# APPENDIX C: STREEISCORE+ANALYSIS WORKSHEEIS AND MAP PEDESTRIAN EMPHASIS MEIHODOLOGY 





|  |  | Bike Score by Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Bike StreetScore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave. |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | 19th to 20th |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | 6001-9000 |
| 4 | Prevailing Speed in MPH (use posted speed limit if speed data is not available) | 25 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | Yes |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | What is the bicycle facility type? | Bicycle Route Or <br> No Designated Bikeway |
|  | Speed | 25 |
|  | \# of Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | Speed | 25 |
|  | \# of Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | Does the street have a centerline? | Yes |
|  | Is the street classified as residential? | No |
|  | Segment LTS Score Per Mineta Methodology | 4 |
|  | Street Score+ LTS Score | 2 |


|  |  | Pedestrian Score by Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Streetscore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave. |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | 19th to 20th |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | 6001-9000 |
| 4 | Prevailing Speed in MPH (use posted speed limit if speed data is not available) | 25 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | Yes |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | What is the width of the sidewalk? <br> Is the sidewalk in good repair? <br> Does the sidewalk provide a continuous pedestrian environment? <br> Is there a landscape buffer and street trees? <br> What is the prevailing speed? <br> Number of Travel Lanes? <br> Is lighting present? <br> What is the percent of heavy vehicles in curbside travel lane? (Use total percentage if by-lane data is not available. Percentage should not include transit vehicles.) <br> (Optional) What is the crosswalk frequency? | 7-6' |
|  |  | Even, Smooth Surface |
|  |  | Driveway Curb Cuts Out of the Sidewalk Zone |
|  |  | Yes, <br> Discontinuous |
|  |  | 25 |
|  |  | 4 |
|  |  | Roadway Lighting Only |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | < $=5 \%$ |
|  |  | Crosswalks Spaced Every 400' or Less |
| Street Score+ LTS Score |  | 2 |




|  |  | Bike Score by Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Bike StreetScore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave. |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | W Grand to 32nd |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | 9001-12000 |
| 4 | $\qquad$ | 30 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | Yes |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | What is the bicycle facility type? | Bicycle Route Or <br> No Designated Bikeway |
|  | Speed | 30 |
|  | \# of Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | Speed | 30 |
|  | \# of Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | Does the street have a centerline? | Yes |
|  | Is the street classified as residential? | No |
|  | Segment LTS Score Per Mineta Methodology | 4 |
|  | Street Score+ LTS Score | 3 |
|  |  |  |


|  |  | Pedestrian Score by Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Streetscore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave. |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | W Grand to 32nd |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | 9001-12000 |
| 4 | Prevailing Speed in MPH (use posted speed limit if speed data is not available) | 30 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | Yes |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | What is the width of the sidewalk? <br> Is the sidewalk in good repair? <br> Does the sidewalk provide a continuous pedestrian environment? <br> Is there a landscape buffer and street trees? <br> What is the prevailing speed? <br> Number of Travel Lanes? <br> Is lighting present? <br> What is the percent of heavy vehicles in curbside travel lane? (Use total percentage if by-lane data is not available. Percentage should not include transit vehicles.) <br> (Optional) What is the crosswalk frequency? | 7-6' |
|  |  | Even, Smooth Surface |
|  |  | Driveway Curb Cuts Out of the Sidewalk Zone |
|  |  | Yes, <br> Discontinuous |
|  |  | 30 |
|  |  | 4 |
|  |  | Roadway Lighting Only |
|  |  | < $=5 \%$ |
|  |  | Crosswalks Spaced Every 400' or Less |
| Street Score+ LTS Score |  | 2 |


|  |  | Bike Score by Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Bike StreetScore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave. |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | 32nd to 36th |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | 9001-12000 |
| 4 | Prevailing Speed in MPH (use posted speed limit if speed data is not available) | 30 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | Yes |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | What is the bicycle facility type? | Bicycle Route Or <br> No Designated Bikeway |
|  | Speed | 30 |
|  | \# of Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | Speed | 30 |
|  | \# of Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | Does the street have a centerline? | Yes |
|  | Is the street classified as residential? | No |
|  | Segment LTS Score Per Mineta Methodology | 4 |
|  | Street Score+ LTS Score | 3 |


