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Mission Statement
The mission of the Alameda County Transportation Commission (Alameda CTC) is to plan, fund, and deliver transportation programs and projects that expand access and improve mobility to foster a vibrant and livable Alameda County.

Public Comments
Public comments are limited to 3 minutes. Items not on the agenda are covered during the Public Comment section of the meeting, and items specific to an agenda item are covered during that agenda item discussion. If you wish to make a comment, fill out a speaker card, hand it to the clerk of the Commission, and wait until the chair calls your name. When you are summoned, come to the microphone and give your name and comment.

Recording of Public Meetings
The executive director or designee may designate one or more locations from which members of the public may broadcast, photograph, video record, or tape record open and public meetings without causing a distraction. If the Commission or any committee reasonably finds that noise, illumination, or obstruction of view related to these activities would persistently disrupt the proceedings, these activities must be discontinued or restricted as determined by the Commission or such committee (CA Government Code Sections 54953.5-54953.6).

Reminder
Please turn off your cell phones during the meeting. Please do not wear scented products so individuals with environmental sensitivities may attend the meeting.

Glossary of Acronyms
A glossary that includes frequently used acronyms is available on the Alameda CTC website at www.AlamedaCTC.org/app_pages/view/8081.
Alameda CTC is accessible by multiple transportation modes. The office is conveniently located near the 12th Street/City Center BART station and many AC Transit bus lines. Bicycle parking is available on the street and in the BART station as well as in electronic lockers at 14th Street and Broadway near Frank Ogawa Plaza (requires purchase of key card from bikelink.org).

Garage parking is located beneath City Center, accessible via entrances on 14th Street between 1300 Clay Street and 505 14th Street buildings, or via 11th Street just past Clay Street. To plan your trip to Alameda CTC visit www.511.org.

Accessibility
Public meetings at Alameda CTC are wheelchair accessible under the Americans with Disabilities Act. Guide and assistance dogs are welcome. Call 510-893-3347 (Voice) or 510-834-6754 (TTD) five days in advance to request a sign-language interpreter.

Meeting Schedule
The Alameda CTC meeting calendar lists all public meetings and is available at www.AlamedaCTC.org/events/upcoming/now.

Paperless Policy
On March 28, 2013, the Alameda CTC Commission approved the implementation of paperless meeting packet distribution. Hard copies are available by request only. Agendas and all accompanying staff reports are available electronically on the Alameda CTC website at www.AlamedaCTC.org/events/month/now.

Connect with Alameda CTC
www.AlamedaCTC.org  facebook.com/AlamedaCTC
@AlamedaCTC  youtube.com/user/AlamedaCTC
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1. **Introductions/Roll Call**  
Arthur L. Dao called the meeting to order. The meeting began with introductions, and the chair confirmed a quorum. Representatives from all cities and agencies were present, except from the following: Altamont Corridor Express (ACE), Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), Bay Area Air Quality Management District (Air District), California Highway Patrol (CHP), Livermore Amador Valley Transit Authority (LAVTA), Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), Port of Oakland, Union City Transit, and San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation Authority (WETA).

2. **Public Comment**  
There were no public comments.

3. **Administration**  
**3.1. Approval of May 7, 2015 Minutes**  
Mike Tassano (Pleasanton) moved to approve the May 7, 2015 meeting minutes. Farid Javandel (Berkeley) seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously (ABAG, ACE, Air District, CHP, LAVTA, MTC, Port of Oakland, Union City Transit, and WETA were absent).

4. **Policy and Transportation Planning**  
**4.1. Alameda CTC’s FY2015-16 Comprehensive Investment Plan**  
Tess Lengyel and James O’Brien presented this agenda item. Tess informed the committee that the Comprehensive Investment Plan (CIP) is available on the Alameda CTC website. She explained that Alameda CTC has been developing the CIP since 2013 to integrate and streamline the planning and programming of all funding sources under the authority of Alameda CTC. Tess reviewed the following CIP milestones that the Commission adopted:

- March 2013 – Strategic planning and programming policy
- October 2014 – Five CIP policy principles
- December 2014 – CIP project selection methodology
- January 2015 – Project selection criteria and categories
- March 2015 – FY2015-16 Measure BB Two-year Allocation Plan, which included approval of the scoping funds
- May 2015 – Authorization to enter into Measure BB scoping agreements with jurisdictions

Tess also reviewed with the committee the CIP purpose, policy principles, the CIP components, and the funding sources incorporated in the CIP.

James covered the CIP summary of investments by fund type, fund source, mode of transportation, and project phase. He also discussed the strategic plan assumptions for Measure B, Measure BB, and the Vehicle Registration Fee.
Tess said this is the first full CIP, and it’s limited in its original scope. As more funds become available, the CIP will become more robust. She reviewed the CIP development timing which includes annual updates that coincide with yearly Alameda CTC budgetary processes, and biennial updates for enrolling new projects/programs from sponsors. She asked the committee to approve the FY2015-16 CIP which includes approximately $1.1 billion in programming from a variety of fund sources, and includes $478 million for the Two-year Allocation Plan, strategic plan assumptions, and programming policies.

Questions/feedback from the committee:

- Were new projects added since Alameda CTC presented/adopted the Measure BB Two-year Allocation Plan? James said that new projects were not added to the Measure BB Two-year Allocation plan. The funds were only Measure BB. The five-year CIP includes state, federal, and regional funds, as well as the direct local distribution amounts for all five years.
- In the PDF the tables are split over two pages. Are you able to put the tables back together? Yes, Tess said Alameda CTC will put an updated version on the website.
- Will project sponsors receive funding for projects not in the Countywide Transportation Plan (CTP) or in the Regional Transportation Plan? How will the strategic investments streamline applications for projects and for funding? Tess explained that this CIP links back to the 2012 CTP for projects. For future CIPs, the projects and programs in the CIP will link to the most current adopted CTP.

Farid Javandel (Berkeley) moved to approve this agenda item. Thomas Ruark (Union City) seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously (ABAG, ACE, Air District, CHP, LAVTA, MTC, Port of Oakland Union City Transit, and WETA were absent).

5. Programs/Projects/Monitoring

5.1. California Transportation Commission May 2015 Meeting Summary

Vivek Bhat stated that the May 2015 California Transportation Commission (CTC) meeting was held in Fresno, CA. He said five agenda items of significance pertaining to projects/programs within Alameda County were considered at the CTC meeting. Vivek noted that item 5, the 2014 Active Transportation Program (ATP) allocation for the City of Berkeley, was withdrawn and deferred to the June meeting.

5.2. Alameda County Federal Inactive Projects List: April 2015 Update

Vivek Bhat provided an update on the May 2015 federal inactive projects list. He encouraged committee members to stay current with their invoicing activity. Vivek reviewed the newest column in the inactive projects list with the committee, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) De-obligation.

6. Member Reports

6.1. Metropolitan Transportation Commission Local Streets and Roads Working Group

Vivek Bhat said that the Local Streets and Roads Working Group (LSRWG) met on May 14, 2015. He explained that the meeting was a Joint LSRWG and Federal Programming and Delivery Working Group meeting. Vivek explained the federal
Surface Transportation Program/Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (STP/CMAQ) obligation status reports in the agenda packet.

6.2. Other Reports
Vivek Bhat stated that the deadline for submitting the Cycle 2 ATP applications was June 1st. He requested the committee send copies of their application to his attention.

7. Adjournment and Next Meeting
The meeting adjourned at 2:00 p.m. The next meeting is:

Date/Time: Thursday, July 9, 2015 at 1:30 p.m.
Location: Alameda CTC Offices, 1111 Broadway, Suite 800, Oakland, CA 94607

Attested by:

___________________________
Angie Ayers,
Public Meeting Coordinator
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DATE: July 6, 2015

SUBJECT: Overview of 2016 Alameda Countywide Transportation Plan (CTP) and Approval of Vision and Goals

RECOMMENDATION: Approve the 2016 CTP Vision and Goals.

