



**ALAMEDA COUNTY TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
(ACTAC)  
MEETING NOTICE**

**Tuesday, November 6, 1:30 P.M.**  
1333 Broadway, Suite 300,  
Oakland, California 94612  
(see map on last page of agenda)

**Chairperson:** Art Dao  
**Staff Liaison:** Matt Todd  
**Secretary:** Claudia Leyva

***AGENDA***

*Copies of Individual Agenda Items are Available on the:  
Alameda CTC Website -- [www.AlamedaCTC.org](http://www.AlamedaCTC.org)*

**1 INTRODUCTIONS / ROLL CALL**

**2 PUBLIC COMMENT**

Members of the public may address the Committee during “Public Comment” on any item not on the agenda. Public comment on an agenda item will be heard when that item is before the Committee. Anyone wishing to comment should make his or her desire known to the Chair.

**3 CONSENT CALENDAR**

- |    |   |          |
|----|---|----------|
| 3A | Approval of Minutes of October 2, 2012 – <b>Page 1</b>  | <b>A</b> |
| 3B | California Transportation Commission (CTC) October 2012 Meeting Summary – <b>Page 5</b>   | <b>I</b> |
| 3C | Training Opportunity – Caltrans’ Understanding Bicycle Transportation Workshop– <b>Page 9</b>   | <b>I</b> |
| 3D | Funding Opportunity – Transportation Fund for Clean Air Program (TFCA) Regional Funds for Shuttle/ Feeder Bus and Regional Ridesharing Projects– <b>Page 11</b> | <b>I</b> |
| 3E | Approved Projects for Cycle 5 Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) and Cycle 3 High Risk Rural Roads(HR3) Program– <b>Page 15</b>                          | <b>I</b> |

**4 OBAG ITEMS**

- 4A Approval of Draft One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) Program Guidelines\* **A**
- 4B Approval of Priority Development Area (PDA) Readiness Classification\* **A**
- 4C Approval of Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Process and Schedule\* **A**

**5 ACTION ITEMS**

- 5A Approval of Congestion Management Program: Final 2012 Annual Conformity Requirements – **Page 15** **A**
- 5B Approval of the Reprogramming of Lifeline Transportation Program Cycle 2 Funding– **Page 19** **A**

**6 NON ACTION ITEMS**

- 6A Scope of Work for Sustainable Communities Technical Assistance Program (SC-TAP) Request for Qualifications (RFQ) – **Page 23** **I**
- 6B Federal Inactive List of Projects– **Page 27** **I**
- 6C Local Streets and Roads Working Group (LSRWG) Update  
*No Meeting held in September* **I**

**7 LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM UPDATE**

- 7A Legislative Program Update\* **I**

**8 STAFF AND COMMITTEE MEMBER REPORTS****9 ADJOURNMENT AND NEXT MEETING: December 4, 2012**

**Key: A- Action Item; I – Information Item; \*Material will be provided at meeting.**  
(#) All items on the agenda are subject to action and/or change by the Committee.

*PLEASE DO NOT WEAR SCENTED PRODUCTS SO INDIVIDUALS WITH ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVITIES MAY ATTEND*

*Alameda County Transportation Commission  
1333 Broadway, Suites 220 & 300, Oakland, CA 94612  
(510) 208-7400  
(510) 836-2185 Fax (Suite 220)  
(510) 893-6489 Fax (Suite 300)  
www.alamedactc.org*



## **Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee (ACTAC)**

### **Fiscal Year 2012/13**

#### **Member Agencies**

City of Alameda  
City of Albany  
City of Berkeley  
City of Dublin  
City of Emeryville  
City of Fremont  
City of Hayward  
City of Livermore  
City of Newark  
City of Oakland  
City of Piedmont  
City of Pleasanton  
City of San Leandro  
City of Union City  
County of Alameda  
AC Transit  
BART

#### **Other Agencies**

Chair, ACTC  
ACE  
BAAQMD  
Caltrans  
LAVTA  
MTC  
Union City Transit  
WETA  
Port of Oakland  
ABAG  
CHP

## Glossary of Acronyms

<b>ABAG</b>	Association of Bay Area Governments	<b>MTC</b>	Metropolitan Transportation Commission
<b>ACCMA</b>	Alameda County Congestion Management Agency	<b>MTS</b>	Metropolitan Transportation System
<b>ACE</b>	Altamont Commuter Express	<b>NEPA</b>	National Environmental Policy Act
<b>ACTA</b>	Alameda County Transportation Authority (1986 Measure B authority)	<b>NOP</b>	Notice of Preparation
<b>ACTAC</b>	Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee	<b>PCI</b>	Pavement Condition Index
<b>ACTC</b>	Alameda County Transportation Commission	<b>PSR</b>	Project Study Report
<b>ACTIA</b>	Alameda County Transportation Improvement Authority (2000 Measure B authority)	<b>RM 2</b>	Regional Measure 2 (Bridge toll)
<b>ADA</b>	Americans with Disabilities Act	<b>RTIP</b>	Regional Transportation Improvement Program
<b>BAAQMD</b>	Bay Area Air Quality Management District	<b>RTP</b>	Regional Transportation Plan (MTC's Transportation 2035)
<b>BART</b>	Bay Area Rapid Transit District	<b>SAFETEA-LU</b>	Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act
<b>BRT</b>	Bus Rapid Transit	<b>SCS</b>	Sustainable Community Strategy
<b>Caltrans</b>	California Department of Transportation	<b>SR</b>	State Route
<b>CEQA</b>	California Environmental Quality Act	<b>SRS</b>	Safe Routes to Schools
<b>CIP</b>	Capital Investment Program	<b>STA</b>	State Transit Assistance
<b>CMAQ</b>	Federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality	<b>STIP</b>	State Transportation Improvement Program
<b>CMP</b>	Congestion Management Program	<b>STP</b>	Federal Surface Transportation Program
<b>CTC</b>	California Transportation Commission	<b>TCM</b>	Transportation Control Measures
<b>CWTP</b>	Countywide Transportation Plan	<b>TCRP</b>	Transportation Congestion Relief Program
<b>EIR</b>	Environmental Impact Report	<b>TDA</b>	Transportation Development Act
<b>FHWA</b>	Federal Highway Administration	<b>TDM</b>	Travel-Demand Management
<b>FTA</b>	Federal Transit Administration	<b>TEP</b>	Transportation Expenditure Plan
<b>GHG</b>	Greenhouse Gas	<b>TFCA</b>	Transportation Fund for Clean Air
<b>HOT</b>	High occupancy toll	<b>TIP</b>	Federal Transportation Improvement Program
<b>HOV</b>	High occupancy vehicle	<b>TLC</b>	Transportation for Livable Communities
<b>ITIP</b>	State Interregional Transportation Improvement Program	<b>TMP</b>	Traffic Management Plan
<b>LATIP</b>	Local Area Transportation Improvement Program	<b>TMS</b>	Transportation Management System
<b>LAVTA</b>	Livermore-Amador Valley Transportation Authority	<b>TOD</b>	Transit-Oriented Development
<b>LOS</b>	Level of service	<b>TOS</b>	Transportation Operations Systems
		<b>TVTC</b>	Tri Valley Transportation Committee
		<b>VHD</b>	Vehicle Hours of Delay
		<b>VMT</b>	Vehicle miles traveled



**Directions to the Offices of the Alameda County Transportation Commission:**

**1333 Broadway, Suite 220  
Oakland, CA 94612**

**Public Transportation Access:**

**BART:** City Center / 12<sup>th</sup> Street Station

**AC Transit:**

Lines 1,1R, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 40, 51, 63, 72, 72M, 72R, 314, 800, 801, 802, 805, 840

