



Meeting Notice

1111 Broadway, Suite 800, Oakland, CA 94607

• PH: (510) 208-7400

• www.AlamedaCTC.org

Commission Chair

Supervisor Scott Haggerty, District 1

Commission Vice Chair

Councilmember Rebecca Kaplan,
City of Oakland

AC Transit

Director Elsa Ortiz

Alameda County

Supervisor Richard Valle, District 2
Supervisor Wilma Chan, District 3
Supervisor Nate Miley, District 4
Supervisor Keith Carson, District 5

BART

Director Thomas Blalock

City of Alameda

Mayor Marie Gilmore

City of Albany

Mayor Peggy Thomsen

City of Berkeley

Councilmember Laurie Capitelli

City of Dublin

Mayor Tim Sbranti

City of Emeryville

Vice Mayor Ruth Atkin

City of Fremont

Mayor Bill Harrison

City of Hayward

Councilmember Marvin Peixoto

City of Livermore

Mayor John Marchand

City of Newark

Councilmember Luis Freitas

City of Oakland

Vice Mayor Larry Reid

City of Piedmont

Mayor John Chiang

City of Pleasanton

Mayor Jerry Thorne

City of San Leandro

Vice Mayor Michael Gregory

City of Union City

Mayor Carol Dutra-Vernaci

Executive Director

Arthur L. Dao

Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee

Thursday, February 6, 2014, 1:30 p.m.

**1111 Broadway, Suite 800
Oakland, CA 94607**

Mission Statement

The mission of the Alameda County Transportation Commission (Alameda CTC) is to plan, fund and deliver transportation programs and projects that expand access and improve mobility to foster a vibrant and livable Alameda County.

Public Comments

Public comments are limited to 3 minutes. Items not on the agenda are covered during the Public Comment section of the meeting, and items specific to an agenda item are covered during that agenda item discussion. If you wish to make a comment, fill out a speaker card, hand it to the clerk of the Commission, and wait until the chair calls your name. When you are summoned, come to the microphone and give your name and comment.

Reminder

Please turn off your cell phones during the meeting. Please do not wear scented products so individuals with environmental sensitivities may attend the meeting.

Glossary of Acronyms

A glossary that includes frequently used acronyms is available on the Alameda CTC website at www.AlamedaCTC.org/app_pages/view/8081.

Location Map

★ Alameda CTC
1111 Broadway, Suite 800
Oakland, CA 94607

Alameda CTC is accessible by multiple transportation modes. The office is conveniently located near the 12th Street/City Center BART station and many AC Transit bus lines. Bicycle parking is available on the street and in the BART station as well as in electronic lockers at 14th Street and Broadway near Frank Ogawa Plaza (requires purchase of key card from bikelink.org).



Garage parking is located beneath City Center, accessible via entrances on 14th Street between 1300 Clay Street and 505 14th Street buildings, or via 11th Street just past Clay Street. To plan your trip to Alameda CTC visit www.511.org.

Accessibility

Public meetings at Alameda CTC are wheelchair accessible under the Americans with Disabilities Act. Guide and assistance dogs are welcome. Call 510-893-3347 (Voice) or 510-834-6754 (TTD) five days in advance to request a sign-language interpreter.



Meeting Schedule

The Alameda CTC meeting calendar lists all public meetings and is available at www.AlamedaCTC.org/events/upcoming/now.

Paperless Policy

On March 28, 2013, the Alameda CTC Commission approved the implementation of paperless meeting packet distribution. Hard copies are available by request only. Agendas and all accompanying staff reports are available electronically on the Alameda CTC website at www.AlamedaCTC.org/events/month/now.

Connect with Alameda CTC

www.AlamedaCTC.org



facebook.com/AlamedaCTC

[@AlamedaCTC](https://twitter.com/AlamedaCTC)

youtube.com/user/AlamedaCTC



Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee Meeting Agenda

Thursday, February 6, 2014, 1:30 p.m.

1111 Broadway, Suite 800, Oakland, CA 94607

• PH: (510) 208-7400

• www.AlamedaCTC.org

1. Introductions/Roll Call

Chair: Arthur L. Dao, Alameda CTC Executive Director

2. Public Comment

Staff Liaison: Matt Todd, Principal Transportation Engineer

Public Meeting Coordinator: Angie Ayers

3. Administration

Page A/I

3.1. January 9, 2014 ACTAC Meeting Minutes

1 A

Recommendation: Approve the January 9, 2014 meeting minutes.

4. Policies and Legislation

4.1. Transportation Expenditure Plan Update (Verbal)

I

4.2. Legislative Update

5 I

5. Transportation Planning

5.1. Scope of Work for Development of a Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan

13 A

Recommendation: Approve the scope of work and authorize the release of a Request for Proposals (RFP) for development of a Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan and authorize the Executive Director, or a designee of the Executive Director, to negotiate and execute one or more professional services agreements with consultants or consultant teams selected as a result of the RFP process in accordance with procurement procedures.

5.2. Countywide Multimodal Plans Update (Verbal)

I

6. Programs/Projects/Monitoring

6.1. Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) Draft FY 2014-15 Fund Estimate

23 I

6.2. Alameda County Federal Inactive Projects List: January 2014 Update

31 I

6.3. California Transportation Commission January 2014 Meeting Summary

37 I

7. Member Reports

- | | | |
|---|----|---|
| 7.1. Metropolitan Transportation Commission Local Streets and Roads
Working Group Update | 39 | I |
| 7.2. Other Reports (Verbal) | | I |

8. Adjournment

Next Meeting: Thursday, March 6, 2014

All items on the agenda are subject to action and/or change by the committee.



Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee Fiscal Year 2013-2014

Member Agencies

AC Transit
BART
City of Alameda
City of Albany
City of Berkeley
City of Dublin
City of Emeryville
City of Fremont
City of Hayward
City of Livermore
City of Newark
City of Oakland
City of Piedmont
City of Pleasanton
City of San Leandro
City of Union City
County of Alameda

Other Agencies

Chair, Alameda CTC
ABAG
ACE
BAAQMD
Caltrans
CHP
LAVTA
MTC
Port of Oakland
Union City Transit
WETA

This page intentionally left blank



Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes Thursday, January 9, 2014, 1:30 p.m.

3.1

1111 Broadway, Suite 800, Oakland, CA 94607

• 510.208.7400

• www.AlamedaCTC.org

1. Introductions/Roll Call

2. Public Comment

There were no public comments.

3. Administration

3.1. Approval of October 10, 2013 Minutes

Tom Ruark (Union City) made a motion to approve the October 10, 2013 meeting minutes. Obaid Khan (Dublin) seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

3.2. 2013/14 Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee (ACTAC) Meeting Calendar

Vivek Bhat discussed the ACTAC meeting dates for the remainder of the fiscal year. Matt informed ACTAC that the committee meetings for the Commission are February 3, 2014 and ACTAC will meet on February 6, 2014.

4. Policies and Legislation

4.1. Measure B Reauthorization Update

Tess Lengyel gave an update on the Transportation Expenditure Plan (TEP). She mentioned that the TEP went to the Commission in December and they requested staff to refine the changes to the TEP. Tess informed the committee that a TEP Ad Hoc Committee was formed to confirm that staff made all of the appropriate changes in the plan. The TEP Steering Committee will review the revised 2014 TEP on January 23, 2014 and the goal is for the committee to make a recommendation to the Commission to adopt the plan. Tess mentioned that Alameda CTC staff is preparing fact sheets and PowerPoint presentations for the cities.

4.2. Legislative Update

Tess Lengyel updated the committee on state and federal initiatives including an update on the federal budget, federal transportation issues, legislative activities and policies at the state level, as well as an update on local legislative activities.

4.3. Update on Implementation of Senate Bill 743

Kara Vuicich updated the committee on the implementation of Senate Bill (SB) 743 and proposed changes to metrics for transportation analysis in the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines from the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR). She mentioned that the Alameda CTC has assembled a Bay Area Working Group to provide input as the new CEQA guidelines are developed. Kara requested the committee members review Attachment C and stated that they may send their input to her and she will craft a letter to the OPR. She noted that

comments must be submitted to the OPR by February 14, 2014. The next Bay Area Working Group meeting will take place the first week of March. Tess Lengyel informed ACTAC that Kara is serving on a statewide committee in Sacramento and is representing Alameda County.

4.4 Active Transportation Program Update

Matt Bomberg gave a presentation to the committee about the Active Transportation Program that discussed the following:

- Available Funding
- Program Guideline Development
- Program Schedule and Eligibility

Matt invited city staff to attend the Pedestrian Bicycle Working Group meeting on January 15, 2014.

4.5 Cap and Trade Program Update

Vivek Bhat updated ACTAC on the Cap and Trade Program. He reported that the Metropolitan Transportation Commission approved the funding framework and principles at its December 2013 Commission meeting.

5. Transportation Planning

5.1. Level of Service (LOS) Monitoring Study: Validation Results for Use of Commercially Available Data

Saravana Suthanthira discussed the validation results for use of commercially available travel-time data in monitoring. She noted that INRIX performed the validation and the results are available on the Alameda CTC website.

5.2. Scope of Work for Development of a Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan

Saravana Suthanthira summarized the scope of work, key outcomes and objectives, and provided a preliminary schedule for the proposed Countywide Arterial Plan. She requested ACTAC submit its feedback to her on the draft scope of work by January 20, 2014.

5.3. Countywide Multimodal Plans Work Program Update

Kara Vuicich gave an update on the work program for the development and adoption of the Goods Movement Study, Countywide Transit Plan, Integrated Community Based Transportation Plan, and the Countywide Multimodal Arterial Corridor Plan. Staff is proposing using ACTAC as the technical advisory group for all of the modal plans in order to minimize the number of additional meetings. Tess Lengyel noted that Alameda CTC will use the ACTAC meeting to facilitate coordination of the development of these plans. She stated that if additional meetings are required, they will be held approximately an hour before the ACTAC meeting. Tess mentioned that the ACTAC meeting today is the kick-off meeting for the technical team. She introduced Michael Fischer from Cambridge Systematic to provide details on the plan's development.

