201	\$114,888	\$5,313
\$523,848	\$333,677	\$857,524	\$413,770	\$443,754
\$52,780	\$135,955	\$188,735	\$151,650	\$37,085
\$142,615	\$29,635	\$172,250	\$172,249	\$1
\$1,788,795	\$632,557	\$2,421,352	\$2,347,815	\$73,536
\$416,071	\$427,462	\$843,533	\$792,497	\$51,036
\$816,551	\$239,426	\$1,055,977	\$742,664	\$313,313
\$12,058	\$125,062	\$137,120	\$110,120	\$27,000
\$2,185,457	\$1,148,736	\$3,334,194	\$1,979,319	\$1,354,874
\$169,789	\$31,401	\$201,191	\$36,656	\$164,535
\$1,328,909	\$207,082	\$1,535,991	\$452,062	\$1,083,929
\$793,366	\$250,039	\$1,043,405	\$781,846	\$261,559
\$506,236	\$205,272	\$711,508	\$417,617	\$293,891
\$9,216,314	\$4,451,941	\$13,668,256	\$8,977,407	\$4,690,849
	Ending Balance \$351,729 \$61,638 \$66,472 \$523,848 \$52,780 \$142,615 \$145,615\$15,615\$15,615\$15,615\$15\$15,615\$15,615\$15\$15,6	Ending BalanceEstimated Revenue1\$351,729\$415,027\$61,638\$216,881\$66,472\$53,728\$523,848\$333,677\$52,780\$135,955\$142,615\$29,635\$142,615\$29,635\$142,615\$29,635\$142,615\$229,635\$416,071\$427,462\$816,551\$239,426\$12,058\$125,062\$2,185,457\$1,148,736\$169,789\$31,401\$1,328,909\$207,082\$793,366\$250,039\$506,236\$205,272	Ending BalanceEstimated RevenuelAvailable To Expend\$351,729\$415,027\$766,757\$61,638\$216,881\$278,519\$66,472\$53,728\$120,201\$523,848\$333,677\$857,524\$52,780\$135,955\$188,735\$142,615\$29,635\$172,250\$142,615\$29,635\$172,250\$14,6071\$427,462\$843,533\$816,551\$239,426\$1,055,977\$12,058\$125,062\$137,120\$2,185,457\$1,148,736\$3,334,194\$169,789\$31,401\$201,191\$1,328,909\$207,082\$1,535,991\$793,366\$205,272\$711,508	Ending BalanceEstimated Revenue1Available to ExpendAnticipated Expenditures2\$351,729\$415,027\$766,757\$214,253\$61,638\$216,881\$278,519\$250,000\$66,472\$53,728\$120,201\$114,888\$523,848\$333,677\$857,524\$413,770\$52,780\$135,955\$188,735\$151,650\$142,615\$29,635\$172,250\$172,249\$1,788,795\$632,557\$2,421,352\$2,347,815\$416,071\$427,462\$843,533\$792,497\$816,551\$239,426\$1,055,977\$742,664\$12,058\$125,062\$137,120\$110,120\$2,185,457\$1,148,736\$3,334,194\$1,979,319\$169,789\$31,401\$201,191\$36,656\$1,328,909\$207,082\$1,535,991\$452,062\$793,366\$205,272\$711,508\$417,617

Notes:

1. FY 14-15 Estimated Revenue is based on May 2014 Measure B projections.

2. The FY 14-15 Planned Expenditures column consists of anticipated transportation related expenditures reported in the FY 13-14 Compliance Report.

3. The Anticipated Balance is the estimated FY 15-16 beginning balance.

4. Revenue and expenditure figures may vary due to number rounding.



### Measure B Mass Transit Program Fund Balance

For the Measure B mass transit program, jurisdictions reported a total ending FY 13-14 Measure B balance of \$7.9 million. This is approximately \$2.2 million more than the prior year's fund balance.

As part of the compliance process, jurisdictions provide a FY 14-15 implementation plan using remaining balances and projected revenues. Thus, after including FY 14-15 estimated revenue and accounting for anticipated FY 14-15 expenditures, the expected balance at the end of FY 14-15 is projected to be approximately \$3.2 million. This illustrates a decline in Measure B balances across the jurisdictions for the mass transit program.

It is important to note that jurisdictions regularly using mass transit funds on operations in their entirety each year. In some cases in FY 13-14, jurisdictions reported expenditures occured however did not get posted until the follow FY 14-15 year which resulted in a fund balance at the end of FY 13-14.