**Auto Access:**

- Traveling South: Take 11<sup>th</sup> Street exit from I-980 to 11<sup>th</sup> Street
- Traveling North: Take 11<sup>th</sup> Street/Convention Center Exit from I-980 to 11<sup>th</sup> Street
- Parking: City Center Garage – Underground Parking, (Parking entrances located on 11<sup>th</sup> or 14<sup>th</sup> Street)

**Alameda County Transportation Commission  
1333 Broadway, Suite 220  
Oakland, CA 94612**



*This page intentionally left blank.*



ALAMEDA COUNTY TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
**Minutes of October 2, 2012**

**1 INTRODUCTIONS**

**2 PUBLIC COMMENT**

**3 CONSENT CALENDAR**

- 3A Minutes of September 4, 2012
- 3B Approval of State Transportation Improvement (STIP) Program At Risk Report
- 3C Approval of Federal Surface Transportation/Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (STP/CMAQ) Program At Risk Report
- 3D Approval of CMA Exchange Program Quarterly Status Monitoring Report
- 3E Approval of Transportation for Clean Air (TFCA) Program At Risk Report
- 3F Review of Congestion Management Plan (CMP): Draft 2012 Conformity Requirements
- 3G Review of California Transportation Commission (CTC) September 2012 Meeting Summary
- 3H Review Funding Opportunity – Metropolitan Transportation Commission’s (MTC) Pavement Management Technical Assistance Program (P-TAP) Round 14 Call for Projects

A motion was made by Frascinella (Hayward) to approve the consent calendar. Tassano (Pleasanton) made a second. The motion passed unanimously.

**4 OBAG ITEMS**

**4A Approval of Final Alameda CTC Complete Streets Policy Elements**

Lengyel requested that ACTAC recommend that the Commission approve the final complete streets elements for jurisdictions to include in their local complete streets policies to be compliant with both Alameda CTC and Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) requirements. A motion was made by Frascinella (Hayward) to recommend the Commission approve the final complete streets resolution with assurance the Alameda CTC will work with local jurisdictions to make sure that it doesn't inhibit the development of transportation project; Tassano (Pleasanton) made a second. The motion passed unanimously.

**4B Review of Draft Priority Development Areas (PDA) Strategic Plan**

Walukas requested ACTAC to review the proposed PDA readiness criteria to be used in the development of the PDA Investment and Growth Strategy/Strategic Plan. A power point presentation was made regarding the readiness criteria for the program implementation of OBAG. After a lengthy discussion ACTAC members made several comments. Some of the comments consisted of knowing the breakpoints of the data before they are applied to prioritization; definition of PDAs; readiness of projects; screening for the best projects that have housing and jobs. This item was presented for information only.

## 4C Approval of Draft One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) Program Guideline Elements

Todd requested ACTAC to recommend the Commission approve the Initial Draft One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) Program Guidelines Elements. A power point presentation was made regarding OBAG Program Guidelines. After a lengthy discussion ACTAC members made several comments. Some of the comments consisted of percentage that needs to be in the PDA; flexibility for community of concerns; project selection criteria and if there was a maximum amount for the cost of a project. A motion was made by Frascinella (Hayward) to recommend the Commission approve the Initial Draft One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) Program Guidelines; Cooke made a second. The motion passed unanimously.

**5 ACTION ITEMS**

## 5A Approval of Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee (ACTAC) Bylaws

Bhat requested ACTAC to recommend the Commission approve the Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee (ACTAC) Bylaws. A motion was made by Evans to recommend the Commission approve the bylaws; Lee made a second. The motion passes unanimously.

## 5B Approval of Final Countywide Bicycle and Pedestrian Plans

Wheeler requested ACTAC to recommend the Commission approve the Final Countywide Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan and incorporate them into the Countywide Transportation Plan. A power point presentation was made regarding Final Countywide Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan. A motion was made by Frascinella (Hayward) to recommend the Commission approve the Final Countywide Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan and incorporate them into the Countywide Transportation Plan; Tassano (Pleasanton) made a second. The motion passed unanimously.

**6 NON ACTION ITEMS**

## 6A Review of Local Streets and Roads Working Group (LSRWG) Update

Bhat gave a brief update of the Local Streets and Roads Working Group meeting. This item was presented for information only

**7 LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM UPDATE**

## 7A Review Legislative Program Update

Lengyel provided ACTAC with a brief update on several legislative items including: (1) Resolution to continue operating federal government; (2) Fiscal Cliff; (3) Veto of AB2200; (4) Approval of two bills that addressed Cap and Trade. This item was presented for information only.

**8 STAFF AND COMMITTEE MEMBER REPORTS****9 ADJOURNMENT AND NEXT MEETING:**

**Meeting adjourned at 3:25 p.m.**

**NEXT MEETING: Tuesday, November 6, 2012 @ 1:00pm**

Location: Alameda CTC Offices, 1333 Broadway, Suite 300,  
Oakland, CA 94612.

Attest by:

---

Claudia D. Leyva, Secretary





1333 Broadway, Suites 220 & 300

Oakland, CA 94612

PH: (510) 208-7400

www.AlamedaCTC.org

ALAMEDA COUNTY TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
 OCTOBER 2, 2012  
 ROSTER OF MEETING ATTENDANCE  
 ALAMEDA CTC COMMITTEE ROOM, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

NAME	JURISDICTION/ ORGANIZATION	PHONE #	E-MAIL
1. Claudia Leyva	ACTC	(510) 208-7408	clejva@alamedactc.org
2. Amber Evans	Emeryville	510 596-4382	aevans@emeryville.org
3. Donna Lee	BART	510-464-6282	dlee@bart.gov
4. Bruce Williams	Oakland	510-232-7229	bwilliams@oaklandnet.com
5. Willa Ng	Berkeley	510 981 7064	wng@ci.berkeley.ca.us
6. Mike Tassano	City of Pleasanton	925 931-5670	mtassano@cityofpleasanton.ca.gov
7. Ed Evangelista	City of Fremont	510 494-4424	eevangelista@fremont.gov
8. Nofar Lapid	ACTTRANSIT	510 891-4292	nlapid@actransit.org
9. Art Carrera	ACPWA	510 670-5581	artc@acpwa.org
10. Janet Chang	Piedmont	510-420-3094	janetchang@ci.piedmont.ca.us
11. Terrence Grindall	Newark	510-578-4208	Terrence.Grindall@newark.org
12. Keith R. Cooke	San Leandro	510-577-3439	KCooke@sanleandro.ca.us
13. Bob Vinn	Livermore	925 960 4516	bgvinn@cityoflivermore.net
14. Cindy Horvath	Alameda Co.	510 670-6511	cindy.horvath@acgov.org
15. Liz McElligott	Alameda County	(510) 670-6120	elizabeth.mcelligott@acgov.org
16. Tom Ruark	Union City	(510) 675-5301	thomasr@unioncity.org
17. Carmela Campbell	Union City	(510) 675-5316	carmelac@unioncity.org
18. SOREN FAJEAN	NEWARK	510-578-4286	soren.fajeau@newark.org
19. Don Frascinella	Hayward	510-583-4751	don.frascinella@hayward-ca.gov
20. FERD DEL ROSARIO	DUBLIN	(925) 833-6637	ferd.delrosario@dublin.ca.gov