|  |  | Pedestrian Score by Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Streetscore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave. |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | 32nd to 36th |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | 9001-12000 |
| 4 | Prevailing Speed in MPH (use posted speed limit if speed data is not available) | 30 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | Yes |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | What is the width of the sidewalk? <br> Is the sidewalk in good repair? <br> Does the sidewalk provide a continuous pedestrian environment? <br> Is there a landscape buffer and street trees? <br> What is the prevailing speed? <br> Number of Travel Lanes? <br> Is lighting present? <br> What is the percent of heavy vehicles in curbside travel lane? (Use total percentage if by-lane data is not available. Percentage should not include transit vehicles.) <br> (Optional) What is the crosswalk frequency? | > $=8{ }^{\prime}$ |
|  |  | Even, Smooth Surface |
|  |  | Driveway Curb Cuts Out of the Sidewalk Zone |
|  |  | Yes, <br> Discontinuous |
|  |  | 30 |
|  |  | 4 |
|  |  | Roadway Lighting Only |
|  |  | < $=5 \%$ |
|  |  | Crosswalks <br> Spaced $>400^{\prime}$ |
| Street Score+ LTS Score |  | 3 |







|  |  | Pedestrian Score by Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Streetscore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | 53rd to Ashby |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | >15000 |
| 4 | Prevailing Speed in MPH (use posted speed limit if speed data is not available) | 30 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | Yes |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | What is the width of the sidewalk? <br> Is the sidewalk in good repair? <br> Does the sidewalk provide a continuous pedestrian environment? <br> Is there a landscape buffer and street trees? <br> What is the prevailing speed? <br> Number of Travel Lanes? <br> Is lighting present? <br> What is the percent of heavy vehicles in curbside travel lane? (Use total percentage if by-lane data is not available. Percentage should not include transit vehicles.) <br> (Optional) What is the crosswalk frequency? | 7-6' |
|  |  | Even, Smooth Surface |
|  |  | Driveway Curb Cuts Out of the Sidewalk Zone |
|  |  | Yes, <br> Discontinuous |
|  |  | 30 |
|  |  | 4 |
|  |  | Pedestrian-Scale |
|  |  | < $=5 \%$ |
|  |  | Crosswalks Spaced Every 400 or Less |
| Street Score+ LTS Score |  | 2 |



|  |  | Pedestrian <br> Score by <br> Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Streetscore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | Ashby to University |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | >15000 |
| 4 | Prevailing Speed in MPH (use posted speed limit if speed data is not available) | 30 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | Yes |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | What is the width of the sidewalk? <br> Is the sidewalk in good repair? <br> Does the sidewalk provide a continuous pedestrian environment? <br> Is there a landscape buffer and street trees? <br> What is the prevailing speed? <br> Number of Travel Lanes? <br> Is lighting present? <br> What is the percent of heavy vehicles in curbside travel lane? (Use total percentage if by-lane data is not available. Percentage should not include transit vehicles.) <br> (Optional) What is the crosswalk frequency? | 7-6' |
|  |  | Even, Smooth Surface |
|  |  | Driveway Curb Cuts Out of the Sidewalk Zone |
|  |  | Yes, Continuous |
|  |  | 30 |
|  |  | 4 |
|  |  | Roadway Lighting Only |
|  |  | < $=5 \%$ |
|  |  | Crosswalks <br> Spaced Every 400 or Less |
| Street Score+ LTS Score |  | 2 |





|  |  | Pedestrian Score by Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Streetscore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | Harrison to Brighton |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | >15000 |
| 4 | Prevailing Speed in MPH (use posted speed limit if speed data is not available) | 30 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | No |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | What is the width of the sidewalk? <br> Is the sidewalk in good repair? <br> Does the sidewalk provide a continuous pedestrian environment? <br> Is there a landscape buffer and street trees? <br> What is the prevailing speed? <br> Number of Travel Lanes? <br> Is lighting present? <br> What is the percent of heavy vehicles in curbside travel lane? (Use total percentage if by-lane data is not available. Percentage should not include transit vehicles.) <br> (Optional) What is the crosswalk frequency? | 7-6' |
|  |  | Even, Smooth Surface |
|  |  | Driveway Curb Cuts Out of the Sidewalk Zone |
|  |  | Yes, <br> Discontinuous |
|  |  | 30 |
|  |  | 4 |
|  |  | Roadway Lighting Only |
|  |  | <=5\% |
|  |  | Crosswalks <br> Spaced $>400^{\prime}$ |
| Street Score+ LTS Score |  | 3 |