Summary

Alameda CTC is responsible for preparation and implementation of the Alameda Countywide Transportation Plan (CTP), a long-range planning and policy document that guides future transportation investments for all transportation modes and users in Alameda County. It is updated every four years. The existing CTP was adopted in 2012 and is currently being updated for adoption in June 2016.

The 2016 CTP Update process began with a Request for Proposal (RFP) release in January 2015. In April 2015, Alameda CTC awarded a contract, to complete the update to a consultant team led by Nelson\Nygaard Consulting Associates. The CTP process officially launched with release of the project/program application in early June 2015. Alameda CTC will be soliciting applications for projects and programs to include in the CTP until July 31.

This CTP update occurs simultaneously with the update of the Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy, known as Plan Bay Area, led by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) and the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG). The Plan Bay Area update, known as Plan Bay Area 2040, is already underway and scheduled to be adopted in the Spring of 2017. Since the CTP is the basis for and informs the Plan Bay Area regarding long term transportation improvements for Alameda County, Alameda CTC will actively participate in the Plan Bay Area 2040 development process and coordinate the CTP development with the Plan Bay Area 2040 development.

The first stage of the CTP update is to approve the CTP Vision and Goals. The vision and goals for the 2012 CTP were adopted after an extensive, several-month long process which included several rounds of input from the community, jurisdiction staff, policy makers, Alameda CTC committees, and the Commission. It is recommended that the 2012 CTP Vision and Goals be re-adopted for the 2016 CTP update without changes given the exhaustive nature of the last Vision/Goals development effort and the continuing relevance and applicability of the vision and goals.
Discussion

Alameda CTC develops and updates the Countywide Transportation Plan, the long range transportation planning and policy document for the County. This document establishes a vision for Alameda County’s multimodal transportation system to support the transportation needs of all users, develops a list of projects, programs and strategies to support the vision, inventories available funding and identifies gaps where funding and needs do not match and where additional sources of funding need to be secured. The existing CTP was adopted in 2012, and it was developed in conjunction with the development of the 2012 and 2014 Transportation Expenditure Plans.

State legislation mandates that the CTPs form the basis for the Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy and that the CTPs should consider the most recent RTP/SCS. Alameda CTC coordinated the 2012 CTP update with and provided input into the Plan Bay Area (RTP/SCS) development by MTC and ABAG that occurred during the same time. Both MTC and ABAG began the update process to the Plan Bay Area (Plan Bay Area 2040) recently. Additionally, MTC has updated the Guidelines for the Countywide Transportation Plans in September 2014 to reflect the new legislative requirements that connects the CTPs with the Plan Bay Area since the last update to the guidelines in 2000. As with the previous processes, the Alameda CTC will coordinate the 2016 CTP development process with the Plan Bay Area 2040 development and will ensure that the updated CTP conforms to the recently adopted guidelines for the CTP.

The 2016 CTP Update:

The 2016 CTP update will build on the work that was done for the 2012 CTP update, focusing on addressing the changes in the regulatory and financial environment to develop a strategy to guide the long term multimodal transportation improvements for all users in Alameda County. The update will coordinate with all internal planning efforts and existing resources. In that regard, to the extent possible, it will use the work from all the three ongoing Alameda CTC’s modal planning efforts, the Countywide Transit Plan, Countywide Multimodal Arterial Plan and Countywide Goods Movement Plan, including the adopted Countywide Bicycle Plan and Countywide Pedestrian Plan, and the Congestion Management Program.

The update will also include components to address climate change responding to the Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act (SB 375), land use and transportation integration with the Priority Development Areas (PDA) and Priority Conservation Areas (PCAs), and Complete Streets policies. A new requirement under the MTC Guidelines for the Countywide Transportation Plans is the implementation of an equity analysis as part of the plan. This has been incorporated into this 2016 CTP update. The proposed investment plan for the CTP will include performance based evaluation of projects and programs that will also build off of, to the extent possible, the performance evaluation work from the three modal plans.
CTP Vision and Goals

The Vision and Goals are the foundation of the CTP. One of the primary purposes of the CTP is to conduct performance-based evaluation to establish a priority list of projects and programs for funding and implementation in Alameda County. The performance measures used for this evaluation are grounded in the vision and goals to ensure that the evaluation process reflects the full range of Alameda CTC’s aspirations for the county’s transportation system.

For development of the 2012 CTP, Alameda CTC involved residents and groups representing seniors, people with disabilities, bicycle advocates, environmental, education and faith-based groups, businesses and local jurisdictions. Alameda CTC also worked with a Steering Committee, Community Advisory Working Group, and Technical Advisory Working Group. These committees included representatives from 15 local jurisdictions, six transit operators, Caltrans District 4, the Port of Oakland, MTC and other community and agency stakeholders and the public. All these groups were involved in developing a comprehensive vision statement and a set of goals that captures the broad array of needs that the county’s transportation system needs to meet.

Given the extensive process conducted in 2012, and the fact that the modal plans have each based their goals development on this adopted 2012 CTP vision and goals, staff recommends that the Commission simply reaffirm and approve the 2012 CTP vision and goals for the 2016 CTP.

2012 CTP VISION AND GOALS

Alameda County will be served by a premier transportation system that supports a vibrant and livable Alameda County through a connected and integrated multimodal transportation system promoting sustainability, access, transit operations, public health and economic opportunities.

Our vision recognizes the need to maintain and operate our existing transportation infrastructure and services while developing new investments that are targeted, effective, financially sound and supported by appropriate land uses. Mobility in Alameda County will be guided by transparent decision making and measurable performance indicators and will be supported by these goals:

Our transportation system will be:

- **Multimodal**
- **Accessible, Affordable and Equitable** for people of all ages, incomes, abilities and geographies
- **Integrated** with land use patterns and local decision-making
- **Connected** across the county, within and across the network of streets, highways and transit, bicycle and pedestrian routes
- **Reliable and Efficient**
• Cost Effective
• Well Maintained
• Safe
• Supportive of a Healthy and Clean Environment

**CTP development process and schedule**

Similar to the 2012 CTP development, the 2016 CTP update will be a transparent process, with Alameda CTC closely working with the jurisdictions, transit agencies, and key stakeholders including advocacy groups. Public outreach for the Plan will be coordinated closely with other outreach efforts that are underway at the agency to ensure strategic use of stakeholders time; CTP input will be sought at strategic points throughout the Plan development process. The 2016 CTP is expected to be adopted in June 2016.

**Plan Bay Area 2040 Development**

MTC and ABAG began the Plan Bay Area 2040 development at the end of 2014 with the release of the Public Participation Plan. The RTP call for projects was released in May 2015 and will be open through the summer. Alameda CTC must ensure that the draft list of projects/programs for Alameda County is submitted no later than September 30, 2015 and the final Commission-resolution adopting a list by October 31, 2015.

The Plan Bay Area 2040 development will be a focused update using the overall framework of the Plan Bay Area adopted in 2013. It will include emphasis on state of good repair and maintaining performance framework, focus on new initiatives and projects, and greater integration of other regional initiatives, including goods movement.

**Fiscal Impact:** There is no fiscal impact.

**Staff Contact**

Tess Lengyel, Deputy Director of Planning and Policy  
Saravana Suthanthira, Senior Transportation Planner
DATE:       July 6, 2015

SUBJECT:   Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) FY 2015-16 Program Update

RECOMMENDATION: Receive an update on the TFCA FY 2015-16 applications received to date.

Summary

Applications for FY 2015-16 Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) County Program Manager funding were due on June 19, 2015. Attachment A provides a summary of the applications received to date. Of the $2.038 million of TFCA funding available for projects, $1.9 million has been requested leaving an available balance of $65,000. Staff is currently evaluating the applications received and will continue to work with ACTAC Representatives to identify potential projects until all available funds are programmed. A FY 2015-16 program recommendation is scheduled to be brought to the Commission in September or October 2015.