1333 Broadway, Suites 220 & 300

Oakland, CA 94612

PH: (510) 208-7400

www.AlamedaCTC.org

- 21. Cameron Oakes Caltrans-D4 510.622.5158 coakes@dot.ca.gov
- 22. Virendra Patel City of Alameda 510 747 7947 Vpatel@ci.alameda.ca.us
- 23. Beth Walukas Aetc
- 24. Art Dao Aetc
- 25. Matt Todd Aetc
- 26. Tess Lengyel ACTC
- 27. Sarawona Suthanthira Aetc, 510-208-7426 ssuthanthira@alamedactc.org
- 28. Cathleen Sullivan Nelson Mygaard
- 29. Matt Bomberg ACTC
- 30. Marisa Rayz ABAG 510-464-7925 Marisa.Reabag@alameda.gov
- 31. Rochelle Wheeler Consultant to ACTC 510-208-7471 rwheeler@AlamedaCTC.org
- 32. JACKIE TAYLOR ALAMEDA CTC 510-208-7413 JTAYLOR@ALAMEDACTC.ORG
- 33. VWEK BHAT ALAMEDA CTC 510-208-7430 vbhat@alamedactc.org
- 34. JOHN HEMMUP ALAMEDA CTC 510-208-1045 jhemmup@alamedactc.org
- 35. Victoria Winn Aetc
- 36. Aleida Andrao C. Albay 510-528-5757 achrav@albayer.org
- 37. Laurel Proctor ACTC 510 208-7415 lproctor@alamedactc.org
- 38.
- 39.
- 40.
- 41.
- 42.

**Memorandum**

**DATE:** October 30, 2012

**TO:** Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee (ACTAC)

**FROM:** Matt Todd, Manager of Programming  
Vivek Bhat, Senior Transportation Engineer

**SUBJECT:** **California Transportation Commission (CTC) October 2012 Meeting Summary**

**Recommendation**

This item is for information only. No action is requested.

**Discussion**

The California Transportation Commission is responsible for programming and allocating funds for the construction of highway, passenger rail, and transit improvements throughout California. The CTC consists of eleven voting members and two non-voting ex-officio members. The San Francisco Bay Area has three (3) CTC members residing in its geographic area: Bob Alvarado, Jim Ghielmetti, and Carl Guardino.

The October 2012 CTC meeting was held at Sacramento, CA. Detailed below is a summary of the three (3) agenda items of significance pertaining to Projects / Programs within Alameda County that were considered at the October 2012 CTC meeting (Attachment A).

**1. Proposition 1B Corridor Mobility Improvement Account (CMIA) /I-880 SB HOV Lane Extension-South Segment (Marina to Davis)**

The CTC approved de-allocation of \$5,754,000 in Proposition 1B CMIA Program funds from the I-880 SB HOV Lane Extension-South Segment (Marina to Davis) project, thereby reducing the original CMIA construction capital allocation of \$51,700,000 to \$45,946,000

**Outcome:** The de-allocation reflects contract award savings. Construction phase is scheduled to begin in in late fall 2012.

2. **Trade Corridor Improvement Fund (TCIF)/ I-580 EB Truck Climbing Lane project**

The CTC approved an amendment of the TCIF baseline agreement for the I-580 Eastbound Truck Climbing Lane project to update the project schedule and the funding plan. For construction purposes, this project is being combined with a SHOPP-funded emergency road repair project. The traffic staging plans had to be modified before the two contracts could be combined.

**Outcome:** The construction duration has increased by ten months. Construction phase is scheduled to be complete by December 2015.

3. **Trade Corridor Improvement Fund (TCIF)/ Outer Harbor Intermodal Terminals (Segment 2)**

The CTC approved allocation of \$65.8 Million in TCIF funds for the Port of Oakland's Outer Harbor Intermodal Terminal Project.

**Outcome:** Allocation will allow Project to be advertised and proceed to construction.

**Attachment**

Attachment A: October 2012 CTC Meeting Summary for Alameda County Projects /Programs



Sponsor	Program / Project	Item Description	CTC Action / Discussion
Alameda CTC	Corridor Mobility Improvement Account (CMIA) Program /I-880 SB HOV Lane Extension-South Segment (Marina to Davis)	De-allocate \$5.7M, thereby reducing the original CMIA construction capital allocation of \$51,700,000 to \$45,946,000, to reflect contract award savings.	Approved
Caltrans	Trade Corridor Improvement Fund (TCIF)/ I-580 Eastbound Truck Climbing Lane project	Amend the TCIF baseline agreement for the I-580 Eastbound Truck Climbing Lane project to update the project schedule and the funding plan.	Approved
Port of Oakland	Trade Corridor Improvement Fund (TCIF)/ Outer Harbor Intermodal Terminals – Segment 2	Allocate \$65.8 Million in CON phase	Approved

[http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/ctcbooks/2012/1012/00\\_Timed.pdf](http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/transprog/ctcbooks/2012/1012/00_Timed.pdf)

*This page intentionally left blank.*



# Understanding Bicycle Transportation

ACTAC Meeting - 11/06/12  
Agenda Item 3C



Training Opportunity • Reserve Your Space Now!

November 15, 2012 • 8:00 a.m. - 4:15 p.m.

Caltrans District 4 Office • 111 Grand Avenue, Parkview Room • Oakland, CA 94623

This is an opportunity for Planners, Engineers, Landscape Architects and others involved in transportation planning, project development, project management, programming, landscape architecture, construction, traffic operations and maintenance to increase their knowledge and skills in the following areas:

1. California and Federal goals, policies, regulations, and laws that address bicycle transportation.
2. The rights and responsibilities of bicyclists under the California Vehicle Code;
3. Bicyclist needs and expectations as users of transportation facilities;
4. Safety, mobility and access issues; bicyclist travel behaviors that maximize safety and mobility;
5. Maintaining bicyclist safety, mobility and access through work zones.
6. Applying transportation planning, traffic operations, and design "best practice" principles to maximize bicyclist safety and mobility and support the Department's "Complete Streets" policy.

## LEARNING OBJECTIVE

By the end of the workshop, attendees are able to more fully understand and apply guidance found in the Highway Design Manual, Project Development Procedures Manual, California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, and other Caltrans guidance documents, and implement the Department's "Complete Streets" policy.

Full participation is critical to the successful delivery of the "Understanding Bicycle Transportation" workshop. To ensure receipt of credit for the workshop and the opportunity to provide input during all phases of the workshop, participants should arrive **by 7:45 a.m.** and stay for the entire workshop. Arriving at 7:45 a.m. will give you plenty of time to check-in for the workshop and be in your seat ready for the start of the workshop at 8:00 a.m. **Participants on flex work schedules or in carpools may need to make alternative commuting arrangements in order to accommodate the start and end times of the workshop.** Non-Caltrans employees should allow extra time to stop by the Security Desk.

## SPECIAL REGISTRATION INSTRUCTIONS (Caltrans Staff Only)

Do not look for this workshop session in LMS. Your attendance will be recorded in LMS upon workshop completion. Do get your supervisor's permission before registering at this website for the workshop.

## SPECIAL REGISTRATION INSTRUCTIONS (For all Attendees)

Once you complete your request to attend the workshop, a confirmation email will be emailed to you reserving a spot for the workshop. Due to the high demand, some registrants may need to be placed on a waiting list for a second session, to be scheduled in winter of 2012 or spring of 2013. Candidates that are selected to attend the November 29th workshop will be contacted by November 16th via email.

