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## Alameda County Transportation Commission

meeting as a committee of the whole as the

## FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

MEETING NOTICE
Monday, September 12, 2011, 1:30 P.M. 1333 Broadway, Suite 300, Oakland, California 94612
(see map on last page of agenda)

Chair:
Vice Chair:
Members:

Staff Liaisons: Patricia Reavey
Executive Director: Arthur L. Dao
Clerk of the Commission: Vanessa Lee
AGENDA
Copies of Individual Agenda Items are Available on the:
Alameda CTC Website -- www.AlamedaCTC.org

## 1 PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address the Committee during "Public Comment" on any item not on the agenda. Public comment on an agenda item will be heard when that item is before the Committee. Only matters within the Committee's jurisdictions may be addressed. Anyone wishing to comment should make their desire known by filling out a speaker card and handling it to the Clerk of the Commission. Please wait until the Chair calls your name. Walk to the microphone when called; give your name, and your comments. Please be brief and limit comments to the specific subject under discussion. Please limit your comment to three minutes.

| 2 | CONSENT CALENDAR | A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2A. Minutes of June 13, 2011 - page 1 |  |  |

## Executive Director

Arthur L. Dao
4 ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS
4A. Approval of the Semi-Annual Participation Report of LBE, SBE and SLBE ..... A

- page 21
5 COMMITTEE MEMBER REPORTS ..... I
6 STAFF REPORTS ..... I
7 OTHER BUSINESS
7A. Update on Joint Regional Agencies Relocation Process - page 35 ..... I
8 CLOSED SESSION
8A. Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957: Public Employee PerformanceEvaluation: Executive Director
8B. Report on Closed Session
9 ADJOURNMENT/NEXT MEETING: OCTOBER 10, 2011

Key: A- Action Item; I - Information Item
(\#) All items on the agenda are subject to action and/or change by the Committee.

# PLEASE DO NOT WEAR SCENTED PRODUCTS SO INDIVIDULAS WITH ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVITIES MAY ATTEND 

Alameda County Transportation Commission 1333 Broadway, Suites 220 \& 300, Oakland, CA 94612
(510) 208-7400 (New Phone Number)
(510) 836-2185 Fax (Suite 220)
(510) 893-6489 Fax (Suite 300) www.alamedactc.org

## Glossary of Acronyms

| ABAG | Association of Bay Area Governments | MTC | Metropolitan Transportation Commission |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACCMA | Alameda County Congestion Management Agency | MTS | Metropolitan Transportation System |
| ACE | Altamont Commuter Express | NEPA | National Environmental Policy Act |
| ACTA | Alameda County Transportation Authority (1986 Measure B authority) | NOP PCI | Notice of Preparation <br> Pavement Condition Index |
| ACTAC | Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PSR } \\ & \text { RM } 2 \end{aligned}$ | Project Study Report <br> Regional Measure 2 (Bridge toll) |
| ACTC | Alameda County Transportation Commission | RTIP | Regional Transportation Improvement Program |
| ACTIA | Alameda County Transportation Improvement Authority ( 2000 Measure B authority) | RTP | Regional Transportation Plan (MTC's Transportation 2035) |
| ADA | Americans with Disabilities Act | SAFETEA-LU Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act |  |
| BAAQMD | Bay Area Air Quality Management District | SCS | Sustainable Community Strategy |
| BART | Bay Area Rapid Transit District | SR | State Route |
| BRT | Bus Rapid Transit | SRS | Safe Routes to Schools |
| Caltrans | California Department of Transportation | STA | State Transit Assistance |
| CEQA | California Environmental Quality Act | STIP | State Transportation Improvement Program |
| CIP | Capital Investment Program | STP | Federal Surface Transportation Program |
| CMAQ | Federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality | TCM TCRP | Transportation Control Measures Transportation Congestion Relief Program |
| CMP | Congestion Management Program | TDA | Transportation Development Act |
| CTC | California Transportation Commission | TDM | Travel-Demand Management |
| CWTP | Countywide Transportation Plan | TEP | Transportation Expenditure Plan |
| EIR | Environmental Impact Report | TFCA | Transportation Fund for Clean Air |
| FHWA | Federal Highway Administration |  | Federal Transportation Improvement |
| FTA | Federal Transit Administration | TIP | Program |
| GHG | Greenhouse Gas | TLC | Transportation for Livable Communities |
| HOT | High occupancy toll | TMP | Traffic Management Plan |
| HOV | High occupancy vehicle | TMS | Transportation Management System |
| ITIP | State Interregional Transportation Improvement Program | TOD | Transit-Oriented Development |
| LATIP | Local Area Transportation Improvement Program | TOS TVTC | Transportation Operations Systems <br> Tri Valley Transportation Committee |
| LAVTA | Livermore-Amador Valley Transportation Authority | VHD VMT | Vehicle Hours of Delay <br> Vehicle miles traveled |
| LOS | Level of service |  |  |



Directions to the Offices of the Alameda County Transportation Commission:

1333 Broadway, Suite 220
Oakland, CA 94612

## Public Transportation Access:

BART: City Center $/ 12^{\text {th }}$ Street Station

## AC Transit:

Lines $1,1 R, 11,12,13,14$, $15,18,40,51,63,72,72 \mathrm{M}$, 72R, 314, 800, 801, 802, 805, 840

## Auto Access:

- Traveling South: Take $11^{\text {th }}$ Street exit from l-980 to $11^{\text {th }}$ Street
- Traveling North: Take $11^{\text {th }}$ Street/Convention Center Exit from l-980 to $11^{\text {th }}$ Street
- Parking:

City Center Garage Underground Parking, (Parking entrances located on $11^{\text {th }}$ or $14^{\text {th }}$ Street)

# FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE <br> MINUTES OF JUNE 13， 2011 <br> OAKLAND，CA 

Chair John Chiang convened the meeting at 2：15 PM．

## 1 Public Comment

There was no public comment．

## 2 Consent calendar

A motion to approve the consent calendar was made by Councilmember Kaplan；a second was made by Supervisor Haggerty．The motion passed 8－0．

## 3 Administrative Matters

## 3A．Update on the Status of Merger Activities

Art Dao stated that merger activities are either on or ahead of schedule and complete integration of all operations and functions will begin in the first quarter of calendar year 2012．The following are updates of merger activities in the six major service areas：
（1）Agency Formation－Key activities have been completed which includes the establishment of a legal structure，recruitment and appointment of an executive director，development of agency business plan and organization structure，and development of a communication plan．（2）Human Resources－A unified human resources policies and procedures has been established；a salary structure and unified benefits program have been determined and will be approved by the Commission this month；the health and retirement health program approved by the Commission is awaiting an executed contract with CalPers；and personnel transitions will be finalized once an agreement with CalPers is in place，which is expected in January 2012．（3）Finance，Accounting and Budgeting－The consolidated cost allocation plan and budget have been completed and will be presented to the Commission this month．Accounts payable and receivable，treasury management，and financial reporting have all been consolidated． Payroll will b consolidated once the cost allocation plan is approved and the fund accounts are established．（4）General Administration－Board support，general administrative support and administrative professional services contracts have been consolidated and would result in $\$ 1.9$ million in savings in the FY 2011－12 operating budget．（5）Programming，Project Delivery，Programs Delivery， and Planning－The consolidation and integration of these functional areas have all been completed and implementation is expected to begin in July 2011．（6）Consolidation of Office Space－The lease of ACCMA and ACTIA will expire in November 2013 and a process to renegotiate a lease to allow staff to collocate and potentially reduce the rent．An option to relocate to another location is also being considered．This item was for information only．

The Chair stated that other agencies in the region are merging and directed the Executive Director to send a letter and initiate talks with the Metropolitan Transportation Commission（MTC）to explore the possibility of relocating in the same building if they will stay in Oakland．He also requested Councilmember Kaplan to talk to MTC for them to reconsider staying in Oakland rather than relocating in another city．Art Dao informed the Committee that he had initial talks with Steve Heminger about
this. Councilmember Kaplan informed the Committee that she has brought this up with Mayor Jean Quan.