Michael Fischer provided an overview of the approach for the project, including each phase of the plan development. He highlighted the importance of ensuring that the plan incorporates a broad based and collaborative outreach effort. He provided an update on the leadership team, technical team, interest groups and Goods Movement Roundtables. He also introduced the consultant team and provided an overview of the implementation schedule.

Michael informed ACTAC the technical team is expected to perform a technical review of documents and provide input on plans, deliverables and outreach processes. He stated the technical team responsibilities are listed in the presentation for their review.

5.4. Plan Bay Area Implementation: Complete Streets Update

Matt Bomberg gave a status update on Plan Bay Area Implementation for Complete Streets. He reviewed and provided an update on the following:

- Complete streets requirements – current and future
- Local circulation element update and tools
- Local complete streets implementation
- Local policy implementation
- Alameda CTC complete streets policy
- Next steps

6. Programs/Projects/Monitoring

6.1. Alameda CTC At Risk Monitoring Reports

Jacki Taylor gave an update on the Alameda CTC At Risk Monitoring Reports for projects programmed by the following entities:

- State Transportation Improvement Program
- Federal Surface Transportation/Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality
- Transportation Fund for Clean Air County Program Manager

Mike Tassano (Pleasanton) made a motion to request Commission approval. Matt Nichols (Berkeley) seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

6.2. Alameda County Federal Inactive Projects List: December 2013 Update

Vivek Bhat provided an update on the December 2013 federal inactive list and projects. He requested members to verify their projects via the website listed on page 133 in the agenda packet.

6.3. Countywide Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) Project Review Guidelines

Matt Bomberg requested ACTAC to provide input on the proposed BPAC project review guidelines. He gave an overview on the following to the committee:

- Background
- Rationale for proposed new BPAC role
- Goals, relevant projects, roles and responsibilities

6.4. California Transportation Commission December 2013 Meeting Summary

Vivek Bhat stated that the California Transportation Commission (CTC) met on December 11, 2013 in Riverside, CA. He stated that three agenda items of significance pertaining to projects/programs within Alameda County were considered at the CTC meeting.

7. Member Reports

7.1. Metropolitan Transportation Commission Local Streets and Roads Working Group Update

Keith Cooke of the City of San Leandro provided an update on the December Local Streets and Roads Working Group meeting. He discussed the District 3 Local Assistance Blog and requested staff to distribute the website for the blog to the committee.

7.2. Update on FY 12-13 Measure B/VRF Compliance Reporting from Local Jurisdictions

Matt Todd stated all agencies submitted their Measure B/VRF Compliance Reports. He noted the CWC will review the reports on January 13, 2014 and will provide comments to staff by February 3, 2014. Alameda CTC will provide the agencies any feedback and questions by mid-February.

7.3. Other Reports

Obaid Khan stated that he attended a green street forum and it was mentioned that the San Francisco Water Quality Control Board is proposing to establish a regional working group comprising of Transportation Engineers, Planners and Clean Water Program personnel. The working group is targeting to develop legislation and guidelines that would look into C.3 requirements with respect to Road Rehabilitation projects. This legislation may affect the way Road Rehabilitation projects are delivered.

8. Adjournment and Next Meeting

The meeting adjourned at 4:40 p.m. The next meeting is:

Date/Time: Thursday, February 6, 2014 at 1:30 p.m.

Location: Alameda CTC Offices, 1111 Broadway, Suite 800, Oakland, CA 94607

Attested by:



Angie Ayers,
Public Meeting Coordinator



Memorandum

4.2

1111 Broadway, Suite 800, Oakland, CA 94607

• PH: (510) 208-7400

• www.AlamedaCTC.org

DATE: January 30, 2014

SUBJECT: Legislative Update

RECOMMENDATION: Receive an update on state and federal legislative activities

Summary

This memo provides an update on federal, state and local legislative activities including an update on the federal budget, federal transportation issues, legislative activities and policies at the state level, as well as an update on local legislative activities.

Alameda CTC's legislative program was approved in December 2013 establishing legislative priorities for 2014 and is included in summary format in Attachment A. The 2014 Legislative Program is divided into six sections: Transportation Funding, Project Delivery, Multi-Modal Transportation and Land Use, Climate Change, Goods Movement and Partnerships. The program was designed to be broad and flexible to allow Alameda CTC the opportunity to pursue legislative and administrative opportunities that may arise during the year, and to respond to political processes in Sacramento and Washington, DC. Each month, staff brings updates to the Commission on legislative issues related to the adopted legislative program, including recommended positions on bills as well as legislative updates.

Background

Federal Update

The following updates provide information on activities and issues at the federal level within each category of Alameda CTC Legislative Program and include information contributed from Alameda CTC's lobbyist team (CJ Lake/Len Simon).

Budget

In mid-January, the President signed the FY14 Omnibus Appropriations package. The overall cap on FY14 discretionary spending at \$1.012 trillion. \$520 billion are reserved for defense spending and \$491.7 billion are for domestic programs. The Omnibus spending package includes all 12 regular annual appropriations bills, and funds the government until September 30, 2014.

One significant aspect of this package is that it cancels sequestration, the damaging across-the-board spending cuts to federal agencies, for the remainder of FY14.

Transportation Budget Highlights

- \$600 million for the TIGER Grant infrastructure program, an increase of \$126 million from FY13.
- \$41 billion for the Federal-aid Highway program, honoring the funding level authorized in the MAP-21 transportation law, an increase of \$636 million from FY13.
- \$1.943 billion for the transit New Starts program, which, when combined with other resources, funds all of the projects included in the President's FY14 budget request. This level is an increase of \$88 million from FY13.
- \$2.1 billion for Capital Investment Grants, which includes both New Starts and Small Starts, and Full Funding Grant Agreement projects.
- \$2.15 billion for the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), a decrease of \$100 million from the FY13 enacted level.
- \$8.6 billion in state and local transit grant funding from the Mass Transit Account of the Highway Trust Fund, consistent with MAP-21.

MAP-21 Reauthorization and Highway Trust Fund Sustainability

In the past year, both the Senate and House committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy have held a variety of hearings and special panel meetings on the future of the Highway Trust Fund (HTF); the implementation of MAP-21, and transportation financing. MAP-21, which has served as the surface transportation policy since October 2012, is set to expire at the end of the fiscal year (Sept. 30, 2014). As a result these Committees are looking at new ideas to address the nation's transportation needs and mechanisms to fund transportation infrastructure.

Discussions among Senate Environment and Public Works (EPW) Committee Chairman Barbara Boxer and Senator Patty Murray have focused on the need for any budget negotiations to provide full funding for the HTF. It is expected that the EPW Committee will be looking to the Senate Finance Committee and the House Ways and Means Committee to address solvency of the HTF. Senator Boxer has commented that she would like to wait until the Finance Committee resolves the issue of transportation funding before marking up any MAP-21 reauthorization legislation.

In January, the House T&I Committee held its first hearing to begin a dialogue with stakeholders about the reauthorization of transportation policy for the coming years. The witnesses all agreed that long term funding is preferable to extensions or short-term bills. Some of the witnesses were willing to take short-term pain (meaning a lack of a multi-year bill) for long-term gain. Some Members of Congress suggested that the HTF has outlived its usefulness and that a new method of financing is needed. Others suggested that those who use transit should pay more in transportation related taxes to the federal

government rather than continue the current system in which highway users subsidize transit riders.

These hearings are aimed supporting the House T&I Committee desire to produce a transportation bill by late spring or early summer with floor action before the August recess. Even though it is Chairman Shuster's aim, it is possible that some type of MAP-21 extension prior to October 1 will be put in place if there is still no way to pay for a new bill.

State of the Union and FY15 Budget

President Obama will deliver the State of the Union address on January 28. He is expected to include some themes focused on infrastructure. The President's budget is usually sent to Congress shortly after the State of the Union, however the Administration has already said the FY15 President's budget request will be slightly delayed and will likely be sent to Congress in March, rather than February. Congress will face another debt ceiling increase in February.

Additional federal legislative updates will be provided to the Commission via a staff presentation.

State Update

The following update provides information on activities and issues at the state level and includes information contributed from Alameda CTC's state lobbyist, Platinum Advisors.

Budget

The Governor's proposal outlines a general fund spending plan for 2014-15 of \$107 billion - an \$8 billion increase over the 2013-14 budget. The budget proposes reducing the wall of debt by \$11 billion by paying off the economic recovery bonds (\$3.9 billion payment), eliminating the debt to schools for deferred payments (\$6.1 billion payment), and repaying various internal loans from special funds. The budget also proposes creating a new rainy day fund, spending Cap & Trade auction revenue, and expanding the use Infrastructure Financing Districts by cities and counties.

Cap & Trade Appropriations: The budget proposes appropriating \$850 million in auction revenue to various programs. This amount includes a \$100 million repayment of the \$500 million in auction revenue loaned to the general funding in the current fiscal year. The proposal appropriates funds for projects in each of the issue areas identified in the expenditure plan developed last year. This includes \$80 million for energy efficiency and renewable energy projects, \$20 million for green state buildings, \$20 million for agricultural projects, and \$20 million water energy efficiency. In keeping with the expenditure, the bulk of the funds are dedicated to transportation related projects, as follows:

- Rail Modernization \$300 million — The budget allocates \$250 million of these funds to the High Speed Rail Authority. This is expected to be an annual allocation for

the next several years. For the 2014-15 fiscal years these funds will be used for Phase 1 of the project with \$58.6 million dedicated to project planning and \$191.4 million for right of way acquisition and construction.

Integration of Rail Systems \$50 million — Caltrans will administer this program which provide grants to existing rail operators for projects that integrate rail systems and provide connectivity to the high speed rail system.

- Sustainable Communities \$100 million — The Strategic Growth Council will administer this program in coordination with various departments to implement Sustainable Communities Strategies that improve transit ridership, increase active transportation, provide affordable housing near transit, as well as preserves agricultural lands and supports local planning efforts that promote infill development. A priority will be given to projects in disadvantaged communities. The SGC is made up of Office of Planning and Research, Cal STA, CalEPA, California Health and Human Services, and a public member.
- Low Carbon Transportation \$200 million —The Air Board will use these funds to accelerate the transition to low carbon freight and passenger transportation, with a priority for disadvantaged communities. These funds will be used to augment the Air Board's existing programs that provide rebates for zero-emission cars and vouchers for hybrid and zero-emission trucks and buses.