## REGISTER TODAY!

To register and get more information about the workshop (agenda, transportation options, bicycle and vehicle parking) please go to the CSUS website: <http://www.cce.csus.edu/conferences/caltrans/bicycleTrans/index.cfm?pid=129>

**Please Note: When you see the security warning, please click "allow" to enter the registration website.**

Maggie O'Mara, P.E.  
Bicycle and Pedestrian Design Reviewer  
Division of Design, California Department of Transportation  
Sacramento, California  
Phone: 916-653-1557 Email: [maggie\\_omara@dot.ca.gov](mailto:maggie_omara@dot.ca.gov)



*This page intentionally left blank.*





**Memorandum**

**DATE:** October 31, 2012

**TO:** Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee (ACTAC)

**FROM:** Jacki Taylor, Programming Analyst

**SUBJECT:** **Funding Opportunity – Transportation Fund for Clean Air Program (TFCA) Regional Funds For Shuttle/Feeder Bus and Regional Ridesharing Projects**

**Recommendation**

This item is for information only. No action is requested.

**Discussion**

The Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) recently announced the availability of Transportation Fund for Clean Air Program (TFCA) Regional Funds for existing and pilot Shuttle/Feeder Bus projects, and Regional Ridesharing projects in the Bay Area. Up to \$4 million is available in fiscal year ending 2013 for these project types. Applications are currently being accepted and the deadline for submittal of application is 4 p.m. on November 19, 2012. Only public agencies are eligible for this funding.

**Attachment**

Attachment A: Notice of Call for shuttle/Feeder Bus and Regional Ridesharing Projects – Schedule of Grant Application Workshop

Notice of Call for Shuttle/Feeder Bus and Regional Ridesharing Projects  
Schedule of Grant Application Workshop

The Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) is pleased to announce the availability of Transportation Fund for Clean Air Program (TFCA) Regional Funds for existing and pilot Shuttle/Feeder Bus projects, and Regional Ridesharing projects in the Bay Area. Up to \$4 million is available in fiscal year ending 2013 for these project types. Applications are currently being accepted and the deadline for submittal of applications is 4 P.M on November 19, 2012. Only public agencies are eligible for this funding.

To Learn More About This Opportunity

Supporting documents, application materials, and a complete listing of eligibility requirements will be available on Friday, October 19, 2012, at <http://www.baaqmd.gov/Divisions/Strategic-Incentives/Alternative-Transportation/Shuttles-and-Ridesharing.aspx>.

Application Workshop

A public workshop will be held on October 31, 2012 from 10:00 AM to Noon. This workshop will cover program requirements, application process, and application evaluation criteria. Workshop attendance is highly encouraged for all applicants, and mandatory for applicants that have received an audit finding. The BAAQMD staff will conduct a public workshop to discuss this Transportation Fund for Clean Air Program (TFCA) Regional Fund grant-funded program. Workshop date, time, and location will also posted at <http://www.baaqmd.gov/Divisions/Strategic-Incentives/Alternative-Transportation/Shuttles-and-Ridesharing.aspx>.

Contact

For additional information about program eligibility requirements contact Avra Goldman, Environmental Planner II, [agoldman@baaqmd.gov](mailto:agoldman@baaqmd.gov)<<mailto:agoldman@baaqmd.gov>> or (415) 749-5093.

Please share this information with anyone who may be interested in applying for Shuttle/Feeder Bus and Regional Ridesharing service projects.

Sincerely,

Karen M. Schkolnick  
District Grant Programs Manager  
Strategic Incentives Division  
Bay Area Air Quality Management District  
939 Ellis Street, San Francisco, CA 94109



**Memorandum**

**DATE:** October 30, 2012

**TO:** Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee (ACTAC)

**FROM:** Matt Todd, Manager of Programming  
Vivek Bhat, Senior Transportation Engineer

**SUBJECT:** **Approved Projects for Cycle 5 Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) and Cycle 3 High Risk Rural Roads (HR3) Program**

**Recommendation**

This item is for information only. No action is requested.

**Discussion**

Caltrans released the Final List of Approved Projects for the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) - Cycle 5 and the High Risk Rural Roads Program (HR3) - Cycle 3. The list contains 221 projects totaling approximately \$111 Million in HSIP and HR3 funding. The statewide approved project list can be accessed by visiting: [http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/HSIP/prev\\_cycle\\_results.htm](http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/HSIP/prev_cycle_results.htm).

The list of six (6) approved Alameda County projects totaling approximately \$4 Million is attached to the memo. All approved Alameda County Projects were selected for the HSIP program.

Project sponsors are required to amend the selected projects in the Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP). All successful agencies are expected to expedite the delivery of these projects, including beginning preparations for their first request for authorization in anticipation of their project being amended into the FTIP.

**Attachment**

Attachment A: Approved Alameda County Project List

Approved Alameda County Project List - Cycle 5 - Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)

Project ID	Agency Name	Location of Work	Description of Work	Project Cost	Federal Funds
HSIP5-04-007	Hayward	W "A" St. between Hathaway Ave. (Santa Clara St.) and S Garden Ave.	Construct medians; install flashing beacons	\$182,000	\$161,000
HSIP5-04-011	Oakland	W. MacArthur Blvd. between Market St. and Telegraph Ave.	Modify traffic signals and striping; install protected left-turn phasing; construct left-turn lane (remove median islands)	\$1,087,800	\$699,400
HSIP5-04-012	Oakland	98th Ave. Corridor (including intersections with MacArthur Blvd., Bancroft Ave., Sunnyside St., Holly St., International Blvd., D St., E St., Medford Ave., San Leandro St., Pippin St., Walter Ave. and Edes Ave.)	Install advanced "dilemma zone" detection, crosswalks, speed feedback signs; construct bulb-outs	\$939,500	\$656,900
HSIP5-04-013	Oakland	Market St. between 45th St. and Arlington Ave.	Install bike lanes and striping; upgrade traffic signals; reconfigure intersection; construct sidewalks, curb and gutter	\$921,200	\$643,700
HSIP5-04-019	San Leandro	Bancroft Ave./Sybil Ave.	Upgrade traffic signals; install protected left-turn phasing; construct sidewalks, curb ramps, curb and gutter	\$498,800	\$448,800
HSIP5-04-030	Union City	Alvarado Rd. (Niles Rd.) between Decoto Rd. and Mann Ave.	Install crosswalks, flashing beacons, and median fencing	\$388,600	\$349,600



## Memorandum

**DATE:** October 30, 2012

**TO:** Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee

**FROM:** Beth Walukas, Deputy Director of Planning  
Saravana Suthanthira, Senior Transportation Planner

**SUBJECT: Approval of Congestion Management Program: Final 2012 Annual Conformity Requirements**

### Recommendation

It is recommended that the Commission:

- 1) Find that all local jurisdictions are in conformance with the Congestion Management Program (CMP) annual conformity requirements, and
- 2) Approve the Deficiency Plan status reports regarding SR 260 Posey Tube eastbound to I-880 northbound freeway connection, SR 185 northbound between 46<sup>th</sup> and 42<sup>nd</sup> Avenues and Mowry Avenue eastbound from Peralta Boulevard to SR 238/Mission Boulevard.

### Summary

Local jurisdictions are required to comply with the CMP as follows:

- 1) (a) Tier 1 Land Use Analysis – submit to Alameda CTC all Notice of Preparations, EIRs and General Plan amendments;  
(b) Tier 2 Land Use Forecasts- review ABAG Projections by traffic analysis zones;
- 2) Traffic Demand Management (TDM) – Complete Site Design Checklist;
- 3) Payment of Fees; and
- 4) Deficiency Plans and Deficiency Plan Progress Reports, as needed in some jurisdictions.

All of the jurisdictions that are required to provide a Deficiency Plan status report have complied with the requirement. In addition, all jurisdictions have complied with the remaining three conformity requirements.