Background

TFCA funding is generated by a four dollar vehicle registration fee collected by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (Air District). Eligible projects are to result in the reduction of motor vehicle emissions and are to achieve “surplus” emission reductions beyond what is currently required through regulations, ordinances, contracts, or other legally binding obligations. Projects typically funded with TFCA include shuttles, bicycle lanes and lockers, signal timing and trip reduction programs. As the TFCA Program Manager for Alameda County, the Alameda CTC is responsible for annually programming 40 percent of the four dollar vehicle registration fee that is collected in Alameda County for this program. Five percent of new revenue is set aside for the Alameda CTC’s administration of the TFCA program. Per the Alameda CTC TFCA Guidelines, 70 percent of the available funds are to be allocated to the cities/county based on population, with a minimum of $10,000 to each jurisdiction. The remaining 30 percent of funds are to be allocated to transit-related projects on a discretionary basis.

A jurisdiction may borrow against its projected future share in order to receive more funds in the current year, which can help facilitate the required annual programming of all available funds. Projects proposed for TFCA funding are required to meet the eligibility and cost-effectiveness requirements of the TFCA program.
**Next Steps**

The Alameda CTC’s TFCA Expenditure Plan for FY 2015-16 was approved by the Air District Board on May 6, 2015. The Alameda CTC has until November 6, 2015, six months from the May approval date, to submit a Commission-approved program of projects. After this six-month period, any funds that remain un-programmed by the Commission may be programmed directly by the Air District. Staff is currently evaluating the applications received for TFCA program eligibility and cost-effectiveness. Over the next few months, Staff will continue to work with ACTAC Representatives to identify and evaluate potential projects until all available funds are programmed. A FY 2015-16 program recommendation is scheduled to be brought to the Commission in September or October 2015.

**Fiscal Impact:** TFCA funding is made available by the Air District. Costs associated with TFCA projects and the Alameda CTC’s administration of the TFCA program are included in the Alameda CTC’s 2015-16 budget.

**Attachments**

A. FY 2015-16 TFCA Application Summary

**Staff Contacts**

Jacki Taylor, Program Analyst
### 70% Cities/County Share

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Total Project Cost</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
<th>TFCA Share (Balanced FY15-16)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>East Castro Valley Boulevard Class II Bicycle Lanes</td>
<td>Install Class II bike lanes on East Castro Valley Boulevard from Five Canyons Parkway to Villareal Drive, in Castro Valley.</td>
<td>$362,000</td>
<td>$338,000</td>
<td>$338,915</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>Berkeley Citywide Bicycle Parking Program</td>
<td>Installation of 160 bike racks and 12 bike corrals in Berkeley that will accommodate a total of 534 bikes. Project includes purchase and installation of bike racks and mounting hardware, as well as installation of bollards, striping, signage and curb stops for the 12 bicycle corrals.</td>
<td>$137,000</td>
<td>$137,000</td>
<td>$45,503</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>Oakland Broadway 'B' Shuttle Peak Hour Operations</td>
<td>The free Broadway Shuttle (the “B”) operates between the Jack London Oakland Amtrak Station and Grand Avenue at 11-16 minute frequencies. The TFCA request is to supplement a current regional TFCA grant for eligible weekday, peak-hour service, 7am-10am and 3pm-7pm, for FY 2015-16.</td>
<td>$925,980</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$56,804</td>
<td>Requesting an exception to Air District TFCA CPM Policy 28.F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleasanton</td>
<td>Pleasanton Trip Reduction Program</td>
<td>The program consists of a suite of employer-based, residential-based and school-based programs that promote trip reduction and commute alternatives. Request is for FYs 2015-16 and 2016-17 program operations.</td>
<td>$92,000</td>
<td>$44,000</td>
<td>$43,631</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Leandro</td>
<td>Hesperian Arterial Management</td>
<td>The project will install adaptive traffic control system at five signalized intersections along Hesperian Blvd between Ruth Court/Bayfair Drive and East 14th Street/Bancroft Ave &amp; East 14th St/150th Ave. Project coordinated with AC Transit’s Line 97 Corridor Improvements project between Bay Fair BART Station and Hayward BART Station.</td>
<td>$302,000</td>
<td>$302,000</td>
<td>289,228</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Leandro</td>
<td>LINKS</td>
<td>LINKS Shuttle operates between San Leandro BART and West San Leandro every 20 minutes, Monday through Friday, during peak commute hours from 5:45am to 9:45am and 3:00pm to 7:00pm. The route was recently revised into separate North and South loops. Request is for FYs 2015-16 and 2016-17 program operations.</td>
<td>$1,334,000</td>
<td>$74,000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subtotal Cities/County (70%) Requested** $925,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Total Project Cost</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
<th>TFCA Share (Balanced FY15-16)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AC Transit</td>
<td>Line 97 Corridor Improvements</td>
<td>Project includes implementing segments of Adaptive Traffic Control Systems (ATCS), corridor-wide Transit Signal Priority (TSP) at 61 intersections, signal coordination, relocation of key bus stops from near side to far side, and real-time information. Improvements along a 13-mile corridor, from Bayfair BART to Union City BART, along (1) Hesperian Boulevard in San Leandro, unincorporated Alameda County, and Hayward; and (2) Union City Boulevard, Alvarado-Niles Road and Decoto Road in Union City.</td>
<td>$6,188,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Project partners are AC Transit, San Leandro, Union City, Hayward, and Alameda County. Funds for signal timing may come from partner TFCA shares.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BART</td>
<td>West Oakland Station Bike Lockers</td>
<td>The project will install a total of 110 new bike parking spaces at the West Oakland BART Station. A new bike locker plaza at the West Oakland station near the station’s fare gates will provide 88 shared use electronic BikeLink locker spaces. In addition to the new lockers, bike racks located on the main plaza will be reconfigured and racks will be added to accommodate 22 additional bikes.</td>
<td>$417,000</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSU East Bay</td>
<td>CSUEB/Hayward BART - 2nd Shuttle Operations</td>
<td>Service provides a second free shuttle between California State University East Bay campus and the Hayward BART Station, 7am - 7 pm, M-F. Request is for FYs 2015-16 and 2016-17 operations.</td>
<td>$267,378</td>
<td>$123,000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda CTC</td>
<td>Guaranteed Ride Home and Transportation Demand Management Information Services</td>
<td>The Alameda County Guaranteed Ride Home Program (GRH) is a countywide program that provides a “guaranteed ride home” to program registrants in case of an emergency when they use alternative modes to commute to work in Alameda County. The Transportation Demand Management (TDM) information program promotes commute alternatives. Request is for FYs 2015-16 and 2016-17 program operations.</td>
<td>$270,000</td>
<td>$270,000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAVTA</td>
<td>LAVTA Nix 30 BRT Operations</td>
<td>LAVTA Nix 30 Rapid provides feeder service for key commute areas in Livermore, Dublin and Pleasanton. Service area includes: Livermore ACE rail station, Dublin/Pleasanton BART Station, Lawrence Livermore and Sandia National Labs, and other employment centers. Request is for FYs 2015-16 and 2016-17 Operations.</td>
<td>$6,520,000</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subtotal Transit Discretionary (30%) Requested** $1,048,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Total Project Cost</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
<th>TFCA Share (Balanced FY15-16)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,038,352</td>
<td>$1,973,000</td>
<td>$65,352</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R:\AlaCTC_Meetings\Community_TACs\ACTAC\20150709\5.1_TFCA_15_16_Applications\5.1A_TFCA_FY1516_Application_Summary_ACTAC
This page intentionally left blank
DATE: July 6, 2015

SUBJECT: Draft FY 2015-16 Annual Obligation Plan


Summary

Under the revised Regional Project Delivery Policy Guidance (MTC Resolution 3606), the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is to develop the FY 2015-16 Annual Obligation Plan in coordination with the Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) and project sponsors at the beginning of each federal fiscal year. This year the Draft FY 2015-16 Obligation Plan includes all Surface Transportation Program (STP), Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program and Local Safety Program (HSIP and SRTS) funds.

