

**LIVERMORE AMADOR VALLEY
TRANSIT AUTHORITY MEASURE B 2000 FUNDS
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2014**

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

**LIVERMORE AMADOR VALLEY
TRANSIT AUTHORITY
MEASURE B 2000 FUNDS
Financial Statements
For the Year Ended June 30, 2014**

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
<i>Independent Auditor's Report</i>	1
<i>Financial Statements:</i>	
Statement of Net Position	3
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position.....	4
Statement of Cash Flows	5
Notes to Financial Statements	7
<i>Independent Auditor's Report on Measure B Compliance</i>	9

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Honorable Members of the Board of Directors
Livermore Amador Valley Transit Authority
Livermore, California

Report on Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Measure B 2000 Funds (Measure B Funds) of the Livermore Amador Valley Transit Authority as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements as listed in the Table of Contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the Authority's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.


As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the financial statements referred to above present only the Measure B Funds and are not intended to present fairly the financial position of the Authority and the results of its operations and cash flows in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Measure B Funds as of June 30, 2014, and changes in financial position and cash flows thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated October 8, 2014 on our consideration of the Authority's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Authority's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.



Pleasant Hill, California
December 9, 2014

**LIVERMORE AMADOR VALLEY TRANSIT AUTHORITY
MEASURE B 2000 FUNDS**

**STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
JUNE 30, 2014**

	<u>Fixed Route Program</u>	<u>Paratransit Program</u>	<u>Totals</u>
ASSETS			
Due from ACTC - Measure B	<u>\$131,926</u>	<u>\$24,740</u>	<u>\$156,666</u>
Total Assets	<u><u>\$131,926</u></u>	<u><u>\$24,740</u></u>	<u><u>\$156,666</u></u>
LIABILITIES			
Due to other programs (Note 3)	<u>\$131,926</u>	<u>\$24,740</u>	<u>\$156,666</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>131,926</u>	<u>24,740</u>	<u>156,666</u>
NET POSITION			
Restricted for Measure B Programs	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total Net Position	<u><u> </u></u>	<u><u> </u></u>	<u><u> </u></u>

See accompanying notes to financial statements

**LIVERMORE AMADOR VALLEY TRANSIT AUTHORITY
MEASURE B 2000 FUNDS**

**STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2014**

	<u>Fixed Route Program</u>	<u>Paratransit Program</u>	<u>Totals</u>
OPERATING EXPENSES			
Contract Services - Transit Operator	<u>\$816,561</u>	<u>\$153,126</u>	<u>\$969,687</u>
Total Expenses	<u>816,561</u>	<u>153,126</u>	<u>969,687</u>
OPERATING LOSS	<u>(816,561)</u>	<u>(153,126)</u>	<u>(969,687)</u>
NONOPERATING REVENUES			
Intergovernmental - Measure B: Recurring Allocations	<u>816,561</u>	<u>153,126</u>	<u>969,687</u>
Total Revenues	<u>816,561</u>	<u>153,126</u>	<u>969,687</u>
Change in Net Position			
Beginning Net Position	_____	_____	_____
Ending Net Position	=====	=====	=====

See accompanying notes to financial statements

**LIVERMORE AMADOR VALLEY TRANSIT AUTHORITY
MEASURE B 2000 FUNDS**

**STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2014**

	Fixed Route Program	Paratransit Program	Totals
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Payments to vendors	(\$816,561)	(\$153,126)	(\$969,687)
Net cash used by operating activities	(816,561)	(153,126)	(969,687)
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Local sales tax/Measure B funds	1,356,928	166,610	1,523,538
Interfund payments	(672,293)	(38,224)	(710,517)
Interfund receipts	131,926	24,740	156,666
Net cash provided by noncapital and financing activities	816,561	153,126	969,687
NET CASH FLOWS			
CASH AND INVESTMENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR	_____	_____	_____
CASH AND INVESTMENTS AT END OF YEAR	_____	_____	_____
Reconciliation of operating (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:			
Operating loss	(\$816,561)	(\$153,126)	(\$969,687)
Net cash used by operating activities	(\$816,561)	(\$153,126)	(\$969,687)

See accompanying notes to basic financial statements

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

LIVERMORE AMADOR VALLEY TRANSIT AUTHORITY
MEASURE B 2000 FUNDS
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For The Year Ended June 30, 2014

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. *Reporting Entity*

All transactions of the Measure B 2000 Funds (Measure B Funds) of the Livermore/Amador Valley Transit Authority (Authority), are included as part of the basic financial statements of the Authority. Measure B Funds are used to account for the Authority's share of revenues earned and expenses incurred under the Authority's paratransit, local streets and roads, and bike and pedestrian programs. The accompanying financial statements are for Measure B Funds only and are not intended to fairly present the financial position of the Authority and the results of its operations and cash flows.

B. *Basis of Accounting*

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenses are recognized. The Authority is accounted for using the accrual basis of accounting, under which revenues are recognized when they are earned and expenses are recognized when they are incurred. The Authority follows Governmental Accounting Boards Statements.

Non-exchange transactions, in which the Authority gives or receives value without directly receiving or giving equal value in exchange, include taxes, grants, entitlements, and donations. On an accrual basis, revenue from grants, entitlements, and donations is recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements have been satisfied.

C. *Use of Estimates*

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 – MEASURE B FUNDS

Under Measure B, approved by the voters of Alameda County in 1986 and 2000, the Authority receives a portion of the proceeds of an additional on-half cent sales tax to be used for transportation-related expenditures. These measures were adopted with the intention that the funds generated by the additional sales tax will not fund expenditures previously paid by property taxes but, rather, would be used for additional projects and programs.

Projects funded by Measure B 2000 were as follows:

- Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety
- Local Streets and Roads
- Paratransit Program
- Mass Transit Operations
- Transit Center Development
- Project Specific Allocations

LIVERMORE AMADOR VALLEY TRANSIT AUTHORITY
MEASURE B 2000 FUNDS
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For The Year Ended June 30, 2014

NOTE 3 – AUTHORITY REIMBURSEMENTS & TRANSFERS

The Authority operates its Transit and Paratransit programs on a cost reimbursement basis. The Authority incurs costs which are then reimbursed from federal, state and local sources, including Measure B funds. Measure B funds are fully expended each year. Measure B funds reported as receivables at year end will be used to reimburse the Authority for costs incurred and are reflected in the accompanying financial statements as Due to the Authority.

NOTE 4 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Authority participates in several grant programs. These programs have been audited by the Authority's independent accountants in accordance with the provisions of applicable State requirements. No cost disallowances were proposed as a result of these audits; however, these programs are still subject to further examination by the grantors and the amount, if any, of expenditures which may be disallowed by the granting agencies cannot