

Second Draft CWTP Performance Evaluation – Status Update

- **Revised approach and inputs**
 - » Revised land use (demographic and socioeconomic) data
 - » Constrained investment levels (see project and program tables)
 - » Results by total scenario, not individual projects
- **Three countywide investment scenarios**
 - » Baseline (existing plus committed)
 - » Fully funded in financially constrained CWTP
 - » Partially Funded and Vision (not financially constrained)

1

CAMBRIDGE
PLANNING

Second Draft CWTP Performance Evaluation – Status Update

- **Overall improvement in performance measures, but modest change between scenarios**
 - » Notable improvement in activity and transit accessibility, particularly for low income households
 - » Greater amounts of walking and biking
 - » Modest increase in transit trips
 - » Some shift away from driving even with more total trips

2

CAMBRIDGE
PLANNING

Second Draft CWTP Performance Evaluation – Status Update

- **Performance assessment on-going**
 - » Broad geographic area leads to less dramatic changes than investment in focused, local areas.
 - » Multimodal mix of investments across the county may have competing push-pull affects on performance.
 - » Regional evaluation tools measure high-level, long range effects based on historical behavior – investments may change behavior beyond what is reflected by these tools.

3

CAMBRIDGE
CONSULTANTS

Second Draft CWTP Performance Evaluation – Status Update

- **Continued review of results**
- **Document results and identify next steps**
- **Revised Chapter 6 and results prepared for December meetings**
 - » Finer understanding of key performance measures
 - » Tie performance to overall CWTP and TEP goals and objectives

4

CAMBRIDGE
CONSULTANTS