MTC is requesting project sponsors to review and confirm the project listings, including program year and also to provide certain schedule information.

Background

FY 2015-16 STP/CMAQ Obligation Plan (Attachment A)

For STP/CMAQ funded projects, project sponsors are requested to review and confirm that the projects in the attached STP/CMAQ listing are correctly programmed. Per the Regional Project Delivery Policy (Resolution 3606, Revised), for STP/CMAQ funds listed in FY 2015-16 of the TIP, the project sponsor must submit a complete Request For Authorization (RFA) or FTA transfer request to Caltrans Local Assistance by November 1, 2015 and receive the federal authorization to proceed (E-76 approval) or transfer to FTA by January 31, 2016. Project funding that cannot meet these deadlines should be moved to FY 2016-17.
FY 15/16 Federal Local Safety Programs (HSIP and SRTS) Obligation Plan (Attachment B)

For the federal local safety programs (HSIP and SRTS), project sponsors are requested to review and confirm that the projects in the attached Local Safety Programs list are listed correctly and also confirm that the listed projects can meet the deadlines shown.

Additional program status and delivery information is listed on Caltrans Safety Programs Delivery Status Reports resource page: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/HSIP/delivery_status.htm.

Beginning this year, MTC is also requesting project sponsors to include the following schedule information for each project listed in the attachments:

1. Date (or anticipated date) of the field review
2. Number of months allotted for the completion of cultural resource studies in the project schedule

Per MTC’s direction, if this information is not provided for a project, that project will not be included in the obligation plan.

Project sponsors are requested to submit their comments, including edits and schedule information to Vivek Bhat, email: vbhat@alamedactc.org, no later than Friday July 10, 2015.

**Fiscal Impact:** There is no fiscal impact.

**Attachments**

A. Draft FY2014-15 to FY2016-17 STP/CMQ Obligation Plan
B. Draft FY 2015-16 Local Safety Programs Obligation Plan

**Staff Contact**

James O’Brien, Interim Deputy Director of Programming and Allocations
Vivek Bhat, Senior Transportation Engineer
## Alameda County - Draft FY 2015-16 Federal STP-CMAQ Obligation Plan

### For purposes of developing the draft FY 2015-16 Annual Obligation Plan

**FFY 2015-16 Deadline: RFA Submittal - November 1, 2015; Obligation (E-76) Transfer to FTA - January 31, 2016**

**June 28, 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>TIP ID</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund Code</th>
<th>Total Programmed</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alameda AC Transit</td>
<td>AC Transit: East Bay Bus Rapid Transit</td>
<td>ALA150014</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>CMAQ-T4-2-TPI-REG</td>
<td>$10,054,000</td>
<td>$1,185,376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda AC Transit</td>
<td>AC Transit: South County Corridors</td>
<td>ALA150010</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>CMAQ-T4-2-TPI-REG</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda AC Transit</td>
<td>AC Transit: South County Corridors</td>
<td>ALA150020</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>CMAQ-T4-2-TPI-REG</td>
<td>$4,500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Alameda City Complete Streets</td>
<td>ALA130022</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$505,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Alameda Co-Various Streets and Roads Preservation</td>
<td>ALA130018</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$1,800,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>ALA050035</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>CMAQ-T4-1-LIFE</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>ALA130035</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-2-PCA-REG</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>ALA130028</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$2,156,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>ALA130026</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$2,777,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Dublin</td>
<td>ALA130012</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$470,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Emeryville</td>
<td>ALA130021</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Fremont</td>
<td>ALA130025</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$1,229,258</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Hayward</td>
<td>ALA130013</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$1,265,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Hayward</td>
<td>ALA150022</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>CMAQ-T4-1-CCI</td>
<td>$200,480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>LAVTA</td>
<td>ALA150019</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>CMAQ-T4-2-TPI-REG</td>
<td>$1,009,440</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Livermore</td>
<td>ALA130011</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-1-TLC-REG</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>MTC</td>
<td>ALA090030</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-2-OBAG-PL</td>
<td>$1,034,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Newark</td>
<td>ALA130027</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$454,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>ALA130015</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$571,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>ALA130016</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$3,384,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>ALA130023</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>CMAQ-T4-2-CIP-REG</td>
<td>$320,526</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>ALA130024</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>CMAQ-T4-1-TLC-REG</td>
<td>$2,200,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>ALA130021</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>CMAQ-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$2,554,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>ALA130024</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$4,446,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>ALA130014</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>CMAQ-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$1,288,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>ALA130017</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>CMAQ-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$5,432,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Piedmont</td>
<td>ALA130019</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$129,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Pleasanton</td>
<td>ALA130009</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$832,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>San Leandro</td>
<td>ALA130008</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>STP-T4-2-OBAG</td>
<td>$804,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Number of Months Allocated for Completion of Cultural Resource**

**Date or Anticipated Date of Review**

**COMMENTS**
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### Alameda County - Draft FFY 2015-16 Annual Obligation Plan listing for Local Safety Programs

#### Deadlines
- **Caltrans RFA: Past Due Nov 01, 2015**
- **May 31, 2016**
- **Jun 30, 2016**
- **Aug 27, 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Fund Code</th>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Appn</th>
<th>Prog</th>
<th>Fund No.</th>
<th>FFY 2015-16</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Obligation Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>Pedestrian Imp, Ala Co (HSIP6-04-001)</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>HSIP-T4-6</td>
<td>HSIP</td>
<td>5933129</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>HSIP6-04-001</td>
<td>$847,300</td>
<td>Aug 27, 2016</td>
<td>$847,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayward</td>
<td>Install Traffic Signals, Mission/Blanche</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>HSIP-T4-6</td>
<td>HSIP</td>
<td>7300275</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>HSIP6-04-006</td>
<td>$396,000</td>
<td>Aug 27, 2016</td>
<td>$396,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>HSIP5-04-011 W. MacArthur Blvd</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>HSIP-T4-5</td>
<td>HSIP</td>
<td>5012117</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>HSIP5-04-011</td>
<td>$574,500</td>
<td>Nov 23, 2015</td>
<td>$574,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>HSIP-04-012 98th Ave Corridor</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>HSIP-T4-5</td>
<td>HSIP</td>
<td>5012118</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>HSIP-04-012</td>
<td>$393,600</td>
<td>Nov 23, 2015</td>
<td>$393,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>HSIP5-04-012 98th Ave Corridor</td>
<td>RDW</td>
<td>HSIP-T4-5</td>
<td>HSIP</td>
<td>5012118</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>HSIP5-04-012</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>Nov 23, 2015</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>HSIP-04-013 Market St (45th Ave)</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>HSIP-T4-5</td>
<td>HSIP</td>
<td>5012119</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>HSIP-04-013</td>
<td>$540,400</td>
<td>Nov 23, 2015</td>
<td>$540,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>Grand Ave Pedestrian Treatments</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>HSIP-T4-6</td>
<td>HSIP</td>
<td>5012126</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>HSIP6-04-011</td>
<td>$479,300</td>
<td>Sep 27, 2016</td>
<td>$479,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Leandro</td>
<td>Bancroft Ave/Sybil Ave Intersection</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>HSIP-T4-5</td>
<td>HSIP</td>
<td>5041042</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>HSIP5-04-019</td>
<td>$379,500</td>
<td>Nov 23, 2015</td>
<td>$379,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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DATE: July 6, 2015

SUBJECT: Cycle 2 Active Transportation Program – Summary of Applications

RECOMMENDATION: Receive an update on Cycle 2 Active Transportation Program.