Loan Repayment. The budget includes a \$351 million payment of a loan made from the State Highway Account to the general fund. This represents most of the outstanding debt. The payment terms of this loan have been pushed back several times, so this "early" payment is a pleasant and much needed surprise. Based on the priorities developed by the Transportation Stakeholder Working Group these funds will be used for the following maintenance, preservation and improvement projects:

- \$110 million to fund pavement rehabilitation projects on state highways.
- \$100 million to cities and counties for preservation of local streets and roads.
- \$100 million for traffic management mobility projects.
- \$27 million for highway pavement maintenance.
- \$9 million for active transportation projects.
- \$5 million for environmental mitigation.

Proposition 1B Bond Funds — The Budget appropriates \$1.1 billion in Prop 1B funds. The budget includes \$793 million to support local transit operators, \$160 million for intercity rail, and \$113 million for additional state highway projects.

Capital Outlay Support: The budget includes a proposal to improve the Capital Outlay Support budgeting process based on recommendations made by a workgroup consisting of Caltrans, DOF, and the LAO. The changes include improving project budgets through the development of a predictive tool to help establish initial project budgets, creating a

methodology for the use of flexible resources to meet overall staffing needs, increasing accountability and transparency, and consolidating and streamlining statewide program management manuals and directives across all 12 districts.

Transportation Infrastructure Priorities

Last year, the Governor convened a workgroup made up of representatives from around the state to address how to manage and fund transportation. Out of those efforts, the Administration is planning on focusing on three areas which are reflected in the Governor's budget:

- maintaining existing transportation infrastructure,
- modernizing rail, and
- supporting local governments as they implement sustainable communities plans pursuant to Chapter 728, Statutes of 2008 (SB 375).

In 2014, this working group is anticipated to have on-going work for focus on:

- implementation of reforms, many of which will be outlined in an upcoming external review of the Department of Transportation;
- implementation of goods-movement strategies, which are being developed through separate state and federal panels; and
- continuing the discussion on long-term stable transportation revenues to address declining revenues from fuel excise taxes.

Transportation Funding Initiative:

The California Alliance for Jobs and Transportation California submitted an initiative proposal aimed at creating a new funding program for transportation projects in California. Title and summary of the proposed initiative is expected to be completed by January 10th. This initiative would be placed on the November 2014 ballot; however, the sponsors have not made any decisions on whether to move forward with signature gathering. They submitted this proposal in order to keep their options open.

The California Road Repair Act would phase in a 1% fee based on the value of each vehicle registered in California. The fee would not apply to commercial trucks over 10,000 pounds if the excise tax on diesel fuel is increased by at least 3 cents per gallon by July 1, 2016.

The 1% fee would be phased in over four years at which point it is estimated to generate \$2.9 billion annually. In addition, the revenue cannot be used make any interest or principle payments on bonds, therefore it creates a pay as you go program. As specified in the Coalition's press release, the revenue would be allocated as follows.

- 25% of all new revenue to all cities in California distributed on a formula allocation based on population for local street and road projects.

- 25% of all new revenue to all counties in California based on a formula allocation equal to 75% of fee-paying vehicle and 25% road miles for local street and road projects.
- 40% of all new revenue for maintenance and rehabilitation of the State Highway System. Half of these funds would be programmed for projects based on the North-South split formula, where 60% is allocated to Southern California projects, and 40% to Northern California projects. The remaining 50% would be programmed for projects based on the "highest need" statewide.
- 10% of all new revenue to public transit operators for system maintenance, rehabilitation and vehicle replacement. The funds cannot be used for operations, and the revenue would be allocated based on the current State Transit Assistance Program formula.

The LAO completed its analysis of the California Road Repair Act, and estimates that this proposal would generate about \$400 million in new revenue the first year, increasing to \$3 to \$4 billion annually when fully implemented. Two versions of this proposal were submitted for drafting. While amount of new revenue for each version is identical, the fiscal impact to the state varies. The degree of general fund impact can affect the level of support for the initiative.

The decision by the California Alliance for Jobs and Transportation California on whether to move forward with this proposal is anticipated to be made once additional polling is performed.

Legislation

Legislative coordination efforts: Alameda CTC is leading and participating in many legislative efforts at the local, regional, state and federal levels, including coordinating with other agencies and partners as well as seeking grant opportunities to support transportation investments in Alameda County.

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact.

Attachments

A. Alameda CTC 2014 Legislation Program

Staff Contact

[Tess Lengyel](#), Deputy Director of Planning and Policy



2014 Alameda County Legislative Program

The legislative program herein supports Alameda CTC's transportation vision adopted in the 2012 Countywide Transportation Plan described below:

“Alameda County will be served by a premier transportation system that supports a vibrant and livable Alameda County through a connected and integrated multimodal transportation system promoting sustainability, access, transit operations, public health and economic opportunities. Our vision recognizes the need to maintain and operate our existing transportation infrastructure and services while developing new investments that are targeted, effective, financially sound and supported by appropriate land uses. Mobility in Alameda County will be guided by transparent decision-making and measurable performance indicators. Our transportation system will be: Multimodal; Accessible, Affordable and Equitable for people of all ages, incomes, abilities and geographies; Integrated with land use patterns and local decision-making; Connected across the county, within and across the network of streets, highways and transit, bicycle and pedestrian routes; Reliable and Efficient; Cost Effective; Well Maintained; Safe; Supportive of a Healthy and Clean Environment.”

1111 Broadway, Suites 800
Oakland, CA 94607
(510) 208-7400
www.AlamedaCTC.org

Issue	Priority	Strategy Concepts
Transportation Funding	Increase transportation funding	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Support efforts to lower the two-thirds-voter threshold for voter-approved transportation measures.• Support increasing the buying power of the gas tax and/or increasing transportation revenues through vehicle license fees, vehicle miles traveled or other reliable means.
	Protect and enhance voter-approved funding	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Support increased funding from new and/or flexible funding sources to Alameda County for operating, maintaining, restoring and improving transportation infrastructure and operations.• Support efforts that protects against transportation funding diversions.• Support increases in federal, state and regional funding to expedite delivery of Alameda CTC projects and programs.• Support efforts that give priority funding to voter-approved measures and oppose those that negatively affect the ability to implement voter-approved measures.• Support rewarding Self-Help Counties and states that provide significant transportation funding into transportation systems.• Seek, acquire and implement grants to advance project and program delivery.• Support Alameda County as the recipient of funds to implement grants and pilot programs
Project Delivery	Advance innovative project delivery	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Support environmental streamlining and expedited project delivery.• Support contracting flexibility and innovative project delivery methods.• Support HOT lane expansion in Alameda County and the Bay Area, and efforts that promote effective implementation.• Support efforts to allow local agencies to advertise, award and administer state highway system contracts largely funded by locals
	Ensure cost-effective project delivery	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Support efforts that reduce project and program implementation costs by reducing or eliminating the requirements for state or other agency reimbursements to implement projects on state/regional systems.• Support accelerating funding and policies to implement transportation projects that create jobs and economic growth
Multimodal Transportation and Land Use	Reduce barriers to the implementation of transportation and land use investments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Support legislation that increases flexibility and reduces technical and funding barriers to investments linking transportation, housing and jobs.• Support local flexibility and decision-making on land-use for transit oriented development and priority development areas.• Support innovative financing opportunities to fund TOD and PDA implementation
	Expand multimodal systems and flexibility	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Support policies that provide increased flexibility for transportation service delivery through innovative, flexible programs that address the needs of commuters, youth, seniors, people with disabilities and low-income people and do not create unfunded mandates.• Support investments in transportation for transit-dependent communities that provide enhanced access to goods, services, jobs and education.• Support parity in pre-tax fringe benefits for public transit/vanpooling and parking.

Issue	Priority	Strategy Concepts
Climate Change	Support climate change legislation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support funding for innovative infrastructure, operations, and programs that relieve congestion, improve air quality, reduce emissions and support economic development. Support the expansion of funding for housing that does not conflict with or reduce transportation funding
	Support cap-and-trade expenditure plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support cap and trade funds derived from transportation fuels for transportation purposes.
	Support emerging technologies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support incentives for emerging technologies, such as alternative fuels and fueling technology, and research for transportation opportunities to reduce GHG emissions.
Goods Movement	Expand goods movement funding and policy development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support a multi-modal goods movement system and efforts that enhance the economy, local communities and the environment, and reduce impacts. Support a designated funding stream for goods movement. Support goods movement policies that enhance Bay Area goods movement planning, funding, delivery, and advocacy. Ensure that Bay Area transportation systems are included in and prioritized in state and federal planning and funding processes.
Partnerships	Expand partnerships at the local, regional, state and federal levels	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support efforts that encourage regional cooperation and coordination to develop, promote and fund solutions to regional transportation problems and that support governmental efficiencies and cost savings in transportation. Support policy development to influence transportation planning, policy and funding at the county, regional, state and federal levels. Support efforts to maintain and expand local-, women-, minority- and small-business participation in competing for contracts.



Memorandum

5.1

1111 Broadway, Suite 800, Oakland, CA 94607

• PH: (510) 208-7400

• www.AlamedaCTC.org

DATE: January 30, 2014

SUBJECT: Scope of Work for Development of a Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan

RECOMMENDATION: Approve the scope of work and authorize the release of a Request for Proposals (RFP) for development of a Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan and authorize the Executive Director, or a designee of the Executive Director, to negotiate and execute one or more professional services agreements with consultants or consultant teams selected as a result of the RFP process in accordance with procurement procedures.

Summary

The proposed Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan will build on the previous corridor planning and Countywide Transportation Plan efforts of Alameda CTC to better understand the existing and future role and function of the countywide arterial system, provide a framework for the integrated management of major arterial corridors to support all modes, improve overall mobility, connectivity, and safety on the countywide arterial system while better serving the adjacent land uses, and identify a priority of short and long term improvements. The plan development will be closely coordinated with the local jurisdictions and Caltrans as well as transit operators. Additionally, it will coordinate with the on-going development of Goods Movement Plan and Multimodal Arterial Corridor Plan and the upcoming Community Based Transportation Plans Update.

