

One Bay Area Grant (OBAG)
Program Implementation:
Draft Programming
Guidelines and Coordination

Presented to the PAPCO/TAC November 26, 2012



OBAG Update

- The OBAG Programming Guideline elements approved at the October Commission meeting included
 - OBAG programming categories
 - Eligibility, Screening and Selection criteria
- The action also provided that additional fund sources allocated by the Alameda CTC be considered for coordination with the OBAG programming process

OBAG Fund Category Summary

WITHIN PDAs (70%)

CMA Planning / Programming

PDA Supportive Transportation Investments

Augment Regional SR2S*

\$44 M

Alameda County
OBAG
\$63 M

\$19 M

OUTSIDE PDAs (30%)

CMA Planning / Programming

Local Streets and Roads

Augment Regional SR2S*

*Regional SR2S (Non-OBAG) Alameda County Share = \$4.3M PDA Planning and Implementation Technical Assistance Program; Alameda County Share = \$3.8M



MTC Resolution 4035 OBAG Programming Categories

OBAG Program / Category	To	tal \$	% Share
PDA Supportive Transportation Investment	38,70	02,000	61.4%
Local Streets and Roads	15,2	57,000	24.2%
CMA Planning / Programming	7,10	06,000	11.3%
Countywide SR2S Program Augmentation	2,00	00,000	3.2%
Тс	tal 63,0	65,000	100%

Attachment 03

MTC Resolution 4035 Other Programming Categories

MTC Resolution 4035 Other Program/Category	Total \$	
Priority Development Activities funds for PDA Planning and Implementation Technical Assistance Program (P&I TAP)	3,800,000	
Regional SR2S	4,293,000	
Total	8,093,000	

PDA Supportive Investments

- Approximately \$38.7 million of federal funds
- PDA supportive transportation projects include
 - Bicycle and pedestrian
 - Station improvements such as plazas, station access pocket parks, bicycle parking
 - Complete Streets improvements that encourage bicycle and pedestrian access
 - Transportation Demand Management projects and streetscape projects focusing on high-impact, multi-modal improvements

Local Streets and Roads

Approximately \$15.2 million of federal funds

50% Population + 50% Lane Miles Formula

Jurisdiction in Alameda County	Population	% Population	Lane Mileage	% Lane Mileage	50 % Population + 50% Lane Miles	LSR Share
County of Alameda**	142,833	9.32%	995	12.51%	10.91%	\$ 1,664,840
Alameda	74,640	4.87%	275	3.46%	4.17%	\$ 635,374
Albany	18,488	1.21%	59	0.74%	0.97%	\$ 148,711
Berkeley	114,821	7.49%	453	5.69%	6.59%	\$ 1,005,702
Dublin	46,785	3.05%	247	3.11%	3.08%	\$ 469,932
Emeryville	10,200	0.67%	47	0.59%	0.63%	\$ 100,000
Fremont	217,700	14.21%	1,065	13.39%	13.80%	\$ 2,104,615
Hayward	147,113	9.60%	629	7.91%	8.76%	\$ 1,335,550
Livermore	82,400	5.38%	670	8.43%	6.90%	\$ 1,052,780
Newark	43,041	2.81%	250	3.14%	2.98%	\$ 454,076
Oakland	395,341	25.80%	1,964	24.69%	25.25%	\$ 3,851,136
Piedmont	10,807	0.71%	78	0.99%	0.85%	\$ 128,963
Pleasanton	71,269	4.65%	498	6.26%	5.45%	\$ 831,849
San Leandro	86,053	5.62%	392	4.93%	5.27%	\$ 804,507
Union City	70,646	4.61%	331	4.16%	4.39%	\$ 668,965
COUNTY TOTAL	1,532,137	100.00%	7,954	100.00%	100.00%	\$ 15,257,000

^{**} County of Alameda information includes Planning Area 2 and 4

Population Source - Department of Finance 01/01/2012



OBAG Programming Principles

 GOAL: Programming funds to projects consistent with OBAG policy, and successfully delivering the program of projects that will expand access and improve mobility

OBAG Programming Principles (Continue Attachment 03)

- Local agency must be an eligible public agency qualified to receive federal funds per MTC's OBAG guidelines.
- The local agency should no later than January 31, 2013
 - Adopt a Complete Streets policy resolution, or
 - Adopt a General Plan Circulation Element that is compliant with the Complete Streets Act of 2008 and
 - Obtain Certification of housing element by the California Department of Housing and Community Development