### Discussion

Letters were sent to the jurisdictions requesting 1a) Tier 1 Land Use Analysis Program, 2) TDM Site Design Checklist information, and 4) Deficiency Plan Progress Reports from the responsible jurisdictions by October 1, 2012. Responses were received from all of the jurisdictions.

Attachment A “2012 CMP Conformance Land Use Analysis, Site Design, Payment of Fees and Deficiency Plans” indicates that all jurisdictions have completed the annual requirements for the CMP conformance.

Regarding the requirement for some jurisdictions to submit Deficiency Plans or Deficiency Plan Progress Reports, no new CMP roadway segments were found to be deficient in 2012, based on the 2012 LOS Monitoring data and the select link analysis from the Countywide Travel Demand Model and after applying all applicable exemptions. Therefore, the preparation and submission of Deficiency Plans for 2012 is not required. However, there are three ongoing Deficiency Plans for 2012, for which jurisdictions are required to send progress reports. All jurisdictions that are required to report on the three active deficiency plans are in conformance as follows:

- 1) SR 260 Posey Tube eastbound to I-880 northbound freeway connection  
Lead: City of Oakland  
Participation Jurisdictions: Cities of Berkeley and Alameda  
Progress Report and Letters of Concurrence: Received and progress is satisfactory. Additionally, the cities of Oakland and Alameda requested support from the regional agencies in securing funds for portion of the Phase II improvements for the Webster ITS project, which is one of the improvement measures in the Deficiency Plan. The Alameda CTC will work with the cities to determine funding availability.
- 2) SR 185 northbound between 46<sup>th</sup> and 42<sup>nd</sup> Avenues  
Lead: City of Oakland  
Participation Jurisdiction: City of Alameda  
Progress Report and Letters of Concurrence: Received and the progress is satisfactory.
- 3) Mowry Avenue eastbound from Peralta Boulevard to SR 238/Mission Boulevard  
Lead: City of Fremont  
Participation Jurisdictions: Newark  
Progress Report and Letters of Concurrence: Received and the progress is satisfactory.

### **Fiscal Impacts**

There are no fiscal impacts at this time.

### **Attachment**

Attachment A 2012 CMP Conformance: Land Use Analysis, Site Design Guidelines, Payment of Fees, and Deficiency Plans

**Table 1**  
**2012 CMP CONFORMANCE**  
**Land Use Analysis, Site Design, Payment of Fees and Deficiency Plans**

Jurisdiction	Land Use Analysis Program			Site Design	Payment of Fees	Deficiency Plans/LOS Standards	Meets All Requirements
	Tier 1 - Ordinance Adoption	Tier 1: GPA & NOP Submittals	Tier 2- Land Use Forecasts*				
Alameda County	Yes	Yes	Yes	Checklist Complete	Payments thru 4th Qts FY 11/12	N/A	Yes
City of Alameda	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
City of Albany	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes
City of Berkeley	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
City of Dublin	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes
City of Emeryville	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes
City of Fremont	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
City of Hayward	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes
City of Livermore	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes
City of Newark	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
City of Oakland	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
City of Piedmont	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes
City of Pleasanton	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes
City of San Leandro	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes
City of Union City	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes

N/A indicates that the city is not responsible for any deficiency plan in the past fiscal year.

\* This requirement has been met through the CWTP process to provide input on the development of the Sustainable Communities Strategy.

*This page intentionally left blank.*





## Memorandum

**DATE:** October 29, 2012

**TO:** Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee (ACTAC)

**FROM:** Matt Todd, Manager of Programming  
Jacki Taylor, Program Analyst

**SUBJECT:** **Approval of the Reprogramming of Cycle 2 Lifeline Transportation Program Funding**

### Recommendation

It is recommended the Commission approve the reprogramming of \$270,000 of Cycle 2 Lifeline Transportation Program funding from the BART Ashby Station/Ed Robert's Campus project to the BART MacArthur Station Plaza Improvements project.

### Summary

The Lifeline Transportation Program (Lifeline) is administered by MTC and is intended to fund projects that improve mobility for low-income residents of Alameda County. The Cycle 2 Lifeline Program was adopted by the Alameda County CMA Board in April 2008 and included \$2 million for BART elevator improvements at Ashby Station associated with the Ed Roberts Campus. The elevator project was completed under budget leaving \$270,000 in unexpended Lifeline funds. The subject funds will expire on June 30, 2013. BART is requesting the Alameda CTC to reprogram the remaining Lifeline funding to the MacArthur Station Plaza Improvements project, which has a funding shortfall.

### Discussion

The Lifeline program is administered by MTC and is intended to fund projects that result in improved mobility for low-income residents. Eligible projects are to be consistent with: 1) strategies identified in a Community-based Transportation Plan (CBTP), 2) other relevant planning efforts, or 3) other documented assessment of need within the designated communities of concern. Alameda County has five areas with completed CBTPs: Alameda, South/West Berkeley, West Oakland, Central/ East Oakland, and Central Alameda County. Projects that apply findings from one or more CBTPs (or other relevant planning efforts) to other low-income areas or constituencies within the county are also eligible.

MTC funds the Lifeline program with multiple federal and state sources including State Transportation Account (STA), Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) and Proposition 1B Transit. STA and JARC can be used to fund transit operations or transit capital projects, Prop. 1B Transit is limited transit capital projects. The Cycle 2 Lifeline

Program was initially adopted by the Alameda County CMA Board in April 2008 and included \$2 million of Lifeline Prop. 1B Transit funding for elevator improvements at the Ashby Station associated with the Ed Roberts Campus. The elevator project was completed under budget, leaving \$270,000 in unexpended Lifeline Prop. 1B Transit funds with an expenditure deadline of June 30, 2013. BART is requesting the Alameda CTC reprogram the remaining Lifeline funding to the MacArthur Station Plaza Improvements (MacArthur Plaza) project, which has a funding shortfall.

Although the MacArthur Station is not located within one of Alameda County's five Community-based Transportation Plan (CBTP) study areas, the components proposed for Lifeline funding (detailed in Attachment A) are consistent with strategies identified in several of the Alameda County CBTPs. Additionally, the MacArthur Station is located within a Community of Concern, as defined by MTC.

The MacArthur Plaza project's funding shortfall of approximately \$800,000 is due to the need for BART to reallocate funding initially identified for the MacArthur Plaza to the associated parking garage project to cover additional project costs caused by a project delay. This has put key components of the MacArthur Plaza scope at risk for removal if additional funds are not secured. Specifically, the \$270,000 of Lifeline funds will allow specific bike rack, tactile path, wayfinding and access components as detailed in Attachment A to be retained in the project scope. The project's final design is scheduled for completion in November 2012 and construction is scheduled to begin February 2013. The Lifeline Prop. 1B Transit funds have an expenditure deadline of June 2013 and BART's construction schedule would meet the expenditure milestone requirements.

Alameda CTC staff reviewed previously-approved, Cycle 2 (2008) and Cycle 3 (2012), Lifeline programs for other potential project candidates, but did not identify projects eligible for Prop.1B Transit funding that could meet the expenditure deadline and that would be a priority for Lifeline funding.

#### Next Steps

If approved, the reprogramming request will go to MTC for consideration in December 2012. If approved by MTC, BART will revise its Prop. 1B Transit allocation in January 2013.