This memo summarizes the scope of work, key outcomes and objectives, and a schedule for the proposed Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan. The scope was reviewed by ACTAC in January, and comments received were incorporated. Upon approval of the Commission in February, a request for proposals will be released at the end of February or beginning of March 2014.

Background

Arterial roadways are a critical component of the regional and local transportation system that moves people and goods within the county and the region. These roadways provide regional and local mobility with multiple transportation modes, access to surrounding land uses, and connectivity between employment and activity centers that is essential for Alameda County's economy and quality of life. However, in Alameda County, there is neither a complete inventory of arterial infrastructure, which is the first step in arterial

management, nor is there a comprehensive strategy for managing these important roadways that take into account all modes and users of the transportation system. Previous plans and studies, such as the San Pablo Corridor Plan, the Central County Freeway Study, the Triangle Study and others, focused on satisfying Congestion Management Program (CMP) requirements or addressing issues specific to individual areas or corridors. Regional arterial management efforts have also been limited to improving freeway performance or to making localized traffic control improvements in a few areas through the Metropolitan Transportation Commission's (MTC) Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI) and the Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS). Alameda CTC would like to build upon this previous work to develop a Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan to comprehensively study the major arterials in the county, to provide a framework for the integrated management of major arterial corridors to support all modes, improve overall mobility, connectivity, and safety on the countywide arterial system, better connect land use and transportation, and identify of short and long term priority improvements.

The Alameda County transportation system consists of an extensive multimodal transportation network that facilitates the movement of people and goods within the county and the region. The county roadways consist of 3,600 centerline miles of roadways, and the majority of them are arterials and local roads. Most of the arterials also support or connect to alternative modes such as transit hubs, rail stations, transit routes, bikeways and pedestrian paths. To support the effective functioning of the transportation system, particularly arterials, many Travel Demand Management (TDM) and parking management strategies are implemented by local jurisdictions and regional agencies to maximize the existing capacity by shifting trips to alternative modes. Arterials also provide access to surrounding land uses and connectivity between employment and activity centers. Therefore, effective countywide arterial management is critical to the mobility of people and goods, connectivity across the county and ultimately for the economy, local communities, jobs and quality of life.

It is however challenging to address all competing needs on the arterial corridors particularly with continuously diminishing resources. Alameda CTC uses a corridor/areawide transportation planning process to identify and establish priorities for transportation improvements. This process is based on cooperative planning and coordinated action by local governments, Caltrans, transit agencies, and MTC. To date, Corridor Plans have been developed for most of the freeways in the county and some major arterials such as San Pablo Avenue Corridor, Central County Freeway Study (SR 238), SR 84 Historic Parkway Local Alternatives Transportation Improvement Program, and the Triangle Study. Many improvements identified in these plans are either already implemented or identified for implementation.

As stated previously, until now, Corridor Plans have been developed based upon need or to address location specific transportation issues. However, new legislative requirements related to climate change and complete street concepts, and new funding requirements that tie transportation funding to developments that foster the integration of transportation and land

use necessitate the need for area-wide multimodal planning and better knowledge of how the countywide arterial system functions. Both the 2012 Countywide Transportation Plan and the 2013 Congestion Management Program identified the need for the multimodal planning, and identified as next steps the development of a comprehensive TDM Strategy, a Countywide Goods Movement Plan, a Countywide Transit Plan, and a Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan. The Countywide Comprehensive TDM Strategy was already developed and adopted by Alameda CTC in May 2013. The Countywide Goods Movement and Transit Plans development are underway. This Plan, the Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan, will coordinate with the above planning efforts and focus on studying how the arterials in the county are functioning and how they can be better integrated modally. This Plan will provide a basis for making informed short and long term investment decisions, achieving a coordinated countywide multimodal arterial corridor network, and establishing supportive policies and strategies to improve person and goods mobility, access, connectivity and economic growth while supporting livable communities.

Purpose of the Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan:

The purpose of the Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan is to:

- Understand the existing role and function of countywide major arterial corridors and to define their future role and function by mode;
- Identify a countywide arterial network that supports all modes and connects to surrounding land uses and the rest of the countywide transportation system and regional activity centers;
- Provide a framework for the integrated management of a countywide arterial network;
- Improve overall mobility, access, connectivity, safety and efficiency of the multimodal network for all users, including goods movement, and considering the application of new technologies; and
- Provide a basis for prioritization of short and long term improvements to attract funding and coordinating policies and strategies between all levels of government.

The Plan will be developed in close coordination with local jurisdictions and Caltrans, as they are the owners and operators of the principal and major arterials in the county, and the local jurisdictions that have the authority over land use decisions and development. The Plan is anticipated to provide common goals and implementation mechanisms for jurisdictions, transit agencies, Caltrans and Alameda CTC in their efforts to improve the performance of key arterial corridors, including support and facilitation of the following:

- Implementation of the required Priority Development Areas Implementation Growth Strategy (PDA IGS) (including Priority Conservation Areas (PCAs)) and complete street policies;
- Effective and coordinated traffic management and Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) technologies on the arterial network, particularly those that traverse multiple jurisdictions and cross county lines;

- Implementation of supportive TDM/Transportation System Management (TSM) and parking management strategies, particularly between jurisdictions;
- Improved and seamless connectivity across the county for all modes;
- Improved coordination and connectivity between land use and the multimodal transportation system, including goods movement and delivery needs within and between jurisdictions and county lines; and
- Addressing modal priorities and conflicts through identification of roadway typologies with the goal of improving multimodal system efficiency across the county, including improved transit travel time.

Scope of Work and Deliverables

The following tasks summarize the general scope of services needed for development of a Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan in Alameda County.

Task 1: Inventory existing plans, studies and policies, and identify a baseline integrated Countywide Multimodal Arterial Corridor Network (Arterial Network)

This task will inventory the existing arterials and corridors of countywide or regional significance in existing transportation plans, studies and policies. The inventory should include review of state routes, truck routes identified by Caltrans and local jurisdictions, the Metropolitan Transportation System (MTS) network, the Congestion Management Program (CMP) network, local transportation/circulation plan major corridors, transit routes, primary connections to transit hubs or rail stations, major bike routes, routes serving Transit Oriented Developments (TODs) and PDAs, and lifeline and evacuation routes. Additionally, an inventory of the existing arterial Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) across the county and all planned improvements related to the functioning of the Arterial Network, including any operational strategies will be documented.

In addition, this task includes defining a baseline countywide integrated arterial network (Arterial Network) as a result of the inventory analysis and coordination with the local jurisdictions, including the rationale and methodology for defining the baseline network. This baseline network should consist of major, core arterials along with identification of supporting connecting/parallel routes to make any corridor on the network a complete multimodal corridor. It is anticipated that any planned strategic improvements to this Arterial Network will contribute to improved performance of the larger countywide transportation system. The Arterial Network should also include key rural routes that support the agricultural economy (e.g., farm to market flow and agricultural tourism) and efforts related to implementing Priority Conservation Areas (PCA), and connections to adjacent counties.

This task also includes documenting the role, use, utility and benefit of the major arterials in Alameda County in a white paper and fact sheet.

Deliverable: Technical memoranda summarizing arterial, land use and other studies that have included major arterials in the county and a comprehensive list of available data

sources for collecting information on arterials. This technical memorandum must provide the rationale and methodology for defining a baseline arterial network, with supporting maps and documentation. White paper and fact sheet documenting the role, use, utility and benefit of the arterials in Alameda County, including graphical representations of data.

Task 2: Develop Data Collection Plan and Databases

This task will develop and implement a data collection plan focused on supporting the data collection needs for all tasks in the development of the Arterial Plan. Existing data from all sources and partner agencies will be used as much as possible in order to make the most efficient use of resources. Three types of data will be collected: 1) travel demand and 2) infrastructure data, and 3) traffic data that support performance assessment. The travel demand related data could include, but not limited to demography, land uses, multimodal traffic and goods flow, origin and destination travel, TSM, TDM and parking strategies. Similarly the example multimodal and goods movement transportation infrastructure data are roadway capacity (number of lanes), freeway ramp connection type (e.g., metered), intersection control (e.g., stop sign, signalized), general ITS infrastructure including traffic management strategies deployed and intersection and arterial crossing infrastructure supporting bicycle and pedestrian uses, type of transit route and transit support facilities (e.g., bus shelter, parking and Park-N-Ride lots), bikeways, and sidewalks. Multimodal traffic data related to the Arterial Network could include, but is not limited to, speed, traffic volumes, mode share, transit ridership, transit travel time, bicycle and pedestrian counts, truck counts, and number of collisions. Once the data needs and sources have been identified, the data will need to be collected and put into database format. These data sources must be coordinated with other modal plans currently under development by Alameda CTC.

Deliverables: Technical Memoranda documenting the approach and rationale for data collection, including identification of certain data sources for future updates; a data collection plan; implementation of the plan and resulting databases.

Task 3: Identify Existing and Future Conditions and Perform Needs Assessment for the Arterial Network

This task will build upon the land use and arterials inventory developed in Task 1 and the data collection in Task 2 and will document existing and future conditions including support programs and strategies, develop growth projections and identify multi-modal travel demand for the Arterial Network. The existing and future conditions will be presented in terms of use and related infrastructure for all modes, including traffic management and ITS infrastructure. Factors related to travel demand such as demographic trends, surrounding land uses, multimodal traffic and goods flow, origin and destination travel, and supportive TDM and parking strategies, will also be documented, and will be coordinated with the other modal plans that Alameda CTC is concurrently undertaking.

A needs assessment will be performed under this task, including identification of needs, gaps and deficiencies regarding multimodal infrastructure capacity and operations, including ITS, and the ability to support future travel demand on the network while serving the adjacent land uses and connecting to activity/employment centers. As part of the needs assessment, additional future arterial segments will be identified, as applicable, within the county, as well as major bottlenecks for all modes, existing and potential modal conflicts, and goods movement and coordination issues across the county on the Arterial Network. This task will also identify, based on the data collected, the role, functions and modal preferences of the Arterial Network in various parts of the county such as roadways or parts of the roadways that are critical to providing freeway connectivity or activity center connectivity; serving as freeway reliever routes, primary transit routes or trunk lines, goods delivery routes, or part of countywide bicycle or pedestrian networks; connecting to focused land use developments such as PDAs/TODs or adjacent counties; and supporting implementation of PCAs and the agricultural economy (i.e., farm to market and agricultural tourism).