Summary

The Active Transportation Program (ATP), as articulated in SB 99 and AB 101, was signed into law on September 26, 2013. It replaced the existing system of small dedicated grant programs, which funded Safe Routes to Schools, bicycle programs, and Recreational Trails. The ATP Cycle 2 call for projects for the statewide and regional funds was released on March 26, 2015 with applications due on June 1, 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Programming Agency</th>
<th>Amount Available this Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statewide Competitive ATP</td>
<td>CTC, Caltrans</td>
<td>$180 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional ATP</td>
<td>MTC</td>
<td>$30 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The California Transportation Commission (CTC) received approximately 615 applications requesting approximately $1 Billion of ATP cycle 2 funds under the statewide competitive program. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) received 107 applications, from jurisdictions across the Bay Area region, requesting approximately $209 million regional ATP funds.

A summary of applications submitted by Alameda County jurisdictions is listed on Attachment A.

ATP Cycle 2 Application log for the Statewide Program can be accessed by visiting: [http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/atp/cycle-2.html](http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/atp/cycle-2.html)

ATP Cycle 2 Application log for MTC Regional Program is available at: [http://mtc.ca.gov/funding/ATP/](http://mtc.ca.gov/funding/ATP/)

CTC is scheduled to adopt the Statewide program in October 2015 and the Regional program in December 2015.
Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact.

Attachments

A. ATP Cycle 2 - Alameda County Jurisdictions’ Application Summary

Staff Contact

James O’Brien, Interim Deputy Director of Programming and Allocations
Vivek Bhat, Senior Transportation Engineer
### ATP CYCLE 2 - SUMMARY OF APPLICATIONS

#### ALAMEDA COUNTY JURISDICTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref #</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Applied for State funds</th>
<th>Applied for Regional funds</th>
<th>ATP Amount ($ X 1,000)</th>
<th>Total Project Cost ($ X 1,000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Alameda CTC</td>
<td>East Bay Greenway</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>4,125</td>
<td>145,872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>Castro Valley Elementary SRTS</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>Royal Ave SRTS</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>Somerset Ave School Corridor SRTS</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>3,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>Proctor ES SRTS</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>6,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>D St SRTS</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>5,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>CVHS SRTS</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>2,175</td>
<td>2,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>Creekside MS SRTS</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>Stanton ES SRTS</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2,349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>Heyer Ave School Corridor SRTS</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>1,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Clement Ave Complete Streets</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>5,018</td>
<td>5,783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>Encinal HS Intersection Safety Improv</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>Oxford/Jefferson ES SRTS</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>9th St Bicycle Blvd Ext Pathway Ph II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>Sacramento St Pedestrian Improvement</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1,678</td>
<td>1,766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>San Pablo Ave Pedestrian Improvements</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>University Ave Pedestrian Improvements</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>John Muir ES SRTS</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Emeryville</td>
<td>South Bayfront Bike/Ped Bridge</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>19,412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Fremont</td>
<td>Country Drive Complete Streets Project</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1,931</td>
<td>19,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Fremont</td>
<td>Dusterberry Way Complete Streets Project</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2,512</td>
<td>2,955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Fremont</td>
<td>Pedestrian &amp; Bicycle Improvements at Niles Elementary</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Fremont</td>
<td>Civic Center Drive Pedestrian &amp; Bike Streetscape Improvements</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2,268</td>
<td>2,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Hayward</td>
<td>Tennyson Bike/Ped Bridge</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1,161</td>
<td>1,161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>Telegraph Ave Complete Streets</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>4,554</td>
<td>4,914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>Park Blvd SRTS</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1,448</td>
<td>1,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>27th St Gateway</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>2,913</td>
<td>3,293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>19th St BART to Lake Merritt Urban Greenway</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>4,583</td>
<td>4,683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Piedmont</td>
<td>Ped Safety &amp; Bike Lane</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>3,062</td>
<td>3,456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** 47,545 229,683
DATE:  July 6, 2015

SUBJECT:  California Transportation Commission June 2015 Meeting Summary

RECOMMENDATION:  Receive an update on the June 2015 California Transportation Commission Meeting.

Summary

The June 2015 California Transportation Commission (CTC) meeting was held in Sacramento. Detailed below is a summary of the five (5) agenda items of significance pertaining to Projects/Programs within Alameda County that were considered at the meeting.

Background

The CTC is responsible for programming and allocating funds for the construction of highway, passenger rail, and transit improvements throughout California. The CTC consists of eleven voting members and two non-voting ex-officio members. The San Francisco Bay Area has three CTC members residing in its geographic area: Bob Alvarado, Jim Ghielmetti, and Carl Guardino.

Detailed below is a summary of the five agenda items of significance pertaining to Projects / Programs within Alameda County that were considered at the June 2015 CTC meeting (Attachment A).

1. 2016 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) – Draft Fund Estimate and Guidelines

CTC approved the draft 2016 STIP Fund Estimate and Program Guidelines. The draft Fund Estimate shows an estimated $32 million in available new capacity statewide over the 2016 STIP period (FY 16-17 through FY 20-21). This greatly reduced amount compares to $1.26 billion in available new capacity for the 2014 STIP. Further, due to the reduction of capacity in the first three years of the STIP, currently programmed projects may also be delayed to the last two years of the STIP. The CTC is currently scheduled to hold a STIP Workshop on July 23, 2015 and adopt the Fund Estimate and Guidelines at its August 2015 meeting.
2. **State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) / Downtown Berkeley BART Plaza and Transit Area Improvements project**

CTC approved reprogramming $3,726,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) construction funds from the Downtown Berkeley BART Plaza and Transit Area Improvements project to the BART Station Modernization Program project.

The Downtown Berkeley BART Plaza and Transit project is currently programmed for $3,726,000 in RIP construction in FY 2016-17. In order to deliver this project early, BART is proposing to replace $3,726,000 in RIP construction funds with an equal amount of Proposition 1B Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, Service Enhancement (PTMISEA) funds from the BART Station Modernization Program project.

Outcome: Project delivery for the Downtown Berkeley BART Plaza and Transit project can be implemented beginning FY 2015-16.

3. **2014 Active Transportation Program (ATP) Cycle 1**

CTC approved de-programming $7,713,000 in regional ATP funds for the Bay Area Bike Share Expansion Project. MTC also has $3,503,000 currently unprogrammed regional ATP cycle 1 funds as a result of deprogramming the Santa Rosa Jennings Avenue Railroad Crossing project. CTC also approved reprogramming $11,216,000 to new ATP projects on MTC’s contingency list.

Outcome: Alameda County jurisdictions receiving reprogrammed ATP cycle 1 funds include:
- City of Oakland Improvements for Safe Routes to School Project ($1,236,000);
- City of Oakland High Street/ Courtland Avenue – Ygnacio Avenue Intersection Improvements Project ($1,128,000);
- Alameda County Ashland Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Safe Routes to School Project ($708,000)

4. **2014 ATP / City of Berkeley Safe Routes to School project**

CTC approved the allocation of $82,000 ATP funds for the Plans, Specifications and Estimates (PS&E) phase of the City of Berkeley Safe Routes to School project (LeConte Elementary).

Outcome: Allocation will fund the PS&E phase activities of the project.

5. **State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) / East Bay Bus Rapid Transit Project**

CTC approved the allocation of $7,995,000 STIP funds for the Construction phase of the East Bay Bus Rapid Transit project.

Outcome: The first two phases of construction were awarded in December 2014 and January 2015; they will relocate utility infrastructure and construct parking lots and intersection improvements related to the project. The third phase of construction will implement all the major portions of the BRT project and is planned to be awarded summer 2015.
Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact.