## 3B. Adoption of Staff Salary and Revised Interim Benefits Resolution for FY 2011-12

Art Dao requested the Committee to recommend that the Commission adopt the Staff Salary and Revised Interim Benefits Resolution for FY 2011-12. He stated that approval of the recommended salary ranges will not automatically result in salary increases. The salary ranges will result in a proposed FY 2011-12 budget for staff salaries of $\$ 2.910$ million and this represents a reduction of $\$ 1.008$ million from the current fiscal year. A motion to approve staff recommendation was made by Mayor Green; a second was made by Supervisor Haggerty. The motion passed 8-0.

3C. Approval of Amendment No. 1 to the Professional Services Agreement with Francis Fruzzetti (A10-0006) for Additional Utility Coordination and Transition Assistance Services
Arun Goel requested the Committee to recommend that the Commission approve No. 1 to the Professional Services Agreement with Francis Fruzzetti (A10-0006) for Additional Utility Coordination and Transition Assistance Services. The proposed amendment will increase the contract price by $\$ 10,000$ to the FY 2010-11 contract and extend the contract expiration to July 31, 2011. A motion to approve staff recommendation was made by Councilmember Kaplan; a second was made by Councilmember Capitelli. The motion passed 8-0.

## 4 Financial Matters

## 4A. Approval of the Proposed Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Consolidated Budget for the Alameda County Transportation Commission

Patricia Reavey requested the Committee to recommend that the Commission approve the Proposed Consolidated Budget for fiscal year 2011-12. She stated that the proposed budget has been segregated by fund type and includes an adjustment column to eliminate interagency revenues and expenditures on a consolidated basis. The fund types are comprised of General Funds, various Special Revenue Funds, the Exchange Fund and Capital Project Funds. The proposed budget contains $\$ 170.8$ million revenues, of which sales tax revenues comprise $\$ 104$ million. The proposed budget also includes a projected FY 2010-11 fund balance of $\$ 226$ million for total available resources of $\$ 396.9$ million. Total expenditures is $\$ 281.6$ million of which \#202.4 million are allocated for capital project expenditures. There is a net reduction in fund balance of \#110.8 million and a projected consolidated ending fund balance of \$115.4 million which is mostly due to ACTIA's capital program and will be funded through Measure B. A motion to approve staff recommendation was made by Supervisor Haggerty; a second was made by Councilmember Kaplan. The motion passed 8-0.

## 5 Committee Member Reports

There was no report.

## $6 \quad$ Staff Reports

There was no report.

## 7 Adjournment/Next Meeting: July 11, 2011

The meeting ended at 2:55 PM. The next FAC meeting is on July 11, 2011 at 1:30 PM.

## Attest by:

Minutes of May 9, 2011 FAC Meeting

Vanessa Lee
Clerk of the Commission
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FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE MEETING

## ROSTER OF MEETING ATTENDANCE June 13, 2011 <br> 1:30 p.m.

1333 Broadway, Suite 300, Oakland, CA 94612

| BOARD MEMBERS ${ }^{\text {S }}$ Initials | ALTERNATES | Initials |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chair : John Chiang, - City of Piedmont | Garrett Keating - City of Piedmont |  |
| Vice Chair: $\quad$ Rebecca Kaplan - City of Oakland | Jane Brunner - City of Oakland | : |
| Members: |  |  |
| Rob Bonta - City of Alameda | Beverly Johnson - City of Alameda |  |
| Scott Haggerty - County of Alameda, District 1 | Bill Harrison - City of Fremont |  |
| Nadia Lockyer - County of Alameda, District 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Wilma Chan - County of Alameda, District 3 | Michael Gregory - City of San Leandro | $\sqrt{n} 2$ |
| Tom Blalock - BART | Robert Franklin - BART | $B \geqslant$ |
| Laurie Capitelli - City of Berkeley | Kriss Worthington - City of Berkeley |  |
| Mark Green - City of Union City | Emily Duncan - City of Union City |  |
| $\qquad$ | $\qquad$ |  |
| LEGAL COUNSEL |  |  |
| Zack Wasserman - WRBD |  |  |
| Neal Parish - WRBD |  |  |
| Geoffrey Gibbs - GLG |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| STAFF |  |  |
| Arthur L. Dao - Executive Director |  | und |
| Gladys Parmelee - Office Supervisor and Interim Clerk of the Commission | akectoparmelee |  |
| Patricia Reavey - Director of Finance | HMohken+ | PNR |
| Victoria Winn - Administrative Assistant | $\sigma$ |  |
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# Memorandum 

DATE: $\quad$ August 26, 2011
TO: Finance and Administration Committee
FROM: Arthur L. Dao, Executive Director
Patricia M. Reavey, Director of Finance

## SUBJECT: Consolidated FY2010-11 Year-end Investment Report

## Recommendation

It is recommended that the Committee approve the attached Alameda CTC Consolidated FY2010-11 Year-end Investment Report (Attachment A).

## Summary

- As of June 30, 2011, total cash and investments held by the Alameda CTC were $\$ 298.2$ million. This total is down $\$ 33.3$ million or $10.0 \%$ from the prior year-end balance of \$331.5 million.
- $\quad$ The reduction in the ACTA balance of $\$ 11.7$ million or $6.3 \%$ and the ACTIA balance of $\$ 17.3$ million or $14.2 \%$ were primarily due to capital expenditures. The decrease in the ACCMA balance of $\$ 4.3$ million or $18.5 \%$ was due to the need to cash flow capital project expenditures and a net drawdown in the Exchange Fund.
- Investment yields continue to decline with the return on investment for the Alameda CTC at $2.07 \%$ compared to the prior year return of $2.88 \%$. However, interest was projected for the FY2010-11 budget at a rate of $1.00 \%$.
- Based on current cash flow projections, ACTIA will require external financing by the $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter of FY2012-13 to satisfy capital project obligations.
- Alameda CTC investments are in compliance with the adopted investment policy.
- Alameda CTC has sufficient cash flow to meet expenditure requirements over the next six months.


## Discussion:

As the fiscal year came to a close, the Alameda CTC continued to maintain a conservative investment portfolio compared to what the investment policy and the California Government Code allow, with the bulk of the portfolio invested in AAA rated securities. This was due to the ongoing uncertainty in the financial markets and the primary objective of our investment policy, which is safety of principal or preservation of capital.

The economy continues to underperform expectations as we struggle to recover from the recession. The unemployment rate remains well above historical levels. Recently, economists have estimated that the U.S. would need over 20 million new jobs to bring the unemployment rate down to historical levels. Also, the housing market continues to be a drag on the economy. Low consumer confidence, restrained personal income growth and continued cutbacks in state government spending have constrained GDP growth. With the current state of the recovery, the Federal Reserve will most likely maintain an extraordinarily low federal funds rate for some time.

This spring all three credit rating agencies, Standard and Poor's (S\&P), Moody's and Fitch, put the United States Government on negative credit watch. On August 5, S\&P downgraded the United States’ long-term rating to AA+, with a negative outlook. These actions by the rating agencies are a reflection of the prolonged controversy over raising the federal statutory debt ceiling as well as the lack of progress made by the federal government to contain growth in public spending.