#### **Attachment**

Attachment A: MacArthur Station Plaza - Components Proposed for Lifeline Funds

**MacArthur BART Entry Plaza Renovation**  
**Proposed ACTC Grant Projects**  
**September 27, 2012**

- Provide 200 space bicycle racks and related accessories for the MacArthur Bike Station. The need for secure bicycle parking was identified in the station area planning and bicycle access planning conducted by BART as well as the planning efforts of the City of Oakland. The proposed bicycle facilities will provide greater mobility for low income and minority riders of BART. The actual bike station structure is funded from other grants, but the bicycle racks are a critical component of the new and renovated facilities.
- Provide a tactile path and wayfinding project that will include a tactile paving strip from the bus loading and kiss/ride areas through the plaza to the station entrance and accessible fare gates. The tactile strip is a raised pathway detectable to blind and low-vision patrons, with color and texture that contrasts with the adjacent pavement. The path project is a desired improvement advocated by BART's Accessibility Task Force and other disability advocates.
- Remove physical barriers between station entrance, bus stops and the primary pedestrian intersection by replacing the raised landscape beds and other physical impediments with an assessable concrete surface and benches. The goal is to provide greater convenience and ease for disabled and elderly passengers who access the BART system by other modes other than the automobile.
- Provide wayfinding signage within the plaza and intermodal area. The signage would include transit center information and guides to the various transit services that serve the MacArthur Station.

*This page intentionally left blank.*



## Memorandum

**DATE:** October 31, 2012

**TO:** Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee (ACTAC)

**FROM:** Beth Walukas, Deputy Director of Planning  
Kara Vuicich, Senior Transportation Planner

**SUBJECT:** **Scope of Work for Sustainable Communities Technical Assistance Program (SC-TAP) Request for Qualifications (RFQ)**

### Recommendation

This item is for information only. No action is requested.

### Discussion

Alameda CTC is creating an expanded technical assistance program to support a wide range of planning and project development activities in Alameda County's Priority Development Areas (PDAs) and Growth Opportunity Areas (GOAs) as well as to provide bicycle and pedestrian planning and engineering technical support either within or outside PDAs and GOAs. Staff currently is seeking feedback on a draft scope of work for a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for the technical assistance program and will issue the RFQ in December following Alameda CTC Commission approval. In January, staff will present the draft technical assistance program in more detail along with potential program funding amounts and sources.

The main objectives of the Sustainable Communities Technical Assistance Program (SC-TAP) are to:

- Provide technical and resource assistance to project sponsors to facilitate planning and project development activities for TODs, Priority Development Areas (PDAs) and Growth Opportunity Areas (GOAs); and
- Provide design and engineering assistance and expertise for complex and/or innovative bicycle and pedestrian projects (focused on resolving small-scale bicycle and pedestrian safety, access, and convenience issues).

Depending on the SC-TAP project, the selected consulting firms will work with the Alameda CTC, jurisdictions and/or transit operators to provide study or planning assistance. The SC-TAP assistance could include technical, engineering or education and outreach expertise to project sponsors. The SC-TAP program may also provide expert consulting staff to work in-house at a jurisdiction or agency for a fixed amount of time in order to complete a specific planning, environmental review or project development task. The SC-TAP Program is part of a county and region-wide effort to advance development in the vicinity of transit hubs, promote walking, bicycling and transit use, and ultimately to reduce traffic congestion and greenhouse gas emissions, and improve air quality.

## **Draft Scope of Work for SC-TAP RFQ**

### ***Part A: Technical and Resource Assistance for Projects Related to TODs, PDAs and GOAs***

The services to be performed by the selected consultant teams shall be developed with the Alameda CTC, jurisdictions and project sponsors to address technical issues and outreach needs at TODs, PDAs or GOAs. Consultants may also be required to provide expert staff to work in-house at a jurisdiction or agency for a fixed amount of time in order to complete a specific planning, environmental review or project development task. These needs may include multimodal access, design, parking, infrastructure, developing mitigation strategies for air emissions, addressing potential sea level rise, outreach and education, and economic analyses. Potential activities related to SC-TAP studies and plans for TODs, PDAs and GOAs include the following:

1. Prepare or provide assistance preparing planning documents (specific plans, area plans, general plan amendments, etc.) and associated technical studies;
2. Develop design guidelines for residential, commercial and mixed-use development;
3. Study multimodal access needs, such as transit, bike, walk, automobile and goods movement, and develop design solutions;
4. Develop streetscape design plans, including wayfinding, landscaping, street furniture, etc.;
5. Develop alternative parking solutions to meet multiple needs and facilitate infill development;
6. Prepare and/or advise on zoning code amendments related to development in TODs, PDAs and GOAs;
7. Prepare and conduct community outreach and education regarding TODs, PDAs, and GOAs;
8. Develop a Community Risk Reduction Plan that uses Bay Area Air Quality Management District guidelines to address air pollutant emissions;
9. Develop Adaptive Management plans or Risk Assessments that assess and identify ways to address potential sea level rise to protect TODs, PDAs and GOAs per San Francisco Bay Area Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) guidelines;
10. Develop creative design solutions to address storm water or sewer needs at TOD sites, including green infrastructure and low-impact development approaches;
11. Perform economic analyses for various topics related to development in TODs, PDAs and GOAs, including but not limited to development feasibility and market analyses, financing strategies for infrastructure capital and maintenance costs, and construction and maintenance of affordable housing;
12. Complete CEQA review activities, including the preparation of required CEQA documents and technical studies; and
13. Others, as needed.

### ***Part B: Technical Assistance for Bicycle and Pedestrian Facility Design and Engineering***

Consultant teams selected to provide technical assistance with bicycle and pedestrian facility design and engineering will work with the Alameda CTC and project sponsors to develop individual projects scopes. Tasks may include developing preliminary and conceptual designs and conducting feasibility studies. Final work products must be accepted by the public agency project sponsor who will be responsible for construction of any recommended improvements.

As part of the project wrap-up, the consultant may be required to develop and provide to Alameda CTC a “best practices” design guide and simple fact sheet to be shared with other local jurisdictions on the Alameda CTC website, as a way to share knowledge and experience and help build a local best practices resource for Alameda County jurisdictions. The consultant and the local agency may also be required to make a short presentation to the Ped/Bike Working Group on the design challenge addressed and the solution developed.

Examples of the types of consulting assistance needed include:

1. Preliminary design and engineering support/expertise for innovative designs. For bike projects, this likely would include expertise on new bikeway designs (such as those in the NACTO Urban Bikeway Design Guide (<http://nacto.org/cities-for-cycling/design-guide/>), like cycle tracks, bike boxes, and bike boulevard treatments.
2. Design expertise on bike and/or pedestrian improvements for complex intersections or roadway crossings
3. Design expertise on making room for bicyclists and pedestrians within limited rights-of-way (especially at intersections)
4. Design expertise on making interchanges safer and more convenient for bikes and pedestrians
5. Design expertise on accommodating bicycle and transit facilities within the same right-of-way
6. Design expertise on the intersection of trails and roadways
7. Bike parking recommendations for transit stops/stations where rights-of-way are limited
8. Assistance with setting up and meeting federal and state experimentation process requirements, in order to test innovative facility designs

### Next Steps

The next steps in the SC-TAP RFQ and program development process are as follows:

- Seek approval from the Commission to release the SC-TAP RFQ (December 2012)
- Release the RFQ and create a consultant resource list (December 2012 through February 2013)
- Develop and seek Commission approval on the SC-TAP program guidelines and schedule for the selection of projects, size of the grant awards, and other program details (January 2013)
- Work with the jurisdictions, BART and AC Transit to identify project and resource needs and award funds (Spring 2013)

*This page intentionally left blank.*





## Memorandum

**DATE:** October 23, 2012

**TO:** Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee (ACTAC)

**FROM:** Matt Todd, Manager of Programming  
Vivek Bhat, Senior Transportation Engineer

**SUBJECT:** **Federal Inactive List of Projects: September 2012 Quarterly Review**

### Recommendation

This item is for information only. No action is requested.