Attachments
A. June 2015 CTC Meeting summary for Alameda County Project / Programs

Staff Contact
James O’Brien, Interim Deputy Director of Programming and Projects
Vivek Bhat, Senior Transportation Engineer
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Program / Project</th>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>CTC Action / Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caltrans</td>
<td>2016 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Draft Fund Estimate and Guidelines</td>
<td>Approve 2016 STIP Draft Fund Estimate and Guidelines.</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BART</td>
<td>STIP / Downtown Berkeley BART Plaza and Transit Area Improvements project</td>
<td>Approve reprogramming $3,726,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) construction funds from the Downtown Berkeley BART Plaza and Transit Area Improvements project to the BART Station Modernization Program project</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caltrans</td>
<td>2014 Active Transportation Program (ATP)</td>
<td>Approve reprogramming $11,216,000 of regional ATP cycle 1 funds to new ATP projects on MTC’s contingency list</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Berkeley</td>
<td>2014 ATP / City of Berkeley Safe Routes to School project</td>
<td>Approve allocation of $82,000 ATP funds for the Plans, Specifications and Estimates (PS&amp;E) phase of the City of Berkeley Safe Routes to School project (LeConte Elementary)</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC Transit</td>
<td>STIP/ East Bay Bus Rapid Transit Project</td>
<td>Approve allocation of $7,995,000 STIP funds for the Construction phase of the East Bay Bus Rapid Transit project</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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DATE: July 6, 2015

SUBJECT: Alameda County Federal Inactive Projects List: June 2015 Update


Summary

Federal regulations require that agencies receiving federal funds invoice against their obligations at least once every six months. Projects that do not have invoicing activity over a six month period are placed on the Inactive Obligation list, and those projects are at risk of deobligation of the project’s federal funds unless Caltrans and the Federal Highways Administration (FHWA) receive an invoice. Caltrans is tracking inactive obligations, and updating a list of inactive projects every week. If Caltrans and FHWA do not receive adequate invoicing or justification for the project’s inactivity, the project may be deobligated.

Background

In response to FHWA’s new guidance for processing Inactive Obligations, Caltrans developed new guidelines for managing federal inactive obligations. The new guidelines treat all federal-aid as well as the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) inactive projects equally. In order to manage changes more proactively Caltrans changed the management of “inactive projects” as follows:

- If the Department does not receive an invoice for more than six months, the project will be deemed “inactive” and posted on the Department’s website. Local Agencies will be notified the first time projects are posted.
- If the Department does not receive an invoice within the following six months (12 months without invoicing), the Department will deobligate the unexpended balances.
- It is the responsibility of the Local Agencies to work in collaboration with their respective District Local Assistance Engineer’s to ensure their projects are removed from the inactive list to avoid deobligation.
- The Inactive project listing is posted at the following website and will be updated weekly: [http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/Inactiveprojects.htm](http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/Inactiveprojects.htm)
**Fiscal Impact:** There is no fiscal impact.

**Attachments**

A. Alameda County List of Federal Inactive Projects Report Dated 06/18/15

**Staff Contact**

[James O’Brien](mailto:James.O'Brien@ctclongterm.com), Interim Deputy Director of Programming and Allocations

[Vivek Bhat](mailto:Vivek.Bhat@ctclongterm.com), Senior Transportation Engineer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Agency/District Action Required</th>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Authorization Date</th>
<th>Last Expenditure Date</th>
<th>Last Action Date</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>Federal Funds</th>
<th>Expenditure Amt</th>
<th>Unexpended Balance</th>
<th>FHWA Deobligation Deadline (12 months after last expenditure)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5012126</td>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Submit invoice to District by 08/20/2015</td>
<td>HS1P</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>SEVEN BLOCK AREA OF GRAND AVE. FROM PARK VIEW TO EUCLID, UPGRADE CROSSWALKS: SIGNING, UPGRADE PEDESTRIAN CROSSWALKS</td>
<td>8/27/2014</td>
<td>9/2/2014</td>
<td>115,800.00</td>
<td>104,200.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>104,200.00</td>
<td>9/2/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5012129</td>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Submit invoice to District by 08/20/2015</td>
<td>HS1P</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>9TH ST/MADISON, 8TH ST/JACSON, 8TH ST/OAK ST, 7TH ST/MADISON, UPGRADE TRAFFIC SIGNALS</td>
<td>9/2/2014</td>
<td>9/2/2014</td>
<td>74,000.00</td>
<td>58,200.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>58,200.00</td>
<td>9/2/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5014038</td>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Submit invoice to District by 08/20/2015</td>
<td>HS1P</td>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td>PARK STREET, PARK STREET DRAW BRIDGE TO ENCINAL AVE, INSTALL LEFT TURN LANES PHASE, UPGRADE SIGNALS</td>
<td>1/18/2012</td>
<td>8/12/2014</td>
<td>166,250.00</td>
<td>126,350.00</td>
<td>15,686.52</td>
<td>110,663.48</td>
<td>8/12/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5041042</td>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Submit invoice to District by 08/20/2015</td>
<td>HS1P</td>
<td>San Leandro</td>
<td>BANCROFT AVE. / SYBIL AVE., UPGRADE TRAFFIC SIGNALS</td>
<td>7/16/2013</td>
<td>9/2/2014</td>
<td>77,000.00</td>
<td>69,300.00</td>
<td>2,799.49</td>
<td>66,500.51</td>
<td>9/2/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5050440</td>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Submit invoice to District by 08/20/2015</td>
<td>HS1P</td>
<td>Hayward</td>
<td>WEST &quot;A&quot; STREET: HATHAWAY AVE TO S GARDEN AVE, CONSTRUCT MEDIANS, INSTALL FLASHING DEVICES</td>
<td>2/18/2014</td>
<td>9/11/2014</td>
<td>151,957.30</td>
<td>258,626.00</td>
<td>161,000.00</td>
<td>17,301.63</td>
<td>143,698.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5050041</td>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Submit invoice to District by 08/20/2015</td>
<td>ST1P</td>
<td>Hayward</td>
<td>INDUSTRIAL BLVD. - CLAWITER RD. TO 659 FT. SOUTH OF DEPOT RD., PAVEMENT REHABILITATION</td>
<td>1/23/2014</td>
<td>3/26/2015</td>
<td>1,556,700.00</td>
<td>1,335,000.00</td>
<td>15,624.96</td>
<td>1,319,375.04</td>
<td>7/28/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5106008</td>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Invoice under review by Caltrans. Monitor for progress.</td>
<td>ST1P</td>
<td>Emeryville</td>
<td>SAN PABLO AVE (SR 123) BETWEEN 43RD &amp; 47TH AVE., PEDESTRIAN WALKWAY</td>
<td>5/4/2012</td>
<td>8/12/2014</td>
<td>617,290.00</td>
<td>617,290.00</td>
<td>48,370.23</td>
<td>568,919.77</td>
<td>8/12/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5354036</td>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Submit invoice to District by 08/20/2015</td>
<td>ST1P</td>
<td>Union City</td>
<td>WHIPPLE ROAD- AMARAL ST. TO IVYACA ST., PAVEMENT REHABILITATION</td>
<td>11/27/2013</td>
<td>2/11/2015</td>
<td>1,015,273.00</td>
<td>669,000.00</td>
<td>9,045.30</td>
<td>659,954.70</td>
<td>8/26/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5933113</td>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Final Invoice under review by Caltrans. Monitor for progress.</td>
<td>H1UL</td>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>162ND AVE. - LIBERTY ST. TO E.14TH. IN ALAMEDA COUNTY, SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS.</td>
<td>8/1/2011</td>
<td>9/9/2014</td>
<td>135,000.00</td>
<td>108,000.00</td>
<td>107,999.96</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>9/9/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5933123</td>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Submit invoice to District by 08/20/2015</td>
<td>DEMO5L</td>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>HAVILAND FROM GROVE WAY TO BLOSSOM WAY, CONSTRUCT CURB &amp; GUTTER, SIDEWALK, RAMP DRIVINGWAY ST</td>
<td>11/30/2012</td>
<td>9/4/2014</td>
<td>250,000.00</td>
<td>250,000.00</td>
<td>42,219.36</td>
<td>207,780.64</td>
<td>9/4/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6204109</td>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Submit invoice to District by 08/20/2015</td>
<td>CML</td>
<td>Caltrans</td>
<td>ROUTE 92 (CLAWITER RD TO HESPERIAN BLVD) &amp; I 880 (DECOTTO RD RAMP &amp; I 880), INSTALL RAMP MEDIAN</td>
<td>9/13/2013</td>
<td>7/29/2014</td>
<td>7,219,000.00</td>
<td>656,000.00</td>
<td>423,707.65</td>
<td>232,292.35</td>
<td>7/29/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6273045</td>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Records indicate project is in Final Voucher. District to verify.</td>
<td>CML</td>
<td>Alameda County Congestion Management Agency</td>
<td>I 880: MARINA TO HEGENBERGER I/C'S., IMPLEMENT HOV LANES.</td>
<td>12/19/2007</td>
<td>8/28/2014</td>
<td>7,806,000.00</td>
<td>7,780,000.00</td>
<td>7,779,386.73</td>
<td>613.27</td>
<td>8/28/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09CA018</td>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Submit invoice to District by 08/20/2015</td>
<td>TCS1P</td>
<td>Alameda County</td>
<td>MAUBERT AVE. FROM 159TH AVE. TO 162ND AVE., ALAMEDA COUNTY, SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS</td>
<td>6/7/2011</td>
<td>9/11/2014</td>
<td>539,810.00</td>
<td>510,510.00</td>
<td>148,118.81</td>
<td>362,391.19</td>
<td>9/11/2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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DATE: July 6, 2015