Deliverables: Technical Memoranda documenting existing and future conditions, growth projections, and outcomes of the needs assessment.

Task 4: Develop Vision, Goals and Objectives for the Plan

This task will develop the vision, goals and objectives for the Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan that tier off of the adopted Alameda County Countywide Transportation Plan vision and goals. These will be developed in coordination with local jurisdictions, transit agencies, Caltrans and stakeholders to ultimately serve the purpose of creating a comprehensive, integrated Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan. The vision, goals and objectives will reflect current transportation planning trends and legislative context in terms of multimodal needs, greenhouse gas reduction, and complete street approaches. It will enable the Plan to address future travel demand of all modes of transportation using the arterials; effective land use and transportation integration; coordinated TDM/TSM strategies, parking and traffic management; and efficient and safe local goods movement and delivery; improved travel safety, economic development, and regional connectivity.

Deliverable: Technical memorandum documenting the vision, goals and objectives for the Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan and the process of their development.

Task 5: Develop Multimodal Performance Measures

This task will develop a set of multimodal performance measures that will be used to evaluate the Arterial Network consistent with and to meet the established goals and objectives and assess the network's existing and future performance. While most of the performance measures are anticipated to be quantifiable, qualitative measures can also be included if they better represent the performance assessment of a particular function. The performance measures should also have the ability to identify or measure the application of the transportation planning and corridor operations concepts such as "Complete Streets, Fix it

First and ITS methodologies" and transportation demand and system management. These performance measures must be developed in coordination with other modal plans currently being developed by Alameda CTC.

Deliverables: Technical memorandum documenting the list of multimodal performance measures and development methodology, and data sources for use in determining system performance and to evaluate projects.

Task 6: Develop Roadway Typology,

Based on the work done in previous tasks, this task will develop a roadway typology in terms of how the Arterial Network supports transit, bicycle and pedestrian, and automobile travel while serving the adjacent land uses, providing a framework to facilitate implementation of the Complete Streets requirements. Developing the typology will maintain a local context throughout the county and also consider the need for appropriate access needs for various land uses that support the local economy. Using a roadway typology structure to frame the Arterial Network will provide a basis for determining coordinated modal priorities, which are compatible to the adjacent land uses, between jurisdictions and across the county and will help identify effective transportation improvements for each mode to ultimately develop a seamless multimodal network.

Deliverable: Technical memorandum and related maps documenting the process for developing the roadway typology and outcome.

Task 7: Identify Future Short and Long-Term Improvements by Mode and other Supportive Operational Programs and Strategies

This task includes several activities or sub-tasks that will ultimately lead to identification of multimodal improvements in terms of projects and programs prioritization and strategies development. Using the performance measures and data collected, and considering the roadway typology, the Arterial Network will be updated and evaluated to identify short and long-term improvements by mode to address existing needs and accommodate future growth as identified in previous tasks that will meet the purpose, goals and objectives of the Plan. Modes and operational programs that should be considered in the evaluation and in making recommendations for improvements include, but are not limited to:

- Roadways
- Transit
- Bicycle and Pedestrian
- TDM/TSM and Parking
- Goods Movement
- Traffic Management/ITS (see Task 8)
- Green Transportation Initiative Programs

For the evaluation of the Arterial Network, Complete Streets and Fix-it-First concepts will be an important underlying principle for identifying future modal improvement measures and management strategies. For identifying improvements, all recommendations will need to be coordinated with other on-going modal plans work and existing and future planned efforts at the local, county, regional and state (e.g., Caltrans' Corridor System Management Plans and MTC's Climate Initiatives) levels. In addition, any regional process that is required for implementation of the improvements or strategies, particularly to support implementing the complete street requirements, will be identified and coordinated with the respective agencies to support streamlined processes.

Deliverables: Technical Memoranda and related maps documenting the performance evaluation process, results and assumptions; short and long term improvements and management strategies by mode and other supportive operational programs.

Task 7.1: Revisit and Re-evaluate the Arterial Network

Considering the additional data collected, and needs and improvements identified after the initial development of the Arterial Network in Task 1, this sub task will revisit and re-evaluate the Arterial Network for this Plan in terms of its reasonableness to meet the Plan goals and objectives, and update the network, as applicable.

Deliverable: Technical memorandum documenting the process for re-evaluating the Arterial Network and outcome and updating the Arterial Network, including maps.

Task 8: Develop Traffic Management Coordination Strategies, Policies and Best Practices

This task will identify strategies, policies and best practices for better inter-agency coordination and effective governance for an efficient management of the Arterial Network across the county and between the local jurisdictions, and between the adjacent counties at the county lines. The intent is to facilitate implementation of the Traffic Management/ITS improvements and management strategies developed in Task 7 addressing short and long term ITS needs including recommendation for best practices and newer technologies supporting Complete Streets. The task will identify areas that need on-going coordination and document or address consistency or compatibility issues in traffic management technologies used between adjacent jurisdictions. Communication between the traffic management systems along a contiguous arterial network and the connecting freeway and transit networks is essential for ensuring better mobility, travel reliability and modal connectivity along the Arterial Network. Considering the numerous owners and/or operators involved in the functioning of the traffic management system on the Arterial Network in Alameda County, working with the respective agencies, local jurisdictions, Caltrans and transit operators to identify management strategies, policies and best practices will be critical to the success of future improvements on the Arterial Network.

Deliverables: Technical memorandum documenting Traffic Management Coordination Strategies, Policies and Best Practices.

Task 9: Develop an Implementation and Financial Plan

The implementation and financial plan will document the phasing and timing of identified improvements by transportation mode and supportive operational program and strategies from Task 7 to prioritize improvements to attract funding. It will identify responsible lead/participating agencies and recommendations for monitoring progress and improvements. This task will also identify the Plan elements that will need periodic updates and monitoring, including data needs, timelines for updates and responsible agencies. The financial plan will consist of a capital, operations and maintenance plan that includes cost estimates as well as potential funding sources. Capital costs for multimodal, freight, and parking supportive infrastructure improvements will also be included.

Deliverables: Technical memoranda documenting the implementation and financial plan, Development of an initial 5-year list of priority projects and programs, including costs and schedules.

Task 10: Prepare Administrative, Draft and Final Plan

This task assumes that an administrative, draft and final document for the Plan will be produced. Responses to two rounds of comments per document should be assumed. The final document will include a stand-alone Executive Summary and will include a compilation of the technical memoranda in the format of a Countywide Multimodal Arterial Corridor Plans.

Deliverables: Administrative, Draft and Final Countywide Multimodal Arterial Corridor Mobility Plan.

Task 11: Develop and Implement Technical, Public and Stakeholder Outreach Strategy

Develop and implement a public and stakeholder outreach strategy that provides for effective participation and is as inclusive as possible. Considering that the arterials traverse the local jurisdictions and that they are owned and operated by the local jurisdictions and Caltrans, coordination with the Alameda County cities, the county along with Caltrans and MTC is anticipated. Similar to the other modal plans, the Technical Team for this Plan development is expected to be provided by the existing Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee (ACTAC). Public outreach could include workshops in coordination with other modal plans and possibly up to four Transportation Forums.

Deliverables: Technical memorandum detailing the technical, public and stakeholder participation approach and timeline.

Task 12: Project Management and Coordination with other Countywide Planning Efforts

The Alameda CTC is embarking on the development of four countywide planning efforts: Goods Movement, Transit, integration and update of Community Based Transportation Plans,

and Multimodal Arterial Plan. In addition to overall project management, the development of the Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan include this task for coordination with the development of the other three plans, including meetings and stakeholder input, and community outreach, if needed. Close coordination with the transit and goods movement plan is particularly important and will occur throughout the Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan development process.

Schedule

The consultant selected to perform this work is anticipated to commence in spring 2014 and complete the final plan by the end of 2015.

Fiscal Impact: The fiscal impact for approving this item is \$800,000, which was included in the budget adopted for FY 13-14.

Staff Contacts

[Tess Lengyel](#), Deputy Director of Planning and Policy

[Saravana Suthanthira](#), Senior Transportation Planner



Memorandum

6.1

1111 Broadway, Suite 800, Oakland, CA 94607

• PH: (510) 208-7400

• www.AlamedaCTC.org

DATE: January 30, 2014

SUBJECT: Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) Draft FY 2014-15 Fund Estimate

RECOMMENDATION: Receive an update on the TFCA FY 2014-15 draft fund estimate

Summary

A Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) draft FY 2014-15 fund estimate is provided for informational purposes only. An advance release of a draft fund estimate is intended to aid sponsors' preparation for the upcoming TFCA call for projects, scheduled for March 2014. A final fund estimate will be released with the call. The draft fund estimate is based on the Alameda CTC's FY 2014-15 TFCA Expenditure Plan Application which includes an estimated \$3.348 million for projects. Consistent with the funding distribution formula in the Alameda CTC's TFCA Guidelines, the draft fund estimate segregates the available funds into city/county shares, transit discretionary and program administration targets. It also accounts for prior year's programming, relinquishments and earned interest. The fund estimate is higher than usual, due to the inclusion of \$1.43 million of relinquished funding that has been returned proportionally to the city/county shares.

Background

TFCA funding is generated by a four dollar vehicle registration fee collected by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (Air District). Projects that result in a reduction of motor vehicle emissions are eligible for TFCA. Eligible projects are to achieve "surplus" emission reductions beyond what is currently required through regulations, ordinances, contracts, or other legally binding obligations. Projects typically funded with TFCA include shuttles, bicycle lanes and lockers, signal timing and trip reduction programs. As the TFCA Program Manager for Alameda County, the Alameda CTC is responsible for programming 40 percent of the four dollar vehicle registration fee that is collected in Alameda County for this program. Five percent of new revenue is set aside for the Alameda CTC's administration of the TFCA program. Per the Alameda CTC TFCA Guidelines, 70 percent of the available funds are to be allocated to the cities/county based on population, with a minimum of \$10,000 to each jurisdiction. The remaining 30 percent of funds are to be allocated to transit-related projects on a discretionary basis.