### Summary

ACTAC is requested to review the September 2012 Quarterly Federal Inactive obligation list of projects. Federal regulations require that agencies receiving federal funds invoice against their obligations at least once every six months. Projects that do not have invoicing activity over a six month period are placed on the Inactive Obligation list, and those projects are at risk of deobligation of the project's federal funds unless Caltrans and the Federal Highways Administration (FHWA) receive either an invoice or a valid justification for inactivity. Caltrans is tracking inactive obligations, and releasing a list of inactive projects quarterly. If Caltrans and FHWA do not receive adequate invoicing or justification for the project's inactivity, the project may be deobligated.

### Discussion

The Federal Inactive obligations list for the September 2012 Quarterly Review of Inactive Obligations is now available on the Division of Local Assistance website at <http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/Inactiveprojects.htm>. The Inactive Project List contains the current Inactive projects and the 3-Month and 6-Month Look Ahead Projects.

To prevent the deobligation and potential loss of unexpended federal funds, local agencies must submit a valid FMIS transaction (invoice or justification) by **November 21, 2012**.

Project sponsors are requested to review the attached report as well as the Caltrans site on a regular basis for the most current project status.

### Attachments

Attachment A – Federal Inactive List  
Attachment B – Justification form

*This page intentionally left blank.*

Alameda County Quarterly Review of Inactive Obligations  
 (Review Period 07/01/2012- 09/30/2012)

Updated on 10/19/2012 Project No	LOOK AHEAD	Inactive Projects (Review period: 07/01/2012-09/30/2012)		Updated on 10/19/2012		Inactive Projects (Review period: 07/01/2012-09/30/2012)			Expenditure Amt	Unexpended Bal			
		Agency/District Action Required	State Project No	Prefix	Agency	Description	Latest Date	Authorization Date			Last Expenditure Date	Program Codes	Total Cost
5012065	INACTIVE	Records indicate project is in Final Voucher. Invoice returned to Agency. Resubmit to District by 11/21/2012.	04923923L	STPLEE	Oakland	MANDELA PARKWAY , OAKLAND BAY TRAILS	8/27/2010	9/12/2003	8/27/2010	Q220, L400	\$1,888,626.00	\$1,606,507.75	\$65,492.25
5933090	INACTIVE	Submit invoice or justification to District by 11/21/2012.	04925392L	SRTSL	Alameda County	MAUDE AVE. IN VICINITY OF FAIRVIEW ELEM. SCHL, CLOSE GAPS BTWN D.ST. & KELLY	1/4/2011	1/29/2009	1/4/2011	LY60, LU2E, HU20	\$1,042,310.00	\$77,310.00	\$890,000.00
5933107	INACTIVE	Submit invoice or justification to District by 11/21/2012.	04925873L	SRTSL	Alameda County	CASTRO VALLEY, MARSHALL STREET AND OMEGA AVE., CONSTRUCT CURBS,GUTTER,SIDEWALK,RAMP AND DRIVEWAYS	12/7/2010	12/7/2010	12/7/2010	LY60, LU3E, LU30, L23E	\$914,596.00	\$0.00	\$655,096.00
5012083	3 MONTH	Invoice being processed by Caltrans. Monitor for progress.	04924691L	BPMP	Oakland	BRIDGE 33C0028 ON ADELINE ST.NORTH OF 3RD ST., REHABILITATION (BPMP)	11/10/2011	8/11/2007	11/10/2011	LICE, L1C0	\$1,557,802.00	\$848,258.33	\$530,863.67
5053009	3 MONTH	No Federal funds remain. Records indicate project is in Final Voucher. District to verify.	04923157L	RPSTPL	Livermore	ON I-580, 1-MILE EAST OF AIRWAY BLVD. , NEW I/C AND ROAD RE-ALIGNMENT	10/21/2009	6/1/1999	10/21/2009	Q920, Q240, Q230, 33C0	\$13,111,719.00	\$10,860,061.79	\$0.00
6073025	3 MONTH	Submit invoice to District by 11/21/2012. DO NOT SUBMIT A JUSTIFICATION.	04925717L	LTAP	University Of California	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER CENTER, TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER ACTIVITIES	12/15/2010	4/16/2010	12/15/2010	438E, 4380	\$380,000.00	\$61,768.22	\$128,231.78
5012096	6 MONTH	Invoice returned to Agency. Resubmit to District.	0400021209L	HSIPL	Oakland	WEST GRAND AVE @ MARKET ST; MACARTHUR BLVD @ FRUITVALE AVE; MARKET ST @ 55TH, INSTALL LEFT TURN LANE	6/30/2011	6/30/2011	6/30/2011	LS3E	\$269,112.00	\$0.00	\$222,930.00
5012101	6 MONTH	Invoice being processed by Caltrans. Monitor for progress.	04925596L	ESPL	Oakland	VARIOUS STREET AND ROADWAY REHAB., AC OVERLAY	2/24/2012	11/18/2009	2/24/2012	C230	\$1,258,949.00	\$397,851.23	\$857,148.77
5041036	6 MONTH	Invoice being processed by Caltrans. Monitor for progress.	04925861L	CML	San Leandro	SAN LEANDRO BLVD. STREETSCAPE FROM WILLIAMS ST. TO DAVIS ST., PED. CROSSING, BIKE RACKS, BUS SHELTER	3/15/2012	12/21/2010	3/15/2012	L40E, L400	\$6,537,000.00	\$162,241.44	\$4,447,758.56