OBAG Programming Principles (Continued) Attachment 03

- Project must be eligible for funding from one or more of the fund programs incorporated into OBAG:
 - PDA Supportive Transportation Investments
 - Local Streets and Roads Preservation
- Delivery Timeline
 - OBAG funding may be programmed in Federal Fiscal Years (FFY) 12-13, 13-14, 14-15 and 15-16.
 - March 31, 2015: Half of OBAG funds must be obligated
 - March 31, 2016: Remaining OBAG funds obligated
 - Funds must be obligated by FHWA or transferred to Federal Transit Administration (FTA) within the federal fiscal year that the funds are programmed in the TIP

OBAG Programming Principles (Continued) Attachment 03

- Projects will be required to meet Regional Project Delivery Guidelines (MTC Reso. 3606)
 - For the Construction Phase contract must be advertised within 6 months and awarded within 9 months of obligation
 - Funds must be liquidated within six years of obligation
- Minimum grant amount is \$500,000
- Projects are required to be consistent with the adopted RTP and the Alameda CWTP
- Projects must have the required 11.47% minimum local match in committed funds
- Projects are required to complete MTC's Routine Accommodation Checklist to comply with MTC's Complete Streets Policy

OBAG Selection Criteria

- Delivery criteria
 (60 Points)
- Additional criteria (mandated by the OBAG program)
 (40 Points)

OBAG Selection Criteria

Delivery criteria

(60 Points)

- OBAG funds are federal transportation funds and are subject to strict delivery deadlines
- If selected project fails to meet deadlines, funds will be lost
- Criteria that will prioritize eligible projects with well defined scope, funding plan and that can be delivered

OBAG Selection Criteria Breakdown OBAG Selection Criteria

- Delivery Criteria
 - 25 pts Project Readiness
 - 10 pts Project is well-defined and results in a usable segment
 - 15 pts Project need / benefit / effectiveness (includes Safety)
 - 5pts Sustainability (Ownership / Lifecycle / Maintenance)
 - 5 pts Matching Funds60 pts

OBAG Selection Criteria Breakdown (Continued)

- Additional criteria (mandated by the OBAG program)
 - 10 pts PDA Supportive Investments (Includes Proximate Access)
 - 5 pts Transportation Investment addressing / implementing planned vision of PDA
 - 15 pts Project consistent with regional TLC design guidelines or design that encourages multi-modal access and located in high impact project areas
 - 5 pts Communities of Concern
 - 5 pts Freight and Emissions

40 pts

OBAG Selection Criteria Breakdown (Continued)

- Project consistent with regional TLC design guidelines or design that encourages multi-modal access and located in high impact project areas
 - 3 pts Housing Growth
 - 3 pts Jobs Growth
 - 3 pts Improved transportation choices for all income levels
 - 3 pts PDA parking management and pricing policies
 - 3 pts PDA affordable housing preservation and creation strategies

15 pts

Other Resolution 4035 Programs / Categories

Safe Routes to School (SR2S)

- Approximately \$4.3 million of Regional SR2S funding
- An additional \$2 million (\$500,000 per year) within the OBAG programming categories to augment the Regional SR2S funding
- Staff is proposing Measure B Countywide Discretionary Funds (CDF)/ Vehicle Registration Fee (VRF) Bicycle and Pedestrian funds be used as local match

Other Resolution 4035 Programs / Categories

PDA Planning and Implementation Technical Assistance Program (P&I TAP)

- MTC has recently identified \$20 Million of PDA Funds that can be used for PDA planning
- Alameda County's share is anticipated to be \$3.8 Million (above and beyond the \$63 million of OBAG identified for transportation investments)
- These funds can be used to provide assistance to local agencies to further PDA developments
- Additional information on these funds is anticipated to be available in the near future

Coordinated Programming

Proposed Local Programs to be coordinated with OBAG

- Measure B Bicycle/Pedestrian Countywide Discretionary Fund
- Vehicle Registration Fee (VRF) Pedestrian and Bicyclist Access and Safety Program
- Vehicle Registration Fee (VRF) Transit for Congestion Relief Program
- Measure B Countywide Express Bus Service Fund

Next Steps

- Draft OBAG Programming Principles and Scoring Criteria will be presented to the Commission on December 6, 2012
- Final Programming Guidelines will be presented to the Committees and Commission in January 2013

Questions / Answers