A jurisdiction may borrow against its projected future share in order to receive more funds in the current year, which can help facilitate the required annual programming of all available

funds. Projects proposed for TFCA funding are required to meet the eligibility and cost-effectiveness requirements of the TFCA program.

FY 2014-15 Fund Estimate

The draft FY 2014-15 TFCA fund estimate is based on the FY 2014-15 TFCA Expenditure Plan Application, which establishes the amount of TFCA funds available for programming based on the estimated DMV revenues for the same period. As summarized below, the estimated total amount of funds available for projects is the sum of the new allocation (projected revenue), relinquished funds from completed projects, and earned interest, less five percent of the new projected revenue, which is reserved for the Alameda CTC's administration of the TFCA program.

New projected revenue for FY 2014-15:	\$1,919,779.23
Earned interest for calendar year 2013:	\$6,522.29
<u>Relinquished funds through 12/31/13:</u>	<u>\$1,517,802.44</u>
Total available TFCA funds:	\$3,444,103.96
Less 5% for TFCA administration:	- \$95,988.96
Estimated total amount available for projects:	\$3,348,115.00

The relinquished funds include the \$1.43 million that had been programed to the Air District's Port Drayage Truck Replacement Program with the understanding that it would be used only after other funding for the program had been expended and only for trucks registered in Alameda County. The program was completed with other funds and the Air District has returned the full amount.

The attached draft fund estimate takes the estimated total available for projects identified in the FY 2014-15 TFCA Expenditure Plan Application and distributes it into city/county and transit shares. It also accounts for prior year's programming and relinquishments, including the aforementioned \$1.43 million which has been returned in full to the city/county shares. A final version of the fund estimate will be released with the call for projects.

Program Approval Process/Schedule

Following Air District Board approval of the TFCA Expenditure Plan Application, the Alameda CTC will enter into a funding agreement with the Air District and will have six months to submit a Commission-approved program of eligible projects. The release of a TFCA call for projects will be coordinated with the annual update to the TFCA Guidelines in March 2014 and applications will be due to the Alameda CTC in April 2014. A draft FY 2014-15 program will be scheduled for the Commission's consideration in June 2014.

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact.

Attachments

- A. FY 2014-15 TFCA Draft Fund Estimate
- B. FY 2014-15 Proposed TFCA Programming Schedule

Staff Contacts

[Matt Todd](#), Principal Transportation Engineer

[Jacki Taylor](#), Program Analyst

This page intentionally left blank

TFCA FY 2014/15 Fund Estimate - DRAFT- February 2014

Agency	Population (Estimate)	% Population	Total % of Funding	TFCA Funds Available (new this FY)	Balance from Previous FY	Programmed in Last Cycle	Funds Relinquished This FY	FY 13/14 Rollover (Debits/ Credits)	TFCA Balance (New + Rollover)
Alameda	75,126	4.85%	4.84%	\$ 61,636	\$ (431,686)	\$ -	\$ 69,473	\$ (362,213)	\$ (300,577)
Alameda County	143,820	9.29%	9.27%	\$ 117,995	\$ 129,410	\$ 90,000	\$ 133,071	\$ 172,482	\$ 290,477
Albany	18,430	1.19%	1.19%	\$ 15,121	\$ (31,236)	\$ -	\$ 17,464	\$ (13,773)	\$ 1,348
Berkeley	115,716	7.47%	7.46%	\$ 94,938	\$ 216,819	\$ 155,000	\$ 106,952	\$ 168,771	\$ 263,708
Dublin	49,890	3.22%	3.21%	\$ 40,932	\$ 155,482	\$ -	\$ 43,835	\$ 199,318	\$ 240,249
Emeryville	10,269	0.66%	0.79%	\$ 10,000	\$ 27,565	\$ -	\$ 11,510	\$ 39,075	\$ 49,075
Fremont	219,926	14.20%	14.17%	\$ 180,435	\$ 220,096	\$ 76,000	\$ 210,083	\$ 354,179	\$ 534,614
Hayward	148,756	9.61%	9.59%	\$ 122,045	\$ (537,913)	\$ 190,000	\$ 136,767	\$ (591,146)	\$ (469,101)
Livermore	83,325	5.38%	5.37%	\$ 68,363	\$ 311,084	\$ -	\$ 76,606	\$ 387,690	\$ 456,052
Newark	43,342	2.80%	2.79%	\$ 35,559	\$ 229,961	\$ -	\$ 40,104	\$ 270,065	\$ 305,624
Oakland	399,326	25.78%	25.73%	\$ 327,621	\$ 511,454	\$ 509,000	\$ 416,490	\$ 418,944	\$ 746,565
Piedmont	10,889	0.70%	0.79%	\$ 10,000	\$ 44,899	\$ -	\$ 11,510	\$ 56,409	\$ 66,409
Pleasanton	71,871	4.64%	4.63%	\$ 58,966	\$ (25,086)	\$ 118,000	\$ 66,249	\$ (76,837)	\$ (17,871)
San Leandro	86,666	5.60%	5.58%	\$ 71,104	\$ 104,140	\$ 60,000	\$ 80,172	\$ 124,312	\$ 195,416
Union City	71,329	4.61%	4.60%	\$ 58,521	\$ 157,522	\$ -	\$ 65,505	\$ 223,027	\$ 281,548
TOTAL:	1,548,681	100%	100%	\$ 1,273,234	\$ 1,082,512	\$ 1,198,000	\$ 1,485,790	\$ 1,370,302	\$ 2,643,536

FY 14/15 TFCA New Revenue \$ 1,919,779.23
 Prior Years Interest Adjustment \$ (11,406.56)
 Calendar year 2013 Interest Earned \$ 6,522.29
 Total Funding Available \$ 1,914,894.96
 Less 5% for Program Administration \$ 95,988.96

	Guarantee 70%	Discretionary 30%
Total Programming Capacity	\$ 1,273,234	\$ 545,672
Relinquishments	\$ 1,485,790	\$ 43,419
FY 13/14 Rollover (debit/credit) Adjustment	\$ (115,488)	\$ 115,488
Total Relinquishments & Rollover Adjustments	\$ 1,370,302	\$ 158,907
Adjusted Total Available to Program	\$ 3,348,115.00	\$ 704,579

Notes:

1. Includes TFCA programming actions and relinquishments through 12/31/13.
2. Population estimates as of 1/01/13 from Dept. of Finance (www.dof.ca.gov).

This page intentionally left blank

Alameda CTC Proposed Schedule for FY 2014-15 TFCA Program

Date	Activity
February 6, 2014	Draft Fund Estimate (FE) and schedule to ACTAC
February 2014	TFCA Expenditure Plan to Alameda CTC Commission
March 2014	Alameda CTC TFCA Guidelines to Alameda CTC Commission
March 2014	Alameda CTC to release TFCA call for projects
April 2014	Applications due to Alameda CTC
May 2014	Application summary to Alameda CTC Commission
May 2014	TFCA Expenditure Plan considered for approval by Air District Board of Directors
June 2014	Draft program of projects to Alameda CTC Commission
June/July 2014	Master Agreement with Air District executed
July 2014	Final 2011/12 Program to Alameda CTC Commission
Fall 2014	Funding agreements distributed

This page intentionally left blank



Memorandum

6.2

1111 Broadway, Suite 800, Oakland, CA 94607

• PH: (510) 208-7400

• www.AlamedaCTC.org

DATE: January 30, 2014

SUBJECT: Alameda County Federal Inactive Projects List: January 2014 Update

RECOMMENDATION: Receive an update on the January 2014 Alameda County Federal Inactive Projects

Summary

Federal regulations require that agencies receiving federal funds invoice against their obligations at least once every six months. Projects that do not have invoicing activity over a six month period are placed on the Inactive Obligation list, and those projects are at risk of deobligation of the project's federal funds unless Caltrans and the Federal Highways Administration (FHWA) receive either an invoice or a valid justification for inactivity. Caltrans is tracking inactive obligations, and updating a list of inactive projects every week. If Caltrans and FHWA do not receive adequate invoicing or justification for the project's inactivity, the project may be deobligated.

Background

In response to FHWA's recently distributed new guidance for processing Inactive Obligations, Caltrans has developed new guidelines for managing federal inactive obligations. These new guidelines treat all federal-aid as well as the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) inactive projects equally. In order to manage these changes more proactively Caltrans is changing the management of "inactive projects" as follows beginning July 1, 2013:

- If the Department does not receive an invoice for more than six months, the project will be deemed "inactive" and posted on the Department's website. Local Agencies will be notified the first time projects are posted.
- If the Department does not receive an invoice within the following six months (12 months without invoicing), the Department will deobligate the unexpended balances.
- It is the responsibility of the local agencies to work in collaboration with their respective District Local Assistance Engineer's to ensure their projects are removed from the list to avoid deobligation.
- The Inactive project listing is posted at the following website and will be updated weekly: <http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/Inactiveprojects.htm>

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact.