The S\&P downgrade resulted in the decrease in the S\&P rating on the majority of the investments in the Alameda CTC's portfolio from AAA to AA+ as most of our investments are backed by the U.S. Government. However, those same investments are still rated AAA by Moody's. The rating agencies’ actions also may drive up the cost of borrowing for governments. This would affect the Alameda CTC when ACTIA goes to market, which is currently anticipated in January, 2013 based on current cash flow projections.

## Attachments:

Attachment A - Consolidated Investment Report
Attachment B - Detail of Investment Holdings (managed by PFM and Chandler)


[^0](2) The OPEB/Health Retirement account and related interest income is held in a irrevocable trust and does not appear on ACTIA's balance sheet.
(3) The Loan to AC Transit was carried with an interest rate of $6 \%$ plus $1 \%$ for admin fees. This loan has been repaid in full.
(5) All investments are marked to market on the financial statements at the end of the fiscal year per GASB 31 requirements.
(6) Alameda CTC investments are in compliance with the current adopted investment policy.
(7) Alameda CTC has sufficient cash flow to meet expenditure requirements over the next six months.
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| ALAMEDA CNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY - 03068500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Security Type/Description <br> Dated Date/Coupon/Maturity | CUSIP | Par | S\&P <br> Rating | Moody's Rating | Trade Date | settle Date | Original Cost | YTM <br> at Cost | Accrued Interest | Amortized Cost | Market Value |
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| OTD 01/31/2008 4.375\% 01/31/2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Accrued Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$423,225.70 |
| Total Investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$72,238,450.55 |
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| CUSIP | Security Description | Par Value/Units | Purchase Date Book Yield | Cost Value Book Value | Mkt Price Mkt YTM | Market Value Accrued Int. | \% of Port. Gain/Loss | Moody S\&P | Term (yrs) Duration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FDIC INSURED US CORPORATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38146FAA9 | Goldman Sachs FDIC Guaranteed Note 3.25\% Due 6/15/2012 | 2,000,000.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 12 / 08 / 2008 \\ 2.83 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,027,720.00 \\ & 2,007,567.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102.81 \\ & 0.31 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,056,126.00 \\ 2,888.89 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.10 \% \\ 48,558.14 \end{array}$ | Aaa <br> AAA | $\begin{aligned} & 0.96 \\ & 0.95 \end{aligned}$ |
| 06050BAA9 | Bank of America Corp FDIC Guaranteed Note <br> 3.125\% Due 6/15/2012 | 2,100,000.00 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 12 / 19 / 2008 \\ 2.01 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,178,477.00 \\ & 2,121,644.56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102.73 \\ & 0.27 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,157,418.20 \\ 2,916.67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.25 \% \\ 35,773.64 \end{array}$ | Aaa AAA | $\begin{aligned} & 0.96 \\ & 0.95 \end{aligned}$ |
| 949744AC0 | Wells Fargo \& Company FDIC Guaranteed Note 2.125\% Due 6/15/2012 | 1,900,000.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 03 / 25 / 2009 \\ 2.13 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,899,506.00 \\ & 1,899,852.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101.69 \\ & 0.35 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,932,168.90 \\ 1,794.44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.91 \% \\ 32,316.30 \end{array}$ | Aaa <br> AAA | $\begin{aligned} & 0.96 \\ & 0.95 \end{aligned}$ |
| 36967HAV9 | GE Capital Corp FDIC Guaranteed Note 2.125\% Due 12/21/2012 | 2,180,000.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 06 / 03 / 2009 \\ 2.10 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,182,071.00 \\ 2,180,863.99 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102.38 \\ & 0.50 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,231,960.30 \\ 1,286.81 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.36 \% \\ 51,096.31 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Aaa <br> AAA | $\begin{aligned} & 1.48 \\ & 1.46 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 481247AM6 | JP Morgan Chase FDIC Guaranteed Note <br> 2.125\% Due 12/26/2012 | 3,250,000.00 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 04 / 22 / 2009 \\ 2.04 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3,260,075.00 \\ & 3,254,093.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102.40 \\ & 0.50 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,328,097.50 \\ 959.20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.00 \% \\ 74,004.30 \end{array}$ | Aaa AAA | $\begin{aligned} & 1.49 \\ & 1.47 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total FDIC In | ed US Corporate | 14,640,000.00 | 2.21 \% | $\begin{aligned} & 14,757,463.80 \\ & 14,673,932.24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0.36 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,961,500.56 \\ 31,513.51 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 22.54 \% \\ 287,568.32 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Aaa AAA | $\begin{aligned} & 1.10 \\ & 1.09 \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| MONEY MARKET FUND FI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 431114701 | Highmark Govt Money Market Fund | 47,555.39 | Various 0.01 \% | $\begin{aligned} & 47,555.39 \\ & 47,555.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.00 \\ 0.01 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47,555.39 \\ 0.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.07 \% \\ 0.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Aaa <br> AAA | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Money | ket Fund FI | 47,555.39 | 0.01 \% | $\begin{aligned} & 47,555.39 \\ & 47,555.39 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.01\% | $\begin{array}{r} 47,555.39 \\ 0.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.07 \% \\ 0.00 \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | Aaa <br> AAA | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| US TREASURY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 912828FS4 | US Treasury Note 4.625\% Due 8/31/2011 | 1,800,000.00 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 04 / 03 / 2008 \\ 2.30 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,936,054.69 \\ & 1,806,687.62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.75 \\ & 0.15 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1,813,570.20 \\ 27,825.41 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.77 \% \\ 6,882.58 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSY } \\ & \text { TSY } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.17 \\ & 0.17 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 912828KA7 | US Treasury Note 1.125\% Due 12/15/2011 | 1,500,000.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 11 / 16 / 2009 \\ 0.90 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,506,919.09 \\ 1,501,524.39 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.46 \\ & 0.12 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,506,913.50 \\ 737.70 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.27 \% \\ 5,389.11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSY } \\ & \text { TSY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.46 \\ & 0.46 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 912828GQ7 | US Treasury Note 4.5\% Due 4/30/2012 | 1,200,000.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 10 / 04 / 2007 \\ 4.18 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,215,703.13 \\ & 1,202,868.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 103.57 \\ & 0.22 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,242,796.80 \\ 9,097.83 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.88 \% \\ 39,927.96 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSY } \\ & \text { TSY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.84 \\ & 0.82 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 912828HG8 | US Treasury Note 3.875\% Due 10/31/2012 | 1,225,000.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 08 / 27 / 2008 \\ 2.88 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,272,616.41 \\ & 1,240,237.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 104.72 \\ & 0.32 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1,282,853.08 \\ 7,997.45 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.94 \% \\ 42,615.83 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSY } \\ & \text { TSY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.34 \\ & 1.30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Total US Treasury |  | 5,725,000.00 | 2.45 \% | $\begin{aligned} & 5,931,293.32 \\ & 5,751,318.10 \end{aligned}$ | 0.19 \% | $\begin{array}{r} 5,846,133.58 \\ 45,658.39 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.86 \% \\ 94,815.48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSY } \\ & \text { TSY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.64 \\ & 0.63 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL PORTFOLIO |  | 63,562,555.39 | 2.37 \% | $\begin{aligned} & 66,229,982.99 \\ & 64,424,704.68 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.54\% | $\begin{array}{r} 65,943,076.94 \\ 575,585.93 \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \% \\ 1,518,372.26 \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | Aaa AAA | $\begin{aligned} & 1.20 \\ & 1.16 \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL MARKET VALUE PLUS ACCRUED |  |  |  |  |  | 66,518,662.87 |  |  |  |

