




Active  
Transportation  
Program (ATP)

Presentation to Alameda County Technical  
Advisory Committee (ACTAC)  
January 9, 2014



## Overview

- Creation of Active Transportation Program
- Funding Available
- Program Guideline Development
- Timeline and Eligibility



## Creation of Active Transportation Program

- Legislative action in September 2013
- Consolidates existing federal and state active transportation programs
- Administered by Caltrans Division of Local Assistance



3

## Funding Available

- Estimated \$120 million annually from existing programs\*:
  - *Federal Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP, in MAP-21)*
  - *Bicycle Transportation Account (BTA)*
  - *State Safe Routes to School (SR2S)*
- Mix of state and federal fund types

\* The Governor's Budget may include additional funds



4

## Funding Available, cont.

- To be distributed as follows:
  - 50% - *Statewide Competitive Basis*
  - 40% - *MPOs in urban areas over 200,000 population*
    - MTC share: \$10 million annually
    - Regional competitive process
  - 10% - *small and rural regions*
- Statutory requirements around:
  - *Funds to disadvantaged communities (25% of total)*
  - *Funds reserved for SR2S (\$24 million of statewide competitive share) for a three-year period*



5

## ATP Guideline Development

- Alameda CTC participated in:
  - *CTC workgroup*
  - *MPO and CMA letters*
- Input focused on:
  - *Ensuring federal obligation deadlines can be met*
  - *Streamlining project review via lump-sum allocation to regions*
  - *Ensuring flexibility in regional program*
  - *Ensuring small and non-infrastructure projects well-represented*
- Draft Guidelines currently out; Final Guidelines to be adopted by CTC on March 20



6

## Timeline and Eligibility\*

- Program Schedule

- *March 20 – CTC adopts guidelines*
- *March 21 – State call for projects*
- *May 21 – State applications due; MPO guidelines to CTC*
- *June 25 – CTC approves/rejects MPO guidelines*
- *Late June – Early July – MPO call for projects*
- *August 20 – Commission adopts statewide ATP, projects not selected referred to MPOs*
- *September 30 – MPO project programming recommendations to CTC*
- *November 2014 – Commission programs MPO selected projects*

\* Information based on December 30, 2013 Draft Guidelines – Subject to change



7

## Timeline and Eligibility, Cont.\*

- Eligible Applicants

- *Local, regional, state agencies*
- *Caltrans*
- *Transit agencies*
- *Natural resource and public land agencies*
- *School districts, local education agencies, or schools*
- *Tribal governments*
- *Non-profits (recreational trail projects only)*
- *Other entities deemed eligible by CTC and Caltrans*

- Eligible Projects

- *Infrastructure – planning, design, construction, no maintenance*
- *Non-infrastructure – focus on pilot/start-up, not ongoing operations*
- *Infrastructure with non-infrastructure components*
- *Funds to be made available for active transportation plans in disadvantaged communities*

\* Information based on December 30, 2013 Draft Guidelines – Subject to change



8

## Timeline and Eligibility, Cont.\*

- Statewide competitive portion
  - *Minimum infrastructure project size of \$250,000 for non-SR2S projects*
  - *Minimum match of 10% if over \$1 million and not in disadvantaged community*
  - *Disadvantaged communities and criteria as defined in guidelines*
- MPO portion
  - *MTC has flexibility to adopt own minimum project size, match requirements, disadvantaged communities definition, and criteria*
  - *CTC must approve MPO guidelines*

\* Information based on December 30, 2013 Draft Guidelines – Subject to change



9

## Active Transportation Plans

- A percentage of ATP funding available for funding active transportation plans in disadvantaged communities
  - *Percentage to be based on number of applicants*
  - *Priority to jurisdictions with no current plan*
- Guidelines include components that should be included in Active Transportation Plan
  - *Based on BTA checklist, but expanded*
  - *Discussion around whether list should be "as applicable"*



10

Questions/Comments?