Attachments

- A. Alameda County List of Federal Inactive Projects Report dated 01/24/14
- B. Justification Form

Staff Contact

[Matt Todd](#), Principal Transportation Engineer

[Vivek Bhat](#), Senior Transportation Engineer

ALAMEDA COUNTY LIST OF INACTIVE OBLIGATIONS

UPDATED BY CALTRANS ON JANUARY 24, 2014

Updated by Caltrans on 01/24/2014



Project No (newly added projects highlighted in GREEN)	Status	Agency/District Action Required	Prefix	Agency	Description	Latest Date	Authorization Date	Last Expenditure Date	Last Action Date	Total Cost	Federal Funds	Expenditure Amt	Unexpended Bal
5057019	Inactive	Records indicate project is in Final Voucher. District to verify.	CML	Berkeley	SAN PABLO AVE. CORRIDOR IN CITY OF BERKELEY , BICYCLE RELATED - OTHER	2/14/2013	4/1/2000	2/14/2013	2/14/2013	2,851,178.00	1,432,000.00	1,405,027.04	26,972.96
5053024	Inactive	Final Invoice under review by Caltrans. Monitor for progress.	CML	Livermore	LIVERMORE DOWNTOWN, LIGHTING RETROFIT	10/9/2012	4/4/2011	10/9/2012	10/9/2012	257,820.00	176,000.00	149,750.62	26,249.38
6193009	Inactive	Submit invoice to District by 02/20/2014	RPSTPL	Livermore Amador Valley Transit Authority	LIVERMORE, BUS RESTORATION	3/27/2013	6/14/2011	3/27/2013	3/27/2013	234,502.00	200,000.00	97,429.78	102,570.22
5012093	Inactive	Submit invoice to District by 02/20/2014	STPLZ	Oakland	CAMPUS DRIVE BRIDGE OVER TRIBUTARY TO LION CREEK; BR# 33C0238, SEISMIC RETROFIT	3/14/2013	4/19/2011	3/14/2013	3/14/2013	1,173,920.00	1,039,271.00	836,050.33	203,220.67
5101027	Inactive	Invoice under review by Caltrans. Monitor for progress.	BPMP	Pleasanton	VARIOUS BRIDGES IN THE CITY OF PLEASANTON, BRIDGE PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE	3/29/2013	3/29/2013		3/29/2013	124,300.00	110,043.00	0	110,043.00
5101028	Inactive	Invoice under review by Caltrans. Monitor for progress.	BHLS	Pleasanton	BRIDGE NO.33C0132 BERNAL AVE OVER ARROYO DE LA LAGUNA, CLEAN BRIDGE AND APPLY PROTECTIVE COATING	3/29/2013	3/29/2013		3/29/2013	101,750.00	90,079.00	0	90,079.00
5014038	Future	Submit invoice to District by 05/20/2014	HSIPL	Alameda	PARK STREET, PARK STREET DRAW BRIDGE TO ENCINAL AVE, INSTALL LEFT TURN LANES PHASE, UPGRADE SIGNALS	6/20/2013	1/18/2012	6/20/2013	6/20/2013	166,250.00	126,350.00	4,118.67	122,231.33
5014037	Future	Submit invoice to District by 05/20/2014	HSIPL	Alameda	SHORELINE DRIVE, WESTLINE DR, AND BROADWAY, REDUCE TRAVEL LANES FROM 4 TO 2; INSTALL BIKE LNS	4/18/2013	1/18/2012	4/18/2013	4/18/2013	75,300.00	67,770.00	64,542.79	3,227.21
5933028	Future	Submit invoice to District by 05/20/2014	STPLZ	Alameda County	OAKLAND ESTUARY (FRUITVALE AV) BR NO 33C-0147, SEISMIC RETROFIT	6/27/2013	9/1/1996	6/27/2013	6/27/2013	561,250.00	488,021.00	466,237.38	21,783.62
6273061	Future	Submit invoice to District by 05/20/2014	IMDL	Alameda County Congestion Management Agency	I-580- EAST OF OVER CROSSING OF ISABEL AVE.- TO FIRST ST. IN CITY OF LIVERMORE, WIDEN THE EASTBOUND INTERSTATE 580 FOR AUX. LANES	6/13/2013	5/31/2011	6/13/2013	6/13/2013	1,525,000.00	225,000.00	219,947.58	5,052.42
6273063	Future	Submit invoice to District by 05/20/2014	IMDL	Alameda County Congestion Management Agency	I-580 WESTBOUND; W.OF GREENVILLE RD. O.C. TO W.OF SAN RAMON RD-/ FOOTHILL O.C., PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	6/13/2013	7/22/2011	6/13/2013	6/13/2013	12,600,000.00	250,000.00	243,149.99	6,850.01
6273053	Future	Submit invoice to District by 05/20/2014	HPLUN	Alameda County Congestion Management Agency	I-880- 23RD. AVE. TO 29TH. AVE. INTERCHANGES., REPLACE INTERCHANGES-OVERCROSS	4/11/2013	5/8/2009	4/11/2013	4/11/2013	2,249,750.00	1,787,000.00	1,628,695.47	158,304.53

ALAMEDA COUNTY LIST OF INACTIVE OBLIGATIONS

UPDATED BY CALTRANS ON JANUARY 24, 2014

Updated by Caltrans on 01/24/2014

Project No (newly added projects highlighted in GREEN)	Status	Agency/District Action Required	Prefix	Agency	Description	Latest Date	Authorization Date	Last Expenditure Date	Last Action Date	Total Cost	Federal Funds	Expenditure Amt	Unexpended Bal
6273065	Future	Submit invoice to District by 05/20/2014	STPCML	Alameda County Congestion Management Agency	COUNTY WIDE, IMPLEMENT A COUNTYWIDE SR2S PROGRAM	5/14/2013	3/29/2011	5/14/2013	5/14/2013	3,604,000.00	3,189,000.00	1,312,825.06	1,876,174.94
5432015	Future	Final Invoice under review by Caltrans. Monitor for progress.	CML	Dublin	GOLDEN GATE DRIVE, STREETSCAPE ENHANCEMENTS	4/11/2013	3/18/2011	4/11/2013	4/11/2013	1,189,250.00	647,000.00	104,965.20	542,034.80
5106008	Future	Invoice under review by Caltrans. Monitor for progress.	SRTSL	Emeryville	SAN PABLO AVE (SR 123) BETWEEN 43RD & 47TH AVE., PEDESTRIAN WALKWAY	6/4/2013	5/4/2012	6/4/2013	6/4/2013	51,540.00	51,540.00	21,519.26	30,020.74
5012087	Future	Submit invoice to District by 05/20/2014	CML	Oakland	66TH AVE. FROM SAN LEANDRO TO INTERNATIONAL BLVD, STREETSCAPE	5/9/2013	3/30/2009	5/9/2013	5/9/2013	2,067,126.00	1,230,000.00	1,193,441.26	36,558.74
5012100	Future	Submit invoice to District by 05/20/2014	ESPLE	Oakland	7TH STREET FROM UNION TO PERALTA STREETS, PEDESTRAIN STREETSCAPE IMPROVE	4/10/2013	8/4/2009	4/10/2013	4/10/2013	4,070,044.00	3,630,000.00	3,470,509.81	159,490.19
5012114	Future	Submit invoice to District by 05/20/2014	HSIPL	Oakland	BANCROFT AVE. / 94TH AVE., INSTALL TRAFFIC SIGNALS, CONSTRUCT CURB RAMPS	5/21/2013	1/23/2012	5/21/2013	5/21/2013	96,250.00	86,625.00	47,949.23	38,675.77
5012115	Future	Submit invoice to District by 05/20/2014	HSIPL	Oakland	SAN PABLO @ WEST GRAND AVE. AND @ WEST STREET, UPGRADE SIGNALS/MODIFY INTERSECTIONS	5/21/2013	1/23/2012	5/21/2013	5/21/2013	79,200.00	71,280.00	69,513.78	1,766.22
5012121	Future	Submit invoice to District by 05/20/2014	BPMP	Oakland	HEGENBERGER RD. OVER SAN LEANDRO STREET-BRIDGE # 33C0202, BRIDGE PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE	4/29/2013	4/29/2013		10/22/2013	761,250.00	673,935.00	0	673,935.00
5101026	Future	Submit invoice to District by 05/20/2014	CML	Pleasanton	I-580 AT FOOTHILL RD, FOOTHILL RD: CANYON WAY NORTH TO I-580 WB RAMP, REALIGN FREEWAY RAMPS FOR BIKE LANE GAP CLOSER	4/30/2013	4/30/2013		5/10/2013	3,960,000.00	709,000.00	0	709,000.00
6000014	Future	Invoice under review by Caltrans. Monitor for progress.	RPSTPL	San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District	VARIOUS BART AERIAL STRUCTURES IN OAKLAND , SEISMIC RETROFIT	4/18/2013	6/12/2001	4/18/2013	4/18/2013	27,566,009.00	23,301,127.00	21,502,361.05	1,798,765.95

		QUARTERLY REVIEW OF INACTIVE PROJECTS JUSTIFICATION FORM SUMMARY			
1. CT DIST - FEDERAL AID PROJECT NO.		2. STATE PROJECT NUMBER	3. RESPONSIBLE AGENCY		4. DATE
5. GENERAL LOCATION					
6. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF WORK (INCLUDE PROJECT PHASES WITH OBLIGATED FUNDS)					
7. AUTHORIZATION DATE	8. FEDERAL-AID FUNDS AUTHORIZED	9. PGM CODE	10. PHASE (from E-76)	11. FEDERAL FUNDS EXPENDED TO DATE	12. UNEXPENDED FEDERAL FUNDS
TOTAL:					
13. LAST ACTIVITY (BILLING DATE)					
Important note: Caltrans and/or FHWA reserve the right to reject a Justification and deobligate the Federal Funds.					
14. JUSTIFICATION (CHECK ONE OR MORE IF APPLICABLE)					
<div><input type="checkbox"/> Litigation Filed</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Environmental Delays</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Right of way, Utility Relocation Delays</div> <div>Justification Forms without proper supporting documents will be rejected and returned to Agencies by Caltrans. Decision to accept or reject a Justification may be based exclusively on this form and supporting documentation.</div>					
15. LIST PROJECT HISTORY FROM INITIAL AUTHORIZATION OR FROM LAST BILLING. LIST CURRENT PROJECT STATUS/REASON FOR PROJECT BEING INACTIVE. PROVIDE BACKUP DOCUMENTATION.					
16. ACTIONS TAKEN TO RESOLVE EXISTING ISSUE(S)					
17. DATE ACTIVITIES TO BE RESUMED			18. DATE BILLINGS OR OTHER CORRECTIVE ACTION TO BE TAKEN (e.g. closure, withdrawal, et		
19. CURRENT COST ESTIMATE NEEDED TO COMPLETE PROJECT			20. IF ESTIMATE IS LESS THAN UNEXPENDED BALANCE, AMOUNT TO BE DEOBLIGATED (Attach copy of E-76 requesting deobligation)		
21. CONSEQUENCES IF FUNDS ARE DEOBLIGATED					
22. ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION (LIST ATTACHMENTS) TO SUPPORT VALIDATION OF THIS OBLIGATION					
23. AGENCY CONTACT	EMAIL	SIGNATURE	PHONE NUMBER	DATE	
24. FORM REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL BY:					
CT DISTRICT CONTACT NAME/TITLE		SIGNATURE	PHONE NUMBER	DATE	