SUBJECT: FY2015-16 Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee Meeting Calendar

RECOMMENDATION: Receive an update on the FY 2015-16 Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee (ACTAC) meeting calendar

Summary

ACTAC members provide technical expertise, analysis and recommendations to the Alameda CTC Board related to transportation planning and programming. Some of the items discussed at ACTAC meetings are forwarded to Alameda CTC standing committees such as the Programs and Projects Committee (PPC) and the Planning, Policy and Legislation Committee (PPLC) and subsequently to the Alameda CTC Board.

The PPC and the PPLC are held on the second Monday of the month. The ACTAC meets on the Thursday prior to the PPC and the PPLC standing committee meeting day. The ACTAC meeting dates for FY 15-16 are detailed in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY2015-16 ACTAC Meeting Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August, 2015 – No Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 10, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 8, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 5, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December – No meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 7, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 4, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 10, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 7, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 5, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 9, 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Fiscal Impact:** There is no fiscal impact.

**Staff Contact:**

James O’Brien, Interim Deputy Director of Programming and Allocations

Vivek Bhat, Senior Transportation Engineer
Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee Bylaws

Article 1: Definitions

1.1 2000 Transportation Expenditure Plan. The plan for expending transportation sales tax (Measure B) funds, presented to the voters in 2000, and implemented in 2002.

1.2 2014 Transportation Expenditure Plan. The plan for expending transportation sales tax (Measure BB) funds, presented to the voters in 2014, and implemented 2015.

1.3 Alameda County Transportation Commission (Alameda CTC). The Alameda CTC or “Commission” is a joint powers authority resulting from the merger of the Alameda County Congestion Management Agency (“ACCMA”) and the Alameda County Transportation Improvement Authority (“ACTIA”). The 22-member Commission is comprised of the following representatives:

   1.3.1 All five Alameda County Supervisors.
   
   1.3.2 Two City of Oakland representatives.
   
   1.3.3 One representative from each of the other 13 incorporated cities in Alameda County.
   
   1.3.4 A representative from Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District (“AC Transit”).
   
   1.3.5 A representative from San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District (“BART”).

1.4 Alameda County Congestion Management Agency (ACCMA or CMA). The governmental agency originally tasked with the duty of coordinating land use, air quality and transportation planning, programming transportation funds from a variety of sources and preparing a Congestion Management Program to spend these funds. The CMAs duties also included preparation of a Countywide Transportation Plan. Alameda CTC has now assumed duties of the CMA.

1.5 Alameda County Transportation Improvement Authority (ACTIA). The governmental agency previously responsible for the implementation of the Measure B half-cent transportation sales tax in Alameda County, as approved by voters in 2000 and implemented in 2002. Alameda CTC has now assumed responsibility for administration of the sales tax.

1.6 Brown Act. California’s open meeting law, the Ralph M. Brown Act, California Government Code, Sections 54950 et seq.
1.7 Congestion Management Program (CMP). A short-range document mandated by Proposition 111. It ensures that gas-tax funds produce the greatest benefit by coordinating planning, funding and other activities that affect the transportation system.

1.8 Countywide Transportation Plan (CTP). A long-range policy document that guides transportation funding decisions for Alameda County’s transportation system over a 25-year horizon.

1.9 Fiscal Year. July 1 through June 30.

1.10 JPA. The Joint Powers Agreement which created Alameda CTC, dated for reference purposes as of March 25, 2010, as it may subsequently be amended from time to time.

1.11 Measure B. The measure approved by the voters authorizing the half-cent sales tax for transportation services now collected and administered by the Alameda CTC and governed by the 2000 Transportation Expenditure Plan. Collections for the sales tax authorized by Measure B began on April 1, 2002 and extend through March 31, 2022.

1.12 Measure BB. The measure approved by the voters authorizing the sales tax for transportation services collected and administered by the Alameda CTC and governed by the 2014 Transportation Expenditure Plan. Measure BB augments the half-cent Measure B sales tax by a half cent, beginning April 1, 2015 through March 31, 2022. The full one-cent sales tax authorized by Measure BB will begin April 1, 2022 and will extend through March 31, 2045.

1.13 Measure B Program. Transportation or transportation-related program specified in the 2000 Transportation Expenditure Plan for funding transportation programs and projects on a percentage-of-revenues or grant allocation basis.

1.14 Measure BB Program. Transportation or transportation-related program specified in the 2014 Transportation Expenditure Plan for funding transportation programs and projects on a percentage-of-revenues or grant allocation basis.

1.15 Measure B Project. Transportation and transportation-related construction projects specified in the 2000 Transportation Expenditure Plan for funding in the amounts allocated in the 2000 Transportation Expenditure Plan.

1.16 Measure BB Project. Transportation and transportation-related capital projects specified in the 2014 Transportation Expenditure Plan for funding in the amounts allocated in the 2014 Transportation Expenditure Plan.

1.17 Member Agency. Public agency which is a member of the Commission pursuant to the JPA.

1.18 Planning Area. Geographic groupings of cities and of Alameda County for planning and funding purposes. North County: Alameda, Albany, Berkeley, Emeryville, Oakland, Piedmont; Central County: Hayward, San Leandro and the unincorporated areas of
Castro Valley and San Lorenzo, as well as other unincorporated lands in that area; South County: Fremont, Newark, Union City; East County: Dublin, Livermore, Pleasanton and all unincorporated lands in that area.

Article 2: Purpose and Responsibilities

2.1 Committee Purpose. The Committee purpose is to provide technical expertise, analysis and recommendations related to transportation planning, programming and funding. The Committee will advise the Commission on major policy and technical issues related to Alameda CTC projects and programs which are referred to the Committee either by the Commission. It shall be the responsibility of the committee members to keep their respective agencies and departments in their agencies informed of key issues, facilitate communication between those agencies and Alameda CTC, and to help build the consensus necessary to make policy decisions.