|  |  | Bike Score by Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Bike StreetScore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | Hill to Knott |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | >15000 |
| 4 | Prevailing Speed in MPH (use posted speed limit if speed data is not available) | 30 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | Yes |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 5 |
|  | What is the bicycle facility type? | Bicycle Route Or <br> No Designated Bikeway |
|  | Speed\# of Travel LanesDoes the street have a centerline?Is the street classified as residential? | 30 |
|  |  | 5 |
|  |  | Yes |
|  |  | No |
| Segment LTS Score Per Mineta Methodology |  | 4 |
| Street Score+ LTS Score |  | 3 |


|  |  | Pedestrian Score by Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Streetscore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | Hill to Knott |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | >15000 |
| 4 | Prevailing Speed in MPH (use posted speed limit if speed data is not available) | 30 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | Yes |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 5 |
|  | What is the width of the sidewalk? <br> Is the sidewalk in good repair? <br> Does the sidewalk provide a continuous pedestrian environment? <br> Is there a landscape buffer and street trees? <br> What is the prevailing speed? <br> Number of Travel Lanes? <br> Is lighting present? <br> What is the percent of heavy vehicles in curbside travel lane? (Use total percentage if by-lane data is not available. Percentage should not include transit vehicles.) <br> (Optional) What is the crosswalk frequency? | <6' |
|  |  | Even, Smooth Surface |
|  |  | Driveway Curb Cuts Out of the Sidewalk Zone |
|  |  | Yes, <br> Discontinuous |
|  |  | 30 |
|  |  | 5 |
|  |  | Roadway Lighting Only |
|  |  | < $=5 \%$ |
|  |  | Crosswalks <br> Spaced $>400^{\prime}$ |
| Street Score+ LTS Score |  | 3 |



|  |  | Pedestrian Score by Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Streetscore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | Knott to Nevin |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | >15000 |
| 4 | Prevailing Speed in MPH (use posted speed limit if speed data is not available) | 30 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | Yes |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | What is the width of the sidewalk? <br> Is the sidewalk in good repair? <br> Does the sidewalk provide a continuous pedestrian environment? <br> Is there a landscape buffer and street trees? <br> What is the prevailing speed? <br> Number of Travel Lanes? <br> Is lighting present? <br> What is the percent of heavy vehicles in curbside travel lane? (Use total percentage if by-lane data is not available. Percentage should not include transit vehicles.) <br> (Optional) What is the crosswalk frequency? | <6' |
|  |  | Even, Smooth Surface |
|  |  | Driveway Curb Cuts Out of the Sidewalk Zone |
|  |  | Yes, <br> Discontinuous |
|  |  | 30 |
|  |  | 4 |
|  |  | Roadway Lighting Only |
|  |  | < $=5 \%$ |
|  |  | Crosswalks <br> Spaced $>400^{\prime}$ |
| Street Score+ LTS Score |  | 3 |


|  |  | Bike Score by Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Bike StreetScore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave. |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | Nevin to Rheem |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | 9001-12000 |
| 4 | Prevailing Speed in MPH (use posted speed limit if speed data is not available) | 30 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | No |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | What is the bicycle facility type? | Bicycle Route Or <br> No Designated Bikeway |
|  | Speed\# of Travel LanesDoes the street have a centerline?Is the street classified as residential? | 30 |
|  |  | 4 |
|  |  | Yes |
|  |  | No |
| Segment LTS Score Per Mineta Methodology |  | 4 |
| Street Score+ LTS Score |  | 3 |


|  |  | Pedestrian Score by Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Streetscore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave. |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | Nevin to Rheem |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | 9001-12000 |
| 4 | Prevailing Speed in MPH (use posted speed limit if speed data is not available) | 30 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | No |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | What is the width of the sidewalk? <br> Is the sidewalk in good repair? <br> Does the sidewalk provide a continuous pedestrian environment? <br> Is there a landscape buffer and street trees? <br> What is the prevailing speed? <br> Number of Travel Lanes? <br> Is lighting present? <br> What is the percent of heavy vehicles in curbside travel lane? (Use total percentage if by-lane data is not available. Percentage should not include transit vehicles.) <br> (Optional) What is the crosswalk frequency? | 7-6' |
|  |  | Even, Smooth Surface |
|  |  | Frequent Driveway Curb Cuts into the Sidewalk Zone |
|  |  | Yes, <br> Discontinuous |
|  |  | 30 |
|  |  | 4 |
|  |  | Roadway Lighting Only |
|  |  | <=5\% |
|  |  | Crosswalks <br> Spaced $>400^{\prime}$ |
| Street Score+ LTS Score |  | 3 |