QUARTERLY REVIEW OF **INACTIVE PROJECTS** JUSTIFICATION FORM SUMMARY



Please go through the check list before submitting your justification form
(**DO NOT** leave anything blank)

#	Information Required	Additional Information	Check
1	Enter the District number and federal project number (including the project prefix, e.g. STPL)		
2	Enter State Project Number, if applicable		
3	Enter Responsible Agency		
4	Enter date you've completed the form		
5	Enter route information and location description		
6	Enter work description including project phases with obligated funds		
7	Enter date when funds were authorized. Use a separate line for each phase with authorized federal funds	Refer to the current inactive list/file posted in the web http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/InactiveProjects/QuarterlyReviewofInactiveProjects.htm	
8	Enter authorized federal funds		
9	Enter all program code(s)		
10	Enter project phase (e.g. PE, RW, CON, etc.)	Use E-76 for this item	
11	Enter accumulated expenditure by program code	Refer to the current inactive list/file posted in the web http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/InactiveProjects/QuarterlyReviewofInactiveProjects.htm	
12	Enter unexpended funds		
13	Enter last billing date		
14	Select the appropriate reason(s) for justification; for litigation filed, submit copy (with stamp) of the documents filed	http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/Inactiveprojects.htm	
15	List project history	Include project timeline from the time of authorization or last financial transaction to present. e.g. original bid rejected - costs exceeded engineer estimate by XX%	
16	Action(s) taken to resolve the issue	Explain why previous commitment has not been met. e.g. to be re-advertised after additional funding determinations	
17	Enter date activities to be resumed	e.g. Revised date for contract award	
18	Enter billing dates or other corrective action to be taken		
19	Enter current cost estimate needed to complete		
20	Enter amount to be deobligated for unneeded funds		
21	Enter reason/consequences if funds are deobligated		
22	Additional back-up documentation	Copy of environmental approval; litigation; r/w acquisition; copy of invoice; proof that they have been working on a project since initial authorization; project timeline and funding plan; PSA; etc.	
23	Enter contact person from local agency	Person prepared the justification must sign the form	
24	DLAE approving official	Person reviewing and approving the justification must sign the form	

ANY INCOMPLETE JUSTIFICATION FORM WILL BE SENT BACK TO DLAE



Memorandum

6.3

1111 Broadway, Suite 800, Oakland, CA 94607

• PH: (510) 208-7400

• www.AlamedaCTC.org

DATE: January 30, 2014

SUBJECT: California Transportation Commission January 2014 Meeting Summary

RECOMMENDATION: Receive an update on the January 2014 CTC Meeting.

Summary

The January 2014 California Transportation Commission (CTC) meeting was held in Sacramento, CA. Detailed below is a summary of the two (2) agenda items of significance pertaining to Projects/Programs within Alameda County that were considered at the January 2014 CTC meeting.

Background

The California Transportation Commission is responsible for programming and allocating funds for the construction of highway, passenger rail, and transit improvements throughout California. The CTC consists of eleven voting members and two non-voting ex-officio members. The San Francisco Bay Area has three (3) CTC members residing in its geographic area: Bob Alvarado, Jim Ghielmetti and Carl Guardino.

Detailed below is a summary of the two (2) agenda items of significance pertaining to Projects / Programs within Alameda County that were considered at the January 29, 2014 CTC meeting.

1. 2014 Active Transportation Program

On September 26, 2013, the Governor signed legislation creating the Active Transportation Program (Senate Bill 99, Chapter 359 and Assembly Bill 101, Chapter 354). This legislation required the CTC, in consultation with an Active Transportation Program Workgroup, to develop program guidelines by March 26, 2014.

As a first step in the development of guidelines, Commission staff conducted a series of workgroup meetings, open to the public, to solicit input on key issues. Having gathered this input, staff developed the preliminary draft guidelines as a basis for continuing workgroup discussions.

The CTC approved submittal of the 2014 Active Transportation Program Draft Guidelines to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee.

Outcome: Commission will consider Final ATP Program Guidelines on March 20, 2014.

2. State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP) / Trade Corridor Improvement Fund (TCIF)/ I-880 North Safety and Operational Improvements at 23rd 29th Avenues Project

The CTC approved a 6 month construction contract award extension for the I-880 North Safety and Operational Improvements at 23rd 29th Avenues Project. The Project was advertised on September 30, 2013. Bid opening date is scheduled for February 5, 2014. Bid opening was delayed due to Federal Authorization to Proceed (E-76), which has taken longer than expected.

Outcome: This time extension will allow the Department sufficient time to open and analyze bids and award the Construction contract.

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact.

Staff Contact

[Matt Todd](#), Principal Transportation Engineer

[Vivek Bhat](#), Senior Transportation Engineer



AGENDA

Topic	Estimated Time
1. Introductions (<i>Jean Higaki, PDWG Chair</i>)	10 min
2. Review of Working Group Minutes*	5 min
A. Joint Partnership Local Streets and Roads/ Programming and Delivery Working Group – December 5, 2013* (<i>Jean Higaki, PDWG Chair</i>)	
3. Standing/ Programming Updates:	
A. Federal Programs Delivery Update (STP/CMAQ, Bridge, Local Safety)* (<i>Marcella Aranda</i>)	10 min
▪ Inactive Obligations Update ** (<i>The current Quarterly Inactive Obligations listing is available online at: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/Inactiveprojects.htm.</i>)	
▪ Release of HSIP - Cycle 6 List of Approved Projects* (<i>On Thursday, November 14, 2013, Caltrans released the Final List of Approved Projects for the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) - Cycle 6. MPOs will be asked by the successful local agencies to amend these projects into their next FTIP amendments. Project sponsors are asked to enter their projects into FMS for monitoring purposes by February 19 2014. The revised Backup Lists for each MPO are available at: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/HSIP/FTIP_Info.html)</i>	
4. Federal/State Program Announcements:	
A. Caltrans/FHWA/CalRTPA Announcements (DLAWUA)* (<i>Memo Only</i>) (<i>Caltrans Division of Local Assistance has posted program updates/announcements to their website. Jurisdictions are encouraged to review the bulletins for program changes.</i>)	
i. Caltrans Local Assistance Federal-Aid Series Training Schedule (<i>Caltrans has posted its registration link and schedule for upcoming federal-aid series training sessions. The next Bay Area training is scheduled for June 2-6, 2014. http://www.cce.csus.edu/conferences/caltrans/localAssistance/training_upcTraining.cfm)</i>	
ii. Caltrans A&I Training* (<i>Caltrans A&I is conducting a training in Sacramento on Tuesday, January 28, 2014. http://localassistance.info/2013/12/16/upcoming-caltrans-ai-training/)</i>	
iii. FHWA Notice: Buy America Guidelines*	
iv. Governor's FY2014-15 Budget Proposal (<i>Rebecca Long</i>) (<i>The Governor's State budget proposal for FY 2014-15 is now available at: http://www.ebudget.ca.gov/)</i>	
5. Discussion Items:	
A. Revised Regional Project Delivery Policy Reso. 3606* (<i>Ross McKeown</i>)	15 min
B. Resolution 4035 : OBAG Cycle 2 Discussion (<i>Craig Goldblatt</i>)	20 min
C. Active Transportation Program (ATP)* (<i>Kenneth Kao</i>) (<i>The new Active Transportation Program has been posted to the Local Assistance website at: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/programInformation.htm)</i>	15 min

- i. ATP Workgroup Update
(Please visit to our website <http://www.catc.ca.gov/programs/ATP.htm> for information and updates about the workgroup meetings, including new meeting notices, meeting agendas, and prior meetings' notes)
 - D. 2014 STIP Update (Kenneth Kao) 10 min
 - E. Federal Efficiencies Subcommittee Status Update (Jean Higaki) 5 min
 - F. Presentation: MAP-21 NHS Asset Management and Performance Management NPRM* 35 min
(Steve Healow, FHWA/ Dave Vautin/ Melanie Choy)
(Status of U.S. DOT performance management rules are available online at:
<http://www.dot.gov/regulations/report-on-significant-rulemakings>)
 - G. 2014 LSRWG Work Plan Update (Seana Gause) 20 min
 - i. Statewide Needs Assessment Update (Theresa Romell)
- 6. Informational Items: ("Memo Only" unless otherwise noted)**
- A. TIP Update*
(The current TIP and subsequent TIP Revisions are available online at:
<http://www.mtc.ca.gov/funding/tip/2011/revisions.htm>)
 - B. PMP Certification Status*
(Current PMP Certification status is available online at: <http://www.mtcpms.org/ptap/cert.html>).
 - C. PTAP Update (Christina Hohorst) 5 min
 - D. Call for Nominations: Local Streets and Roads Project Awards*
(Nominations are **due no later than January 31, 2014** (electronic submittals only) for the Outstanding Local Streets and Roads Project Awards Program.)
 - E. ADA Ruling: Pavement Maintenance Strategies: 2014 CCSA Workshop*
 - F. 9th International Conference on Managing Pavement Assets - Abstracts **Due March 15***
 - G. 2014 Local Streets and Roads Working Group Meeting Calendar*
(The 2014 Local Streets and Roads Working Group meeting calendar is available online at:
http://www.mtc.ca.gov/meetings/schedule/2014_LSRWG_Tentative_Meeting_Schedule.pdf)
 - H. 2014 Programming and Delivery Working Group Meeting Calendar*
(The 2014 Programming and Delivery Working Group meeting calendar is available online at:
http://www.mtc.ca.gov/meetings/schedule/2014_PDWG_Tentative_Meeting_Schedule.pdf)
- 7. Recommended Agenda Items for Next Meeting: (All)** 5 min

The next Joint LSRPDWG meeting:

Thursday, February 27, 2014 (**NOTE CHANGE**)
9:30a – 12:30p, 1st Floor, Room 171
101-8th Street, Oakland 94607

* = Attachment in Packet ** = Handouts Available at Meeting

Contact Marcella Aranda at maranda@mtc.ca.gov if you have questions regarding this agenda.