

		nprehensive Investment Plan relopment Process Overview	
PHASE	L	PHASE 2	PHASE 3
INVENTORY IDENTIFICATION	/ ELIGIBILITY SCREENING	EVALUATION & PRIORITIZATION	COUNTYWIDE PRIORITIZATION ASSESSMENT
SOFEAR COMPTIFICE REE Projects and Programs Ree Counspute Transporter 2001 Programster Sofe Mail Priva and Sofers Mail Priva and Sofers (contager or private Sofers of the Sofers	da lakottifuation unnon alaan on analaina Han on analaisha isi	PROJECT/PROGRAM EVALUATION Apply loakuation Orbits Statest Invents Description Backfords Complex Backf	COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT Develue a "specific" of understand programs to applicate at a parket Examine model and geographic explore Consider parket (so Secret) second and the specific assessment assessment and the specific assessment second assessment assessment second
Sort Inventory, No. categoria. Determine projects/programs for the scores projects/programs for the L. Turnsh Garbal 4. Local Streams and Reach L. Local Streams and Reach L. Local Streams and Reach L. Local Streams and Reach A. Highway L. Goold Measuret R. L. Goold Measuret R. R. R. R. R. R. R. R. R. R.	ite funding eligibility and		ALLOCATION RECOMMENDATIONS
			(ALAMBA

CIP Project Selection Criteria

- 1st CIP's Project Selection Criteria evaluation includes
 - Traditional programming criteria
 - Best practices from other discretionary programs
 - Prior Alameda CTC discretionary programs criteria
- Future CIPs will contain a more robust evaluation as more information will be developed as part of the 2016 Countywide Transportation Plan


ALAMEDA


A. <u>Rea</u> imp der	aft Project Selection Criteria diness Delivery Criteria Overview: The project has a well-defined funding plan, budget an lementation of the project phase is feasible; governing body approval and community sup nonstrated; and the agency has the ability to coordinate among internal and external age licable.	oport are	
Index	Criteria	Proposed Weight	Ī
1.	 Project Development Status (not initiated, underway, complete) Status of planning and scoping documents Status of environmental phase and clearances Status of preliminary engineering & design phase Status of right-of-way acquisitions 		
2.	Detailed Scope, Schedule, and Funding Plan • Defined project scope • Defined schedule and budget • Identified funding need to continue project development	50	
3.	Implementation Issues Identified implementation issue(s) resolved or mitigated Local community and governing body support Coordination with partners		
	Subtotal	50	
		ALAMEDA	12

B. Nee tran supp	aft Project Selection Criteria (con ds and Benefits Criteria Overview: The project need is clearly defined and demonstrates how t sportation improvement will benefit intended users by increasing connectivity, improving acce ording well maintained transportation facilities/equipment (as applicable); promotes innovatio i-modal system; improves safety and supports a clean environment and strong economy.	he ess,
Index	Criteria	Proposed Weight
1. 2.	Connectivity/Gap Closures Expands the transportation system, network, or service Enhances intermodal and multi-jurisdictional connectivity Complements existing services (not duplicative) Access Improvements Increases access to activity centers, central business districts, and employment centers Serves transit dependent populations, communities of concerns, or vulnerable pop. Improves transportation routes to schools Serves a known or realistic level of demand in the community for transit services	35
3.	State of Good Repair Corrects a deteriorating condition/aging infrastructure Addresses past deferred maintenance Replaces capital assets that have exceeded their useful life Technology and Innovation Promotes innovative (non-traditional) elements for services Promotes vehicle technology or ITS coordination Incorporates innovative design treatments to transportation projects	









Month		Task Item
January 2015	1.	Approve DRAFT Selection Criteria
February 2015	4.	Approve FINAL Selection Criteria
March 2015	5.	Approve DRAFT Projects/Programs Inventory Recommendations
April 2015	6.	Approve DRAFT CIP Document including prioritization recommendations and two-year allocation plan
May 2015	7.	Approve FINAL CIP Document including prioritization recommendations and two-year allocation plan
		* schedule subject to change

ALAMEDA



 Approve the Alameda CTC's Draft Project Selection Criteria