$=\mathrm{DEM}$
ALAMEDA CNTY Managed Account Detail of Securities Held

ALAMEDA CNTY TRANSPORTATION IMPROV AUTH - 03068510
For the Month Ending June 30, 2011


| $15,594,096.09$ | 0.20 | $63,360.73$ | $15,575,472.66$ | $15,576,756.17$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



$N$
0
0
0
0
0
0
0


$\begin{array}{ll}8,994,810.00 & 0.12 \\ 8,994,810.00 & 0.12\end{array}$
$24,588,906.09 \quad 0.17$
45:
05/04/11
05/03/11
9.000,000.00 A-1+ $\quad \mathrm{P}-1$

Security Type Sub-Total
15,260,000.00
-- $\quad 0.000 \% 10 / 24 / 20$
Security Type Sub-Total $\quad 9,000,000.00$
Security Type Sub-Tatal $\quad 9,000,000.00$
Managed Account Sub-Total 24,260,000.00
Securities Sub-Total $\$ 24,260,000.00$
Securities Sub-Total
Accrued Interest
Total Investments

登

$|$| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\infty$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{ll}6 & 10 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$


| MONEY MARKET FUND FI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 431114701 Highmark Govt Money Market Fund | 1,013,739.72 | Various $0.01 \text { \% }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,013,739.72 \\ & 1,013,739.72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.00 \\ 0.01 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,013,739.72 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.62 \% \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | Aaa AAA | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Money Market Fund FI | 1,013,739.72 | 0.01 \% | $\begin{aligned} & 1,013,739.72 \\ & 1,013,739.72 \end{aligned}$ | 0.01 \% | $\begin{array}{r} 1,013,739.72 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.62 \% \\ 0.00 \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | Aaa AAA | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| US TREASURY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}912828 F S 4 & \text { US Treasury Note } \\ & 4.625 \% \text { Due } 8 / 31 / 2011\end{array}$ | 1,000,000.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 07 / 10 / 2008 \\ 2.77 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,055,237.73 \\ & 1,002,940.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.75 \\ & 0.15 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,007,539.00 \\ 15,458.56 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.66 \% \\ 4,598.77 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSY } \\ & \text { TSY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.17 \\ & 0.17 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll}912828 \text { FU9 } & \text { US Treasury Note } \\ & \text { 4.5\% Due } 9 / 30 / 2011\end{array}$ | 1,000,000.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 07 / 10 / 2008 \\ 2.79 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,052,190.85 \\ & 1,004,038.58 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101.11 \\ & 0.08 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,011,094.00 \\ 11,311.48 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.65 \% \\ 7,055.42 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSY } \\ & \text { TSY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.25 \\ & 0.25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Total US Treasury | 2,000,000.00 | 2.78 \% | $\begin{aligned} & 2,107,428.58 \\ & 2,006,978.81 \end{aligned}$ | 0.12 \% | $\begin{array}{r} 2,018,633.00 \\ 26,770.04 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.31 \% \\ 11,654.19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TSY } \\ & \text { TSY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.21 \\ & 0.21 \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL PORTFOLIO | 27,533,739.72 | 1.07 \% | $\begin{aligned} & 28,105,950.16 \\ & 27,701,974.35 \end{aligned}$ | 0.41 \% | $\begin{array}{r} 27,794,160.92 \\ 185,292.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \% \\ 92,186.57 \end{array}$ | Aaa AAA | $\begin{aligned} & 0.50 \\ & 0.50 \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL MARKET VALUE PLUS ACCRUED |  |  |  |  | 27,979,452.92 |  |  |  |

CUSIC INSURED US CORPDORATE
Par Value/Units

| 61757 UAP5 | Morgan Stanley FDIC Guaranteed Note 2.25\% Due 3/13/2012 | 990,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38146FAA9 | Goldman Sachs FDIC Guaranteed Note 3.25\% Due 6/15/2012 | 800,000.00 |
| 06050BAA9 | Bank of America Corp FDIC Guaranteed Note <br> 3.125\% Due 6/15/2012 | 800,000.00 |
| 949744AC0 | Wells Fargo \& Company FDIC Guaranteed Note 2.125\% Due 6/15/2012 | 740,000.00 |
| Total FDIC In | ed US Corporate | 6,730,000.00 |
| MONEY MARKET FUND FI |  |  |
| 431114701 | Highmark Govt Money Market Fund | 1,013,739.72 |
| Total Money Market Fund FI |  | 1,013,739.72 |
| US TREASURY |  |  |
| 912828FS4 | US Treasury Note 4.625\% Due 8/31/2011 | 1,000,000.00 |
| 912828FU9 | US Treasury Note 4.5\% Due 9/30/2011 | 1,000,000.00 |
| Total US Treasury |  | 2,000,000.00 |
| TOTAL PORTFOLIO |  | 27,533,739.72 |
| TOTAL MARKET VALUE PLUS ACCRUED |  |  |
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## Memorandum

DATE: $\quad$ August 31, 2011
TO: $\quad$ Finance and Administration Committee
FROM: Arthur L. Dao, Executive Director Patricia Reavey, Director of Finance

SUBJECT: Update on the Status and Developments in the FY2010-11 Financial Audits

## Recommendation:

This item is for informational purposes only. No action is required.

## Summary:

As is customary with annual financial audits, the FY2010-11 auditors for both the Alameda County Congestion Management Agency (ACCMA), Kevin Harper CPA \& Associates, and Alameda County Transportation Improvement Authority (ACTIA), Maze \& Associates, began the annual audit during an interim period in the April through May time frame when they worked their way through the bulk of the testing for the year.

Per the Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) 114, staff set up meetings in early August where the auditors discussed, directly and privately, with Commission members, Chair Mayor Mark Green and FAC Chair Vice Mayor John Chiang, various topics including audit planning and fraud considerations. After these meetings, it was communicated to staff that some suggestions were made by Kevin Harper as to how Alameda CTC should conduct audits for FY2010-11. These suggestions were that we should:

1. Audit the stub period of $7 / 1 / 10$ through $7 / 22 / 10$ for ACCMA and ACTIA.
2. Audit Alameda CTC for the period $7 / 22 / 10$ through $6 / 30 / 11$.
3. Consult with the Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) due to their recent research on mergers and acquisitions
4. Form an Audit Committee

Auditors are currently on site completing the final audit process. We expect to have audited financial statements available for Commission review at the December 1 Commission meeting.

## Background:

Upon review of the suggestions made by Kevin Harper, staff has some conflicting views as follows:

1. Staff does not agree with suggestion \#1 because although the Alameda CTC JPA was formed on July 22, 2010, the ACCMA and ACTIA continued to exist as separate legal entities
throughout FY2010-11 with separate financial systems, transactions and budgets therefore they need to be audited for the entire fiscal year.
2. Staff does not agree with suggestion \#2 because the Alameda CTC has no financial system, transactions or budget in FY2010-11. There would be nothing to audit.
3. Staff does not agree with suggestion \#3 because the GASB has just started their work on the review of mergers and acquisitions which may take a couple of years and has no formal stance on the matter at this time. Even if GASB were to make a recommendation at this time, the opinion could change significantly by the time they have formalized recommendations.
4. Staff agrees with the suggestion of forming an Audit Committee as it is best practice. This is on staff's agenda as an item that is important to incorporate into the governance practices of the Alameda CTC when consolidation tasks are finalized. In the mean time, staff has worked with the auditors to form a quasi audit team with which both auditors and staff were comfortable, Mayor Mark Green and Vice Mayor John Chiang. The auditors met with this team to carry out the formal communication process of the audits.

