

**Proposed Upcoming Alameda CTC Complete Streets Implementation Resources for Local Jurisdictions**

	<b>Planning</b>	<b>Updating Procedures</b>	<b>Design</b>	<b>Monitoring</b>	<b>Engagement/Outreach</b>
<b>Ped/Bike Working Group meeting topics</b>		<p>&gt;&gt;<b>*CEQA Thresholds and LOS Alternatives</b> – presentation or panel on options for making CEQA thresholds more amenable to infill development and investigating alternatives to LOS</p> <p>&gt;&gt; Roundtable discussion on internal <b>Complete Streets Implementation Teams</b></p>	<p>&gt;&gt; <b>Roundtable discussion on innovative bike/ped projects</b> being built or in the pipeline and technical support needs</p> <p>&gt;&gt; <b>Roundtable discussion on design guidelines needs</b> – which are currently in use, what features are most useful, etc.</p>	<p>&gt;&gt;<b>*Use of Alameda CTC bicycle and pedestrian counts data</b> for performance measure monitoring and project before/after assessments</p> <p>&gt;&gt;Brainstorm session regarding ways to <b>monitor cities' complete streets implementation progress</b></p>	
<b>Resources (web-based)</b>	<p>&gt;&gt; Examples of <b>street typology</b> best practices</p> <p>&gt;&gt; Examples of <b>General Plan circulation elements</b> with strong complete streets focus</p>	<p>&gt;&gt;<b>Model checklists</b> – for different project types and project development phases</p> <p>&gt;&gt;<b>Model procedure charts</b> – examples of internal protocol for development of complete streets projects (who reviews what and when during project development)</p>	<p>&gt;&gt;<b>*Database of innovative bike/ped projects in Alameda County</b> – compilation of local projects that address constrained ROW or challenging interfaces of different modes including photos, scans of engineering drawings, and a staff contact</p>		<p>&gt;&gt; <b>Case studies and sample PowerPoint slides</b> on public health and economic development benefits of complete streets (e.g. for use in a community or Council meeting)</p>
<b>White Papers</b>	<p>&gt;&gt; <b>*Toolkit for local bike/ped master plan development</b> – guidance on cost-estimating, developing project lists, engaging transit operators</p>		<p>&gt;&gt;<b>*Guidance on available Design Guidelines</b> – comparison of design manuals such as NACTO, CROW, and others that looks at level of engineering detail, history of California application, etc.</p>	<p>&gt;&gt;<b>Performance Measures</b> – guidance on which metrics to use, where to get data, what data is already available, how frequently to monitor, etc.</p>	
<b>Technical Assistance</b>	<p>&gt;&gt;<b>Alameda CTC staff review of General Plan Circulation Elements</b> for state Complete Streets Act compliance assessment</p> <p>&gt;&gt;<b>SC-TAP</b> – Funding available to develop local street typologies</p> <p>&gt;&gt; <b>Alameda CTC staff assistance</b> on specific complete streets questions or inquiries, and relevant resources/models</p> <p>&gt;&gt; <b>APBP Webinars</b></p>	<p>&gt;&gt;<b>SC-TAP</b> – Funding available to conduct interviews with department staff about plans/procedures that need updating &amp; develop work program for who/when updates these</p> <p>&gt;&gt; <b>Alameda CTC staff assistance</b> on specific complete streets questions or inquiries, and relevant resources/models</p> <p>&gt;&gt; <b>APBP Webinars</b></p>	<p>&gt;&gt;<b>SC-TAP</b> – Funding available to develop or update local design guidelines; could also be used for specific projects with complex design issues</p> <p>&gt;&gt; <b>Alameda CTC staff assistance</b> on specific complete streets questions or inquiries, and relevant resources/models</p> <p>&gt;&gt; <b>APBP Webinars</b></p>	<p>&gt;&gt; <b>Alameda CTC staff assistance</b> on specific complete streets questions or inquiries, and relevant resources/models</p> <p>&gt;&gt; <b>APBP Webinars</b></p>	<p>&gt;&gt; <b>Alameda CTC staff assistance</b> on specific complete streets questions or inquiries, and relevant resources/models</p> <p>&gt;&gt; <b>APBP Webinars</b></p>

\* Indicates high priority