|  |  | Bike Score by Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Bike StreetScore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave. |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | Rheem to Rd 20 |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | 9001-12000 |
| 4 | Prevailing Speed in MPH (use posted speed limit if speed data is not available) | 35 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | Yes |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | What is the bicycle facility type? | Bicycle Route Or <br> No Designated Bikeway |
|  | Speed\# of Travel LanesDoes the street have a centerline?Is the street classified as residential? | 35 |
|  |  | 4 |
|  |  | Yes |
|  |  | No |
| Segment LTS Score Per Mineta Methodology |  | 4 |
| Street Score+ LTS Score |  | 4 |


|  |  | Pedestrian Score by Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Streetscore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave. |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | Rheem to Rd 20 |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | 9001-12000 |
| 4 | Prevailing Speed in MPH (use posted speed limit if speed data is not available) | 35 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | Yes |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | What is the width of the sidewalk? <br> Is the sidewalk in good repair? <br> Does the sidewalk provide a continuous pedestrian environment? <br> Is there a landscape buffer and street trees? <br> What is the prevailing speed? <br> Number of Travel Lanes? <br> Is lighting present? <br> What is the percent of heavy vehicles in curbside travel lane? (Use total percentage if by-lane data is not available. Percentage should not include transit vehicles.) <br> (Optional) What is the crosswalk frequency? | 7-6' |
|  |  | Even, Smooth Surface |
|  |  | Driveway Curb Cuts Out of the Sidewalk Zone |
|  |  | Yes, <br> Discontinuous |
|  |  | 35 |
|  |  | 4 |
|  |  | Roadway Lighting Only |
|  |  | < $=5 \%$ |
|  |  | Crosswalks <br> Spaced $>400^{\prime}$ |
| Street Score+ LTS Score |  | 3 |


|  |  | Bike Score by Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Bike StreetScore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave. |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | Rd 20 to Rivers |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | >15000 |
| 4 | Prevailing Speed in MPH (use posted speed limit if speed data is not available) | 35 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | Yes |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | What is the bicycle facility type? | Bicycle Route Or <br> No Designated Bikeway |
|  | Speed\# of Travel LanesDoes the street have a centerline?Is the street classified as residential? | 35 |
|  |  | 4 |
|  |  | Yes |
|  |  | No |
| Segment LTS Score Per Mineta Methodology |  | 4 |
| Street Score+ LTS Score |  | 4 |


|  |  | Pedestrian Score by Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refer to StreetScore+ white paper for criteria definitions. |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Streetscore by Link | Input |
|  | View Basic Roadway Characteristics? | + |
| 1 | Roadway Name | San Pablo Ave. |
| 2 | Roadway Extents | Rd 20 to Rivers |
| 3 | ADT (average daily traffic) | >15000 |
| 4 | Prevailing Speed in MPH (use posted speed limit if speed data is not available) | 35 |
| 5 | Are the bicycle conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. bicycle facilities are the same in both directions, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 6 | Are the pedestrian conditions the same in both directions? (e.g. sidewalk of similar width present on both sides of the street, number of lanes are the same in both directions) | Yes |
| 7 | Is there a raised median? | Yes |
| 8 | Number of Total Travel Lanes | 4 |
|  | What is the width of the sidewalk? <br> Is the sidewalk in good repair? <br> Does the sidewalk provide a continuous pedestrian environment? <br> Is there a landscape buffer and street trees? <br> What is the prevailing speed? <br> Number of Travel Lanes? <br> Is lighting present? <br> What is the percent of heavy vehicles in curbside travel lane? (Use total percentage if by-lane data is not available. Percentage should not include transit vehicles.) <br> (Optional) What is the crosswalk frequency? | <6' |
|  |  | Even, Smooth Surface |
|  |  | Driveway Curb Cuts Out of the Sidewalk Zone |
|  |  | Yes, <br> Discontinuous |
|  |  | 35 |
|  |  | 4 |
|  |  | Roadway Lighting Only |
|  |  | < $=5 \%$ |
|  |  | Crosswalks <br> Spaced $>400^{\prime}$ |
| Street Score+ LTS Score |  | 3 |
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## ATTACHMENT A: Pedestrian Emphasis Scoring Methodology