**Alameda County Quarterly Review of Inactive Obligations  
(Review Period 07/01/2012- 09/30/2012)**

Updated on 10/19/2012 Project No	LOOK AHEAD	Inactive Projects (Review period: 07/01/2012-09/30/2012) Agency/District Action Required	State Project No	Prefix	Agency	Description	Updated on 10/19/2012		Inactive Projects (Review period: 07/01/2012-09/30/2012)			Expenditure Amt	Unexpended Bal	
							Latest Date	Authorization Date	Last Expenditure Date	Program Codes	Total Cost			Federal Funds
5057038	6 MONTH	Submit invoice to District. DO NOT SUBMIT A JUSTIFICATION.	0400020957L	RPSTPLE	Berkeley	BERKELEY BAYTRAIL EXTENSION, WALKWAY & BIKELANE (TC)	2/16/2012	2/16/2012	L22E	\$1,928,000.00	\$1,928,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,928,000.00	
5317014	6 MONTH	Submit invoice to District. DO NOT SUBMIT A JUSTIFICATION.	0400021231L	STPL	Newark	CEDER BLVD.- MILANI AVE. TO CENTRAL AVE. , AND JARVIS AVE.- U.P. R. X TO SPRUCE S. PAVEMENT REHAB.	2/17/2012	2/17/2012	L23E	\$785,000.00	\$682,000.00	\$0.00	\$682,000.00	
5322051	6 MONTH	Invoice being processed by Caltrans. Monitor for progress.	0412000435L	CML	Fremont	MIDTOWN DIST.- WALNUT AVE., BEACON AVE. AND CALIFORNIA ST. (PHASE II), STREETSCAPE IMPROVEMENTS	3/27/2012	3/27/2012	L40E	\$1,517,277.00	\$1,114,444.00	\$0.00	\$1,114,444.00	
5354026	6 MONTH	Invoice being processed by Caltrans. Monitor for progress.	0400020428L	STPLZ	Union City	WHIPPLE RD OVER BART TRACKS, BRIDGE # 33C0223, SEISMIC RETROFIT	3/6/2012	4/13/2011	3/6/2012	Q120	\$874,371.00	\$772,752.00	\$3,250.61	\$769,501.39
5354030	6 MONTH	Submit invoice to District. DO NOT SUBMIT A JUSTIFICATION.	0400021068L	CML	Union City	UNION CITY BLVD.- FROM SMITH ST. TO 600' SOUTH OF ALVARADO BLVD., WIDENING TO ALLOW BICYCLE LANES AND RELATED IMPRO	3/22/2012	3/22/2012	L40E	\$1,831,547.00	\$860,000.00	\$0.00	\$860,000.00	
5432016	6 MONTH	Submit invoice to District. DO NOT SUBMIT A JUSTIFICATION.	0412000252L	STPL	Dublin	CLARK AVE.-VILLAGE PKW TO MAPLE DR., SILVER GATE DR.-DUBLIN BLVD. TO SSN R. RD., PAVEMENT RESURFACE	3/16/2012	3/16/2012	L23E	\$705,766.00	\$547,000.00	\$0.00	\$547,000.00	
6193009	6 MONTH	Submit invoice to District. DO NOT SUBMIT A JUSTIFICATION.	0400020959L	RPSTPLE	Livermore Amador Valley Transit Authority	LIVERMORE, BUS RESTORATION	6/14/2011	6/14/2011	3380	\$234,502.00	\$200,000.00	\$0.00	\$200,000.00	
6273065	6 MONTH	Submit invoice to District. DO NOT SUBMIT A JUSTIFICATION.	0400020831L	STPCML	Alameda County Congestion Management Agency	COUNTY WIDE, IMPLEMENT A COUNTY WIDE SR2S PROGRAM	1/12/2012	3/29/2011	1/12/2012	L40E, L23E	\$3,604,000.00	\$3,189,000.00	\$16,767.72	\$3,172,232.28



## QUARTERLY REVIEW OF **INACTIVE PROJECTS** JUSTIFICATION FORM SUMMARY



<b>1. CT DIST - FEDERAL AID PROJECT NO.</b>	<b>2. STATE PROJECT NUMBER</b>	<b>3. RESPONSIBLE AGENCY</b>		<b>4. DATE</b>	
<b>5. GENERAL LOCATION</b>					
<b>6. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF WORK (INCLUDE PROJECT PHASES WITH OBLIGATED FUNDS)</b>					
<b>7. AUTHORIZATION DATE</b>	<b>8. FEDERAL-AID FUNDS AUTHORIZED</b>	<b>9. PGM CODE</b>	<b>10. PHASE (from E-76)</b>	<b>11. FEDERAL FUNDS EXPENDED TO DATE</b>	<b>12. UNEXPENDED FEDERAL FUNDS</b>
<b>TOTAL:</b>					
<b>13. LAST ACTIVITY (BILLING DATE)</b>					
<b>Important note: Caltrans and/or FHWA reserve the right to reject a Justification and deobligate the Federal Funds.</b>					
<b>14. JUSTIFICATION (CHECK ONE OR MORE IF APPLICABLE)</b>					
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Litigation Filed</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Environmental Delays</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Right of way, Utility Relocation Delays</b>					
<b>Justification Forms without proper supporting documents will be rejected and returned to Agencies by Caltrans. Decision to accept or reject a Justification may be based exclusively on this form and supporting documentation.</b>					
<b>15. LIST PROJECT HISTORY FROM INITIAL AUTHORIZATION OR FROM LAST BILLING. LIST CURRENT PROJECT STATUS/REASON FOR PROJECT BEING INACTIVE. PROVIDE BACKUP DOCUMENTATION.</b>					
<b>16. ACTIONS TAKEN TO RESOLVE EXISTING ISSUE(S)</b>					
<b>17. DATE ACTIVITIES TO BE RESUMED</b>			<b>18. DATE BILLINGS OR OTHER CORRECTIVE ACTION TO BE TAKEN (e.g. closure, withdrawal, et</b>		
<b>19. CURRENT COST ESTIMATE NEEDED TO COMPLETE PROJECT</b>			<b>20. IF ESTIMATE IS LESS THAN UNEXPENDED BALANCE, AMOUNT TO BE DEOBLIGATED (Attach copy of E-76 requesting deobligation)</b>		
<b>21. CONSEQUENCES IF FUNDS ARE DEOBLIGATED</b>					
<b>22. ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION (LIST ATTACHMENTS) TO SUPPORT VALIDATION OF THIS OBLIGATION</b>					
<b>23. AGENCY CONTACT</b>	<b>EMAIL</b>	<b>SIGNATURE</b>	<b>PHONE NUMBER</b>	<b>DATE</b>	
<b>24. FORM REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL BY:</b>					
<b>CT DISTRICT CONTACT NAME/TITLE</b>		<b>SIGNATURE</b>	<b>PHONE NUMBER</b>	<b>DATE</b>	



## QUARTERLY REVIEW OF INACTIVE PROJECTS JUSTIFICATION FORM SUMMARY



Please go through the check list before submitting your justification form  
( **DO NOT** leave anything blank )

#	Information Required	Additional Information	Check
1	Enter the District number and federal project number (including the project prefix, e.g. STPL)		
2	Enter State Project Number, if applicable		
3	Enter Responsible Agency		
4	Enter date you've completed the form		
5	Enter route information and location description		
6	Enter work description including project phases with obligated funds		
7	Enter date when funds were authorized. Use a separate line for each phase with authorized federal funds	Refer to the current inactive list/file posted in the web <a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/InactiveProjects/QuarterlyReviewofInactiveProjects.htm">http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/InactiveProjects/QuarterlyReviewofInactiveProjects.htm</a>	
8	Enter authorized federal funds		
9	Enter all program code(s)		
10	Enter project phase (e.g. PE, RW, CON, etc.)	Use E-76 for this item	
11	Enter accumulated expenditure by program code	Refer to the current inactive list/file posted in the web <a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/InactiveProjects/QuarterlyReviewofInactiveProjects.htm">http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/InactiveProjects/QuarterlyReviewofInactiveProjects.htm</a>	
12	Enter unexpended funds		
13	Enter last billing date		
14	Select the appropriate reason(s) for justification; for litigation filed, submit copy (with stamp) of the documents filed	<a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/InactiveProjects.htm">http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/InactiveProjects.htm</a>	
15	List project history	Include project timeline from the time of authorization or last financial transaction to present. e.g. original bid rejected - costs exceeded engineer estimate by XX%	
16	Action(s) taken to resolve the issue	Explain why previous commitment has not been met. e.g. to be re-advertised after additional funding determinations	
17	Enter date activities to be resumed	e.g. Revised date for contract award	
18	Enter billing dates or other corrective action to be taken		
19	Enter current cost estimate needed to complete		
20	Enter amount to be deobligated for unneeded funds		
21	Enter reason/consequences if funds are deobligated		
22	Additional back-up documentation	Copy of environmental approval; litigation; r/w acquisition; copy of invoice; proof that they have been working on a project since initial authorization; project timeline and funding plan; PSA; etc.	
23	Enter contact person from local agency	Person prepared the justification <b>must</b> sign the form	
24	DLAE approving official	Person reviewing and approving the justification <b>must</b> sign the form	

**ANY INCOMPLETE JUSTIFICATION FORM WILL BE SENT BACK TO DLAE**