2.2 Committee Roles and Responsibilities. The roles and responsibilities of the Committee include, but are not limited to:

- Review and provide recommendation and analysis on the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and Federal Transportation Act Funding;
- Review and provide recommendation and analysis on the Congestion Management Program and related studies, programs, amendments and revisions thereto;
- Review and provide recommendation and analysis on the Countywide Transportation Plan and related studies and programs and including the Bicycle and Pedestrian Plans and revisions thereto;
- Review and provide recommendations and analysis on other long range and special studies as may be developed in response to changing legislative and planning environments;
- Review and provide recommendation and analysis on the development of regional planning efforts such as the Regional Transportation Plan, the Sustainable Communities Strategy;
- Review and provide recommendation and analysis on the Transportation and Land Use Program and revisions thereto;
- Review and provide input on issues relevant to Vehicle Registration Fee funds;
- Review and provide recommendation and analysis on Transportation Funds for Clean Air (TFCA) projects;
- Review and provide input on issues relevant to Measure B funds;
• Review and provide input on issues relevant to Measure BB funds;

• Review and provide input on issues relevant to Policy development;

• Review and provide recommendation and analysis on specific countywide planning studies such as Priority Development Areas, Parking management, Rail Freight and Goods movements;

• Review and provide recommendation and analysis on specific countywide guidelines such as Complete Streets guidelines and Transit Oriented Development guidelines;

**Article 3: Members**

3.1 Members of the Committee. Pursuant to the JPA and the Alameda CTC Administrative Code, the Committee shall be composed of the following: two staff representatives (one from a planning / economic development department and one from a public works / engineering department) from each agency represented on the Commission (each City in Alameda County, the County, BART and AC Transit) and one staff representative from a planning or engineering department (or equivalent) from each of the following agencies: Alameda CTC, Altamont Commuter Express (ACE), Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), California Highway Patrol (CHP), Livermore Amador Valley Transit Authority (LAVTA), Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), Port of Oakland, San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation Authority (WETA), Union City Transit. Each member of the Committee shall have one vote, except that a representative of a Commission member may cast two votes in the absence of the other representative of such Commission member.

3.2 Appointment. Committee members shall be assigned by the chief administrative officer, or designee, of each Member Agency and shall serve at the pleasure of the Member Agency.

3.3 Membership Term. Members to the Committee shall serve continuously until replacement by their respective agency.

3.4 Attendance. Members will actively support committee activities and regularly attend meetings.

3.5 Vacancies. Vacancies shall be filled by the body which made the original appointment.

**Article 4: Officers**

4.1 Chairperson. The Executive Director of Alameda CTC or his/her designee shall be the chairperson of the Committee.
4.2 Duties. The chairperson shall preside at all meetings of the Committee and represent the Committee before the Commission.

4.3 Secretary. The Alameda CTC shall assign an employee to attend each meeting of the Committee to serve in the capacity as the Committee’s secretary. The Secretary shall furnish clerical services to prepare and distribute the Committee’s agendas, notices, minutes, correspondence and other documents. The secretary shall maintain a record of all proceedings of the Committee as required by law and shall perform other duties as provided in these Bylaws.

Article 5: Meetings

5.1 Open and Public Meetings. All Committee meetings shall be governed by the Brown Act. The time allotted for comments by a member of the public in a general public comment period or on any agenda item shall be up to three minutes per speaker at the discretion of the chair. The number of regular and special meetings will be limited to the number of meetings approved in Alameda CTC’s annual overall work program and budget, as approved by the Commission.

5.2 Regular Meetings. Regular meetings of the Committee shall be held on the Thursday prior to the second Monday of the month. Whenever a regular meeting falls on a holiday observed by Alameda CTC, the meeting shall be held on another day or cancelled at the direction of the Committee. A rescheduled regular meeting shall be designated a regular meeting.

5.3 Quorum. Presence of a majority of the Member Agencies constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business of the Committee, regardless of whether one or two representatives is present for each Member Agency, and further regardless of the percentage of representatives present at the time. Items may be discussed and information may be distributed on any item even if a quorum is not present.

5.4 Special Meetings. Special meetings may be called by the chair or by an action of the Committee on an as-needed basis. Agenda item(s) for special meeting(s) shall be stated when the meeting is called, but shall not be of a general business nature. Specialized meetings shall be concerned with plans and studies, emergencies, or items of a time-urgent nature. Agenda item(s) of a regular meeting may be tabled for further discussion and action at a special meeting, the time and location to be announced in the tabling motion. Notice of such meetings shall be given to all Committee members in accordance with the Brown Act.

5.5 Agenda. All meetings shall have a published agenda. Action may be taken only on items indicated on the agenda as action items. The Commission and/or chairperson will be responsible for preparing the meeting agenda. Items will be included on a meeting agenda by the Commission, the chairperson or action of the Committee. Copies of the agenda, with supporting material and the past meeting minutes, shall be mailed to members and any other interested parties who request it. The agenda shall be posted on the Alameda CTC website and in the Alameda CTC office and provided at the meeting, all in accordance with the Brown Act.
5.6 Roberts Rules of Order. The rules contained in the latest edition of “Roberts Rules of Order Newly Revised” generally govern the proceedings of the Committee and any subcommittees thereof to the extent that the person presiding over the proceeding determines that such formality is required to maintain order and make process and to the extent that these actions are consistent with these bylaws.

5.7 Place of Meetings. Committee meetings shall be held at the Alameda CTC offices, unless otherwise designated by the Committee. Meeting locations shall be within Alameda County, accessible in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (41 U.S.C., Section 12132) or regulations promulgated there under, shall be accessible by public transportation, and shall not be in any facility that prohibits the admittance of any person, or persons, on the base of race, religious creed, color, national origin, ancestry, or sex, or where members of the public may not be present without making a payment or purchase.

Article 6: Subcommittees

6.1 Establishment. The chairperson and/or Committee may establish subcommittees subject to the approved Alameda CTC overall work program and budget as approved by the Commission to develop and propose policy on a particular issue, to conduct an investigation, to draft a report or other document, or for any other purpose within the authority of the Committee.

6.2 Membership. Committee members will be appointed to subcommittees by the Committee, on a voluntary basis, or by the chair. Alameda CTC staff assigned by the chair will be part of the subcommittee. No subcommittee shall have fewer than three members.

Article 7: Records and Notices

7.1 Minutes. Minutes of all meetings, including actions and the time and place of holding each meeting, shall be kept on file at the Alameda CTC office. Actions taken by the Committee will be conveyed to Sub-Committee of the Commission or to the Commission.

7.2 Attendance Roster. A member roster and a record of member attendance shall be kept on file at the Alameda CTC office.

7.3 Brown Act. All meetings of the Committee will comply with the requirements of the Brown Act. Members of the public may address the Committee on any matter not on the agenda and on each matter listed on the agenda, in compliance with the Brown Act and time limits, up to three minutes per speaker, set at the discretion of the chair.

7.4 Meeting Notices. Meeting notices will be in writing and will be issued via one of the following methods: U.S. Postal Service, personal delivery, agency website and/or email. Any other notice required or permitted to be given under these bylaws may be given by any of these means.
Article 8: General Matters

8.1 Per Diems. No expenditures or requisitions for services and supplies shall be made by the Committee and no individual member thereof shall be entitled to reimbursement for travel or other expenses except as authorized by the Commission.

8.3 Bylaws. Bylaws governing the meetings and activities of the Committee are approved by the Alameda CTC.
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SUBJECT: Metropolitan Transportation Commission’s Local Streets and Roads Working Group

RECOMMENDATION: Nominate Alameda County Technical Advisory Committee (ACTAC) Local Streets and Roads Working Group (LSRWG) Representative for FY 2015-16

Summary

The Local Streets and Roads Working Group convenes on the second Thursday of each month at the Metropolitan Transportation Commission offices in Oakland. Staff proposes the City of Dublin as ACTAC’s LSRWG representative for FY 2015-16. The role of the ACTAC LSRWG representative is to provide a summary of the LSRWG items to the ACTAC.

Background

The purpose of the LSRWG is to act as a forum to communicate new legislative policies related to pavement needs and to help advocate for revenues to meet those pavement needs by recommending policies to MTC’s Partnership Technical Advisory Committee (PTAC). Additionally, funding opportunities and project delivery requirements are communicated and/or discussed via this working group. This includes Federal, State, Regional and Caltrans Local Assistance issues. The target audience is local governments, Public works directors and/or engineers and programming staff.

In FY 2014-15 ACTAC was represented by City of San Leandro at the LSRWG meetings. Staff is proposing City of Dublin as ACTAC’s LSRWG representative FY 2015-16.

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact.

Staff Contact

James O’Brien, Interim Deputy Director of Programming and Allocations

Vivek Bhat, Senior Transportation Engineer
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