The Pedestrian emphasis scoring was performed by layering the categories listed in Table 4 through GIS mapping. The overlaying individual scores were summed to create a pedestrian emphasis intensity map of the combined layers scores. Maps in Attachment F show the gradation of these scores.

The Transit scores range from . 25 to 2 points based upon the existing and planned transit capacity on those routes. Hence, BART Stations, AC Transit Major Corridor and Crosstown routes, select Emery GoRound routes, and LAVTA Rapid corridors have higher scores than local routes. Locations where multiple transit facilities overlap have higher cumulative scores.

The Land Use/Demographic category scoring is more variable, ranging from . 25 to 4 points depending upon the characteristic being scored. Existing commercial mixed use zones that are the most pedestrian oriented also include scoring in an eighth-mile buffer around the zoning boundary. This breadth of scoring occurs, because this category includes factors such as intensity of uses, high activity destinations, and demographic profiles through the scoring of MTC's Community of Concern assessment. Land use scoring includes PDA typologies with the highest score assigned to the highest PDA intensity type, a score of 4 for Regional Center. Many of the PDAs contain several types of high-activity uses (commercial and mixed use areas as defined in jurisdictions' general plans); therefore, those areas were assigned additional scores (ranging from . 25 to 1 ) based upon the intended intensity of those specific uses. This additional scoring allows for gradation of pedestrian emphasis of streets within large PDAs. Areas identified as future employment zones in the County's RTP were given one point to highlight activity centers that aren't necessarily within transit corridors or PDAs, but would have a need for pedestrian improvements. Points were given to educational, cultural and government offices areas, as they bring additional pedestrian activity from employees, users, and visitors. Lastly, census tracts identified as Communities of Concern under the MTC equity analysis were scored (1 to 1.5) based upon whether more than four of the demographic factors identified in the MTC analysis were met. Tracts that met more than 6 factors were scored half a point higher.

Across categories, the scoring was scaled to relative expected level of pedestrian activity. For example, BART stations typically have a high level of pedestrian activity around them and a scored a 2 . But those in city centers generally have even higher levels of activity, so a PDA place type score of 4 for a Regional Center or 3 for a City Center was added to the BART score. The relatively higher scoring for the PDA designation compared to the BART score is reflective of the pedestrian activity that occurs in these centers regardless of how a person travels to and from the center, such as an employee walking to get lunch or run errands.

Table 4: Pedestrian Priority Scores

| PEDESTRIAN PRIORITY MEASURE | REVISED SCORE | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRANSIT (range of 0.25 to 2 point scores) |  |  |
| 1 BART STATIONS |  |  |
| . 25 Miles | 2 |  |
| . 5 Miles | 1 |  |
| 2 ACE STATIONS |  |  |
| . 25 Miles | 0.75 |  |
| . 5 Miles | 0.5 |  |
| 3 AMTRAK CAPITOL CORRIDOR |  |  |
| . 25 Miles | 0.75 |  |
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| PEDESTRIAN PRIORITY MEASURE | REVISED |
| :--- | :---: |
| SCORE |  |$\quad$ NOTES
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| PEDESTRIAN PRIORITY MEASURE | REVISED <br> SCORE | NOTES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| PLEASANTON |  | buffer |
| 112 - Comm'l and Office (Retail/Highway/Service/Professional) | 0.25 |  |
| 112 - Business Park (Industrial/Commercial and Office) | 0.25 |  |
| SAN LEANDRO | 0.25 |  |
| 113 - General Commercial or Office | 0.2 |  |
| 113 - Neighborhood Commercial or Corridor Mixed Use | 1 |  |
| 113 - Downtown Mixed Use | 0.25 |  |
| UNION CITY | 0.25 |  |
| $114-$ Office Commercial or R\&D Campus | 1 |  |
| 114 - Retail Commercial |  |  |
| 114 - Station Mixed-Use Commercial |  |  |