Legal counsel has suggested that they will prepare a written communication which clarifies the structure of the JPA and timing of the final merger for both audit teams to help them understand the JPA structure and merger process and prepare their note disclosures for the financial statements.

## Fiscal Impacts:

There are no fiscal impacts related to this audit update.

# Memorandum 

DATE: $\quad$ August 22, 2011
TO: Finance and Administration Committee

FROM: Tess Lengyel, Deputy Director of Policy, Public Affairs and Legislation Liz Brazil, Contract Compliance and Outreach Analyst

SUBJECT: Approval of the Semi-Annual Contract Equity Utilization Report and Contract Award Report for January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2011

## Recommendation

It is recommended that the Commission approve the attached Semi-Annual Local Business Contract Equity (LBCE) Program Utilization Report and Contract Award Report, for the period of January 1, 2011 to June 30, 2011. The contracts and contract payment data which serve as a basis for this LBCE report have been reviewed and accepted by the Commission's contract equity consultant, L. Luster and Associates.

## Summary

On a semi-annual basis, Alameda CTC staff prepares the LBCE Utilization Report to provide the status and progress on the utilization of:

1. Local Business Enterprise (LBE) / Small Local Business Enterprise (SLBE) on active Measure B funded contracts awarded by the Commission and Sponsoring agencies; and
2. Minority-Owned Business Enterprise (MBE) / Woman-Owned Business Enterprise (WBE) participation on active contracts awarded by the Commission and sponsoring agencies that were exempted from the application of the Agency's LBCE Program and goals. Those Measure B funded contracts exempted from the LBCE Program and goals were those that had additional Federal and/or State funds, non-local funds, or with less than $\$ 50,000$ in contract value.

## Semi-Annual Local Business Contract Equity (LBCE) Program Report with Goals

In the current reporting period there were a total of 34 active contracts with LBCE Program goals. Of these contracts, roughly $87 \%$ of payments ( $\$ 6.1$ million) went to firms certified as LBE, and $25 \%$ of payments ( $\$ 1.8$ million) went to firms certified as SLBE. The LBE goal of $70 \%$ and SLBE goal of $30 \%$ for Administrative contracts was exceeded. The engineering contracts exceeded the LBE goal of $70 \%$ but the $25 \%$ utilization fell short of the $30 \%$ SLBE goal. There were no construction payments for this period.

Additional information collected for contracts with LBCE Program goals include:

- Very Small Local Business Enterprise (VSLBE) - 12\% of payments (almost $\$ 0.8$ million)
- Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) - 5\% of payments (\$0.4 million)
- Minority-Owned Business Enterprise (MBE) - 5\% of payments (\$0.3 million)
- Woman-Owned Business Enterprise (WBE) - 4\% of payments (roughly \$0.3 million)


## Semi-Annual Local Business Contract Equity (LBCE) Program Report without Goals

There were 60 active contracts without LBCE Program goals in this reporting period, of which roughly $36 \%$ of payments ( $\$ 11$ million) went to LBE-certified firms, 3\% of payments (approximately $\$ 1$ million) went to SLBE-certified firms, $2 \%$ of payments (about $\$ 0.5$ million) went to VSLBE-certified firms, 4\% of payments ( $\$ 1.2$ million) went to DBE-certified firms, 4\% (about $\$ 1.2$ million) went to MBE-certified firms, and $1 \%$ (about $\$ 0.4$ million) went to WBEcertified firms.

## Contract Award Report

During the period of January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2011, the Alameda CTC awarded a total of thirty-four (34) contracts, twenty-five (25) for administrative contracts, seven (7) for engineering contracts and two (2) construction contracts for a combined total of $\$ 27.9$ million. Of these, $\$ 17.5$ million was awarded for administrative and engineering contracts, and of that amount, $\$ 15.8$ million (91\%) went to Alameda County Businesses, with $\$ 2.1$ million (12\%) overall going to DBEs. Two construction contracts were awarded for a total of $\$ 10.4$ million dollars with $100 \%$ State funding.

## Background

In 1989, a program for the procurement of professional services was established which set goals of 70\% for LBE, 25\% for MBE, and 5\% for WBE.

In 1995, a program for construction contracts that set overall participation goals of $60 \%$ for LBE, $33 \%$ for MBE, and $9 \%$ for WBE was approved. Those goals were based on a disparity study in addition to extensive public input from both the prime and minority contracting communities. Specific goals are set for each construction contract, based on biddable items and the availability of LBE/MBE/WBE firms.

As a result of the passage of Proposition 209 in 1996, and the United States Department of Transportation's issuance of the final ruling on the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise program in 2000, the MBE/WBE program and goal requirements were suspended. In lieu of the suspended MBE/WBE program, two new programs were adopted: the LBE/SLBE program for contracts funded with local dollars, and the DBE program for contracts funded with federal dollars. In January 2008, a Revised LBE/SLBE Program was adopted and renamed as the Local Business Contract Equity Program.

Revisions to the LBCE Program were aimed at increasing SLBE participation in all areas of the Agency contracting opportunities, particularly in construction contracting. The revised program became effective for eligible Agency-led contracts as of February 2008 and for all eligible Sponsor-led projects awarded after July 2008.

Utilization is determined semi-annually by collecting and analyzing financial data relative to the amounts awarded and paid to LBE, SLBE, VSLBE, DBE, MBE, and WBE prime and subcontractors in three contract categories:

- Administrative Services Contracts - many of the contracts in this group are annually renewed administrative services contracts to assist in the administration of the Measure B Program. These services include, but are not limited to, contract equity program, support, general counsel, federal and state legislative advocacy, auditors, financial advisors, information and computer services, and project and program and support teams.
- Engineering Services Contracts - contracts in this group are primarily engineering services contracts to assist the Agency in the development and delivery of capital projects.
- Construction Contracts - contracts in this group are specific to construction contracts awarded to builders of transportation facilities such as roadway and transit improvements.

Key information monitored and reported includes LBE, SLBE, VSLBE, DBE, MBE, and WBE utilization on all active contracts as of June 30, 2011.

## Summary of Results for Current Reporting Period

As shown in Table 1 of this report, the LBE goal of $70 \%$ and SLBE goal of $30 \%$ were exceeded in the administrative services contract. The engineering contracts exceeded the LBE goal of $70 \%$ but the $23 \%$ utilization fell short of the SBLE goal of $30 \%$. No construction payments for this period.

| TABLE 1 - Measure B-Funded Contracts with LBCE Program Goal Requirements LBE/SLBE Contracts: Goals = 60\%-70\% for LBE; 20\%-30\% for SLBE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contract Type | Number of Contracts | Payments from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Payment Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { LBE } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SLBE } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { VSLBE } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DBE } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MBE } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WBE } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Administrative | 18 | \$2,048,206.54 | 85\% | 64\% | 37\% | 14\% | 14\% | 10\% |
| Engineering | 16 | \$4,988,918.05 | 88\% | 23\% | 3\% | 5\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Construction | 0 | \$0 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| All Industries | 34 | \$7,037,124.59 | 87\% | 25\% | 12\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% |

Table 2 on the following page summarizes participation of LBE and SLBE firms, as well as DBE, MBE and/or WBE firms on contracts that were exempt from the Agency LBCE Program goals. Per policy, the Program is not applied to these contracts, either because they are jointly funded with federal and/or state or otherwise non-local funds, or because they are less than $\$ 50,000$ in contract value. Nonetheless, $36 \%$ of payments in this contract category went to certified LBE firms, 3\% to SLBE firms, 2\% to VSLBE firms, $4 \%$ to DBE firms, $4 \%$ to MBE firms, and $1 \%$ went to WBE firms.

| TABLE 2 - Measure B-Funded Contracts Exempt from LBCE Program Goal Requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contract Type | Number of Contracts | Payments from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Payment Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { LBE } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SLBE } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { VSLBE } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DBE } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MBE } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | WBE |
| Administrative | 2 | \$20,866.35 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Engineering | 46 | \$3,303,214.23 | 75\% | 4\% | 1\% | 10\% | 10\% | 1\% |
| Construction ${ }^{1,2}$ | 12 | \$27,705,360.65 | 31\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| All Industries | 60 | \$31,029,441.23 | 36\% | 3\% | 2\% | 4\% | 4\% | 1\% |

${ }_{1}$ Includes construction contracts pending close-out
${ }^{2}$ Includes construction contracts where Caltrans is the sponsor - Caltrans DBE program applies (currently race-neutral program applies to contracts included in this report)

Table 3 summarizes the overall professional services contract awards during the reporting period. The Alameda CTC awarded a total of Thirty-two (32) contracts, twenty-five (25) for administrative contracts and seven (7) for engineering contracts. There was approximate total of $\$ 17.5$ million for administrative and engineering contracts. Of these, $\$ 15.8$ million (91\%) awarded to Alameda County Businesses and $\$ 2.1$ million awarded to DBEs (12\%) with a total of ninety-seven (97) firms participating.

| TABLE 3 - Professional Services Contracts Awarded - January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contract Type | Type of Funding | No. of Contracts | Total Contract Amount | Percent of Contract Amount | Amount Awarded to Alameda County Firms | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { LBE } \\ \text { Award } \end{gathered}$ | Amount Awarded to DBE Firms | \% DBE Award |
| Administrative | Federal | 8 | \$7,772,169 | 44\% | \$4,947,997 | 28\% | \$1,761,218 | 10\% |
|  | State | 0 | \$0 | 0\% | \$0 | 0\% | \$0 | 0\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Measure } \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ | 17 | \$2,052,920 | 12\% | \$3,268,850 | 19\% | \$338,152 | 2\% |
| Engineering | Federal | 4 | \$3,169,606 | 18\% | \$3,169,606 | 18\% | \$34,325 | 0\% |


|  | State | 1 | $\$ 1,890,750$ | $11 \%$ | $\$ 1,890,750$ | $11 \%$ | $\$ 0$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Measure <br> B | 2 | $\$ 2,580,000$ | $15 \%$ | $2,580,000$ | $15 \%$ | $\$ 0$ | $0 \%$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 7 , 4 6 5 , 4 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 , 8 5 7 , 2 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 1 3 3 , 6 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ |

Table 4 on the following page summarizes the construction contract award, the Alameda CTC awarded two (2) construction contracts (100\% State funding) for a total of $\$ 10.4$ million dollars with a total of eleven (11) firms participating.

| TABLE 4 - Construction Contracts Awarded - January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contract Type | Type of Funding | No. of Contracts | Total Contract Amount | Percent of Contract Amount | Amount Awarded to Alameda County Firms | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { LBE } \\ \text { Award } \end{gathered}$ | Amount Award to DBE Firms | \% DBE Award |
| Construction | Federal | 0 | \$0 | 0\% | \$0 | 0\% | \$0 | 0\% |
|  | State | 2 | \$10,439,857 | 100\% | \$41,845 | 0\% | 0\$ | 0\% |
|  | Measure <br> B | 0 | \$0 | 0\% | \$0 | 0\% | \$0 | 0\% |
| Total |  | 2 | \$10,439,857 | 100\% | \$41,845 | 0\% | \$0 | 0\% |

## Reporting Process

Data collection on all active and open contracts began on January 1, 2011, by surveying prime contractors and subcontractors for verification of payment amounts and timing. For the current reporting period, 73 payment verification survey forms were sent to prime contractors and subcontractors. Approximately 64\% responded during the allotted time.

Staff utilized the same method of reporting from the last reporting period—July through December 2010—which included an automated summary of processed payments by vendor report and an automated utilization report generated from an in-house database (see Attachment A - Contract Equity Utilization Report).

Regarding billing and timely receipt of payments, approximately $89 \%$ of the respondents indicated that they had not experienced any billing-related issues and $96 \%$ indicated that they had received timely payments from the project sponsors and/or prime contractors. None of the billing and payment-related issues reported to the Commission required the assistance of the Contract Equity consultant and all issues were resolved prior to the development of this report.

The participation, data, and statistics, which serve as a basis for this report, have been independently reviewed and verified by the firm L. Luster and Associates. As stated in the attached letter from L. Luster and Associates (see Attachment B - Letter of Independent Review of Semi-Annual Contract Equity Utilization Report Data), this report was found to be materially accurate and complete.
of Semi-Annual Contract Equity Utilization Report Data), this report was found to be materially accurate and complete.

## Certification Update

During a review of the certification database in May, 2011, L. Luster \& Associates discovered that there had been an error some time ago regarding the reporting of the aggregate number of certified firms in the database. Once the error was caught, the LBCE team recounted every firm in the database, as of June 30, 2011, a total of 284 certified firms were verified, of which 99 were LBE firms, 47 SLBE firms and 138 VSLBE firms.

## Outreach Activities Update

The Alameda CTC staff participated in various community and business outreach events to encourage the business community to partake in Alameda CTC contracting opportunities and to get certified, as shown below:

| Date: | Name of Event | Location | No. of Participants |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| January 25, 2011 | Professional Outreach and <br> Networking Event | MTC Auditorium, <br> Oakland, CA | $350+$ |
| January 27, 2011 | Caltran's CalMentor Event | Caltrans District 4 | $100+$ |
| February 17, 2011 | Oakland and East Bay <br> Procurement Fair | Oakland Chamber of <br> Commerce, Marriot Hotel, <br> Oakland | $300+$ |
| March 2, 2011 | Doing Business with the City <br> of Hayward | City of Hayward Offices | $100+$ |
| April 26, 2011 | BOC Contractor Technical <br> Assistance and Workshop | TJPA, 201 Mission Street, <br> San Francisco, CA | $30+$ |
| April 28, 2011 | Breakfast of Champions | Francesco's 8520 Pardee <br> Drive, Oakland | $50+$ |
| May 2, 2011 | City of Hayward Rotary Club | Masonic Hall, 1074 B <br> Street, Hayward, 94544 | $50+$ |
| June 21, 2011 | San Leandro Kiwanis Club | Englander Restaurant, 101 <br> Parrott Street, San Leandro <br> CA | $30+$ |
| June 30, 2011 | Alameda County Fair | Pleasanton, CA | $1,000+$ |

## Fiscal Impacts:

Approval of this Report has no fiscal impact.

## Attachments:

Attachment A - Semi-Annual Local Business Contract Equity Utilization Report
Attachment B - Letter of Independent Review of Semi-Annual Local Business Contract Equity Program Utilization Report

## Contract Equity Utilization Report


Contract Type：Administrative Contracts
Goal Requirements of $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ for LBE and $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ for SLBE
$\stackrel{1}{1-02}$

| oì | oì | \％ |  |  | oì o̊̀ | oì | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ث̀े } \\ & \text { ei } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  | \%i̊ | 8i |  | ষ응 | 原 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \text { ó } \end{aligned}$ | ò̀ |  | oì tò |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ò o | oì | \％ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ | oi̊ o̊ oi | oì | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ث̀: } \\ & \text { ei } \\ & \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oi} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | ò̀ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \text { ou } \end{aligned}$ | oio oio | ঃio | oి̀ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\circ}{\grave{\jmath}} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | ob̀ o̊ㅇ |
| ஃò | oి̀ | \％ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | oì \%o̊ | ò̀ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ثे० } \\ & \text { i. } \end{aligned}$ |  | oì | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \text { ó } \end{aligned}$ | oio oi | ஃ̀ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \text { ó } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | oio ò oi |
| \％ั̊ | oì | \％o |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ※े } \\ & \text { ® } \end{aligned}$ |  | oì |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \text { ف్ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \text { ó } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ஃ̀ } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \text { ou } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \text { ó } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { مٌ } \\ & \text { ผֹ } \end{aligned}$ |  | oio oio |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { oे } \\ & \text { ón } \end{aligned}$ | oి̀ | \％o̊ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \text { oì } \end{aligned}$ |  | oio | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \text { ヘ̀ } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 丸゙}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \text { ó } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oे̀ } \\ & \text { ón } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oे } \\ & \text { ón } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | òio ờ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \circ \circ \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | oì | \％oi |  | \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \%o̊ } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \text { oi } \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \text { ó } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\text { Na}}{~} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oे } \\ & 0 . \\ & 0 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \text { ou } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oi } \\ & \text { ob } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{i}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢0． |

$84.52 \% \quad 64.12 \% \quad 36.90 \% \quad 13.79 \% \quad 13.79 \% \quad 9.85 \%$
\％ㅇㅇㅇ 융
응 응

$11.87 \%$

| ACTIA | A10－0023－SIMON \＆CO INC | \＄30，000．00 | \＄27，414．49 | \＄17，821．35 | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACTI | A10－0005－Bess Test Lab | \＄100，000．00 | \＄3，045．00 | \＄3，045．00 | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ |
| Summary for Administrative Contracts without Goal Requirements（2 detail records） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | \＄30，459．49 | \＄20，866．35 | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ | 0．00\％ |
| Summary for all Administrative Contracts with and without Goal Requirements（20 detail records） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | ，277，119．17 | 2，069，072．89 | 75．34\％ | 59．10\％ | 30．69\％ | 11．78\％ | 11．78\％ | 9．77\％ | 83．67\％ | 63．48\％ | 36．53\％ | 13．65\％ | 13．65\％ | 9．75\％ | Summar

Total
Exempt of Goal Requirements
A06－0020
$\$ 12,561,000.00 \quad \$ 8,657,647.26$
Summary for Construction Contracts（including Sponsor Lead）without Goal Requirements（2 detail records） $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Total } & \$ 22,739,218.01 & \$ 341,620.23 & 40.97 \% & 0.08 \%\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Summary for all Construction Contracts（including Sponsor Lead）with and without Goal Requirements（2 detail records）} \\ \text { Total } & \$ 22,739,218.01 & \$ 341,620.23 & 40.97 \% & 0.08 \%\end{array}$
Tuesday，August 16， 2011
Contract Equity Utilization Report

| Project Number Company | Contract Amount | Total Payment to Date | Payment Current Period | Cumulative To Reporting End Date |  |  |  |  |  | Current Reporting Period |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | LBE | SLBE | VSLBE | DBE | MBE | WBE | LBE | SLBE | VSLBE | DBE | MBE | WBE |

Exempt of Goal Requirements
${ }^{1-01} \quad 4-1925$-CALTRANS

Summary for Cooperative Agreement with CalTrans without Goal Requirements (1 detail record)
Total $\quad \$ 69,991,740.05 \quad(\$ 40,000.00) 12$
Summar
Total
Contract Type: Funding Agreement
Goal Requirements of $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ for LBE and $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ for SLBE
A06-0022
13 A06-0022 - Alameda County
Total
Exempt of Goal Requirements


| $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



$\begin{array}{llllllll} & .74 \% & 0.93 \% & 30.65 \% & 3.05 \% & 1.65 \% & 3.33 \% & 3.32 \%\end{array} \quad 1.29 \%$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}8.64 \% & 0.92 \% & 30.65 \% & 3.05 \% & 1.65 \% & 3.33 \% & 3.32 \% & 1.29 \%\end{array}$
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Contract Type: Professional Services/Engineering Contracts

| Contract Type: Professional Services/Engineering Contracts |
| :--- |
| Goal Requirements of $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ for LBE and $30 \%$ for SLBE |

$\$ 1,480,000.00 \quad \$ 1,465,648.85$
$\$ 47,761,634.44 \quad \$ 27,403,740$
quirements (10 detail records)

Summary for Funding Agreement without Goal Requirements (9 detail records)
8.84\%
8.74\%
$1.05 \%$

$0.00 \%$
$\%$ \%o'0ع
0.00\%
$\stackrel{\text { సे }}{\text { N̈ }}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\$ 48,294,698.67 & \$ 27,403,740.42 \quad 29.59 \%\end{array}$
Contract Equity Utilization Report

| Project Number | Company | Contract Amount | Total Payment to Date | Payment Current Period | Cumulative To Reporting End Date |  |  |  |  |  | Current Reporting Period |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | LBE | SLBE | VSLBE | DBE | MBE | WBE | LBE | SLBE | VSLBE | DBE | MBE | WBE |
| 10 | A09-0037-WMH Corporation | \$400,000.00 | \$376,499.54 | \$46,881.41 | 90.07\% | 90.07\% | 69.72\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 97.27\% | 97.27\% | 97.27\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| A07-0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | A05-0045-Mark Thomas \& Company, Inc. | \$3,831,900.91 | \$3,747,412.75 | \$51,816.25 | 83.64\% | 27.28\% | 0.32\% | 14.49\% | 8.87\% | 5.62\% | 96.00\% | 3.21\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 12 | A07-0037-S \& C Engineers | \$2,796,971.00 | \$2,614,158.73 | \$157,769.17 | 100.00\% | 91.71\% | 0.10\% | 9.18\% | 9.18\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 98.69\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| A07-0062 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17B | 02 - Dowling Associates, Inc. | \$72,475.00 | \$67,562.68 |  | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 2001-05-PBQD, Inc. | \$13,115,000.00 | \$12,951,622.68 | \$512.74 | 94.86\% | 31.93\% | 0.00\% | 33.03\% | 15.83\% | 29.66\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 21 | A06-0016-Mendoza \& Associates | \$2,008,977.88 | \$2,008,977.88 | \$8,992.00 | 99.37\% | 99.37\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% |
| A05-0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | A05-0004-URS Corporation Americas | \$11,442,071.19 | \$10,931,477.53 | \$1,265,993.80 | 85.60\% | 33.16\% | 0.00\% | 32.81\% | 24.14\% | 5.42\% | 85.16\% | 14.30\% | 0.00\% | 17.20\% | 9.68\% | 3.29\% |
| A07-0053 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | A09-0033-PB Americas, Inc. | \$5,289,118.00 | \$45,925.90 | \$18,108.76 | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 28-100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | A10-0026-HQE, Inc. | \$500,000.00 | \$196,097.25 | \$121,937.02 | 97.79\% | 90.79\% | 84.80\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 84.80\% | 96.45\% | 85.20\% | 75.55\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 75.55\% |
| $1-02$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACTIA | A10-0021-EISEN/LETUNIC | \$249,250.00 | \$94,829.28 | \$14,310.28 | 66.32\% | 66.32\% | 42.31\% | 42.31\% | 0.00\% | 42.31\% | 74.25\% | 74.25\% | 50.49\% | 50.49\% | 0.00\% | 50.49\% |
| ACTIA | ACTC A10-0007-EMC Research Inc. | \$100,000.00 | \$37,919.48 | \$37,919.48 | 49.72\% | 49.72\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 49.72\% | 49.72\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 226-100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MB 226 | AA07-0001-TY Lin International/CCS | \$5,357,490.00 | \$5,357,435.24 |  | 99.36\% | 29.63\% | 0.00\% | 20.13\% | 17.31\% | 2.82\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MB 226 | AA07-0001-1- TY Lin International/CCS | \$8,654,950.00 | \$6,078,092.78 | \$3,143,978.82 | 93.60\% | 22.90\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 88.89\% | 16.65\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| AA08-0001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MB 238 | 02 - Mark Thomas \& Company, Inc. | \$7,500,000.00 | \$6,816,057.71 |  | 90.95\% | 32.77\% | 0.00\% | 15.36\% | 10.61\% | 4.75\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A05-0011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MB 240 | 2 - Kimley-Horn and Associates Inc. | \$3,262,000.00 | \$1,207,000.00 | \$120,698.32 | 92.41\% | 32.94\% | 1.66\% | 0.66\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 88.96\% | 64.29\% | 11.00\% | 1.51\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Summary for Professional Services/Engineering Contracts with Goal Requirements (16 detail records) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 53,996,718.28 | \$4,988,918.05 | 92.37\% | 36.84\% | 0.93\% | 24.08\% | 16.84\% | 9.86\% | 88.36\% | 22.60\% | 3.17\% | 4.73\% | 2.64\% | 2.83\% | Exempt of Goal Requirements A06-0041