Additionally, the \$3.2 in anticipated revenue balance results from two components. First, a 2006 cooperative service agreement with ACE limits the agency's annual operational expenses to service costs relative to the shares of other participating counties. This creates a annual balance in ACE's operational plan. Second, WETA has identified planned capital expenditures of Measure B funds on major ferry vessel upgrades in future fiscal years per their vessel refurbishment plan.

Y 14-15 Ending Fund Balances								
Jurisdiction	FY 13-14 Ending Balance	FY 14-15 Estimated Revenue <sup>1</sup>	FY 14-15 Available To Expend	FY 14-15 Anticipated Expenditures <sup>2</sup>	Total Anticipated Balance <sup>3</sup>			
AC Transit	\$2,272,711	\$20,526,418	\$22,799,129	\$22,799,129	\$0			
ACE	\$2,168,442	\$2,516,831	\$4,685,273	\$4,433,591	\$251,682			
LAVTA	\$-	\$819,157	\$819,157	\$819,157	\$0			
WETA	\$3,446,424	\$926,004	\$4,372,428	\$1,415,800	\$2,956,628			
Union City Transit	\$-	\$403,643	\$403,643	\$403,643	\$0			
Total	\$7,887,577	\$25,192,053	\$33,079,630	\$29,871,320	\$3,208,310			

#### FY 14-15 Ending Fund Balances

Notes:

- FY 14-15 Estimated Revenue is based on May 2014 Measure B projections.
  The FY 14-15 Planned Expenditures column consists of anticipated transpo
  - The FY 14-15 Planned Expenditures column consists of anticipated transportation related expenditures reported in the FY 13-14 Compliance Report.
- 3. The Anticipated Balance is the estimated FY 15-16 beginning balance.
- 4. Revenue and expenditure figures may vary due to number rounding.



### Measure B Paratransit Program Fund Balance

For the Measure B paratransit program, jurisdictions reported a total ending FY 13-14 Measure B balance of \$2.6 million. This is approximately \$0.7 million more than the prior year's fund balance.

As part of the compliance process, jurisdictions provide a FY 14-15 implementation plan using remaining balances and projected revenues. Thus, after including FY 14-15 estimated revenue and accounting for anticipated FY 14-15 expenditures, the expected balance at the end of FY 14-15 is projected to be approximately \$0.3 million. This illustrates a decline in Measure B balances across the jurisdictions for the paratransit program.

The paratransit program funds operational activities and may have fluctuations in anticipated annual expenditures depending on service requirements and needs for a particular fiscal year.



#### FY 14-15 Ending Fund Balances

Jurisdiction	FY 13-14 Ending Balance	FY 14-15 Estimated Revenue <sup>1</sup>	FY 14-15 Available To Expend	FY 14-15 Anticipated Expenditures <sup>2</sup>	Total Anticipated Balance <sup>3</sup>
AC Transit	\$791,556	\$4,914,943	\$5,706,499	\$5,706,499	\$-
BART	\$-	\$1,768,904	\$1,768,904	\$1,768,904	\$-
LAVTA	\$-	\$153,613	\$153,613	\$153,613	\$-
City of Alameda	\$150,918	\$166,682	\$317,600	\$200,932	\$116,668
City of Albany	\$10,741	\$32,310	\$43,050	\$43,050	\$-
City of Berkeley	\$156,914	\$262,554	\$419,468	\$394,468	\$25,000
City of Emeryville	\$18,389	\$24,100	\$42,489	\$36,489	\$6,000
City of Fremont	\$349,275	\$811,726	\$1,161,001	\$1,079,828	\$81,173
City of Hayward	\$932,812	\$753,616	\$1,686,428	\$1,616,427	\$70,001
City of Newark	\$63,183	\$163,519	\$226,702	\$226,702	\$-
City of Oakland	\$-	\$986,463	\$986,463	\$986,463	\$-
City of Pleasanton	\$-	\$95,695	\$95,695	\$95,695	\$-
City of San Leandro	\$122,981	\$291,107	\$414,088	\$414,088	\$-
City of Union City	\$-	\$283,170	\$283,170	\$283,170	\$-
Total	\$2,596,769	\$10,708,402	\$13,305,171	\$13,006,328	\$298,843

#### Notes:

1. FY 14-15 Estimated Revenue is based on May 2014 Measure B projections.

2. The FY 14-15 Planned Expenditures column consists of anticipated transportation related expenditures reported in the FY 13-14 Compliance Report.

- 3. The Anticipated Balance is the estimated FY 15-16 beginning balance.
- 4. Revenue and expenditure figures may vary due to number rounding.





## www.AlamedaCTC.org