適

| $\$ 5,777,464.00$ | $\$ 4,674,330.00$ | $\$ 2,100,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 3,010,265.74$ | $\$ 1,824,375.25$ |  |

Contract Equity Utilization Report
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ACTIA ACTC A10－0003－Nelson\Nygaard Consulting Associates
ACTIA ACTC A10－0004－Nelson\Nygard Consulting Associates
$225-200$
MB 225 AA07－XXX2－EverGreen LandCare Inc．
A99－0003
MB196 A99－0003－PBQD，Inc．
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Reporting Period End Date：

| 25 | 05 －Hanson，Bridgett，Marcus \＆Vlahos |
| :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 06 －SYSTRA |
| 25 | 07 －Rail Surveyors and Engineers，Inc． |
| 25 | 09 －Wilbur Smith Associates |
| 25 | 10 －Design，Community \＆Environment |
| A08－0048 |  |
| 26 | 02 －Kal Krishnan Consulting Services |
| 26 | 03 －Pillsbury Wintrop Shaw Pittman LLP |
| 26 | 04 －Wilbur Smith Associates |
| 26 | 06 －Nelson／Nygaard Consulting Associates |
| 26 | 07 －Donald J．Dean，MCP Environmental Planning |
| A08－0053 |  |
| 27B | 02 －Kimley－Horn and Associates Inc． |
| 27B | 04 －Alameda Program Managers |
| 1－02 |  |
| ACTIA | A09－0016－Urban Ecology |
| ACTIA | A09－012－ACCMA |
| ACTIA | ACTC A10－0002－Roberts Consulting Group，Inc． |
| ACTIA | ACTC A11－0029－Roberts Consulting Group，Inc． |
| ACTIA | L10－0002－Moore lacofano Goltsman，Inc． |
| ACTIA | L10－0007－Nelson\Nygaard Consulting Associates |
| ACTIA | L10－0009－NoonTime University，Inc． |
| ACTIA | L10－003－ACCMA |
| I－03 |  |
| ACTIA | ACTC A10－0003－Nelson\Nygaard Consulting Associ |
| ACTIA | ACTC A10－0004－Nelson\Nygaard Consulting Associa |
| 225－200 |  |
| MB 225 | AA07－XXX2－EverGreen LandCare Inc． |
| A99－0003 |  |
| MB196 | A99－0003－PBQD，Inc． |

Tuesday，August 16， 2011

| Project |
| :---: |
| Number |
| 08 |
| A08－0003－B |
| 14B |
| 14B |
| A05－0007 |


2 －Carter \＆Burgess，Inc
02 －Dowling Associates，Inc．
03 －TY Lin International／CCS
01 －Parsons Transportation Group
03 －HNTB
03 －HNTB
03 －HNTB


2278
278
278

| ACTIA |
| :--- |
| ACTIA |
| ACTIA |
| ACTIA |
| ACTIA |
| ACTIA |
| ACTIA |
| ACTIA |
| I－03 |

ATTACHMENT A
1/1/11 End Date: 6/30/11

| Project <br> Number Company | Contract <br> Amount | Total Payment to Date | Payment Current Period | Cumulative To Reporting End Date |  |  |  |  |  | Current Reporting Period |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | LBE | SLBE | VSLBE | DBE | MBE | WBE | LBE | SLBE | VSLBE | DBE | MBE | WBE |

Summary for Professional Services/Engineering Contracts without Goal Requirements (46 detail records)
Summary for all Professional Services/Engineering Contracts with and without Goal Requirements ( 62 detail records)

|  | $\$ 80,827,654.82$ | $\$ 8,292,132.28$ | $80.40 \%$ | $27.04 \%$ | $0.69 \%$ | $18.08 \%$ | $12.80 \%$ | $7.04 \%$ | $83.14 \%$ | $15.16 \%$ | $2.22 \%$ | $6.91 \%$ | $5.50 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{\$ 2 2 6}, 130,430.72$ | $\$ 38,066,565.82$ | $44.36 \%$ | $11.48 \%$ | $1.05 \%$ | $8.66 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 . 6 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 9 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3 3 \%}$ |
| Summary of All Active Contracts with and without Goal | $\mathbf{1 . 8 8 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Requirements
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## L. Luster \& Associates

To: Liz Brazil, Alameda CTC
From: Joan Fisher, L. Luster \& Associates
CC: Dr. Laura Luster, L. Luster \& Associates

Date: August 8, 2011
RE: Independent Review of ACTIA Semi-Annual Contract Equity Utilization Report Data for the period January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2011
L. Luster \& Associates (LLA) has reviewed ACTIA payment and vendor data for the period January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2011 provided by Alameda CTC staff.

LLA conducted a review of the Commission's database, physical contract/agreement files, and responses to vendor questionnaires providing quality control and data integrity for all vendor payments made within the above referenced period.

LLA met with staff and presented its findings. Staff satisfactorily responded to all issues identified and presented.

Having completed the review process, L. Luster \& Associates finds no material defects in the ACTIA Semi-Annual Contract Equity Utilization Report Data for the period January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2011.
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FAC Meeting 09/12/2011

## Memorandum

DATE: $\quad$ September 5, 2011
TO: Finance and Administration Committee Members
FROM: Arthur L. Dao, Executive Director

SUBJECT: Joint Regional Agencies Relocation Update

## Recommendation

This item is for information only.

## Summary

A special meeting of the Joint MTC Commission and the Bay Area Toll Authority (BATA) was called on August 17, 2011, to review the MTC Commission’s earlier (July 27, 2011) approval of actions to proceed with transaction for the regional agencies to relocate to 390 Main Street in San Francisco. At the conclusion of the this special meeting, the MTC Commission and BATA voted to rescind their actions on July 27, 2011, which were the authorization for the initiation of financial transaction and the opening of an escrow to purchase the building located at 390 Main Street, San Francisco, and related actions; and, directed that any Commission-directed transaction be deferred to a future MTC/BATA meeting. The MTC Commission/BATA also voted to form an ad-hoc subcommittee comprised to the current MTC Chair, Vice-Chair, and Past Chair, to review the legal and financial issues, and other matters, related to the relocation. This subcommittee will report back to the Joint MTC/BATA within 60 days with recommended future actions.


[^0]:    (1) See attachments for detail of investment holdings managed by Investment Advisors.

[^1]:    를 PFMAsset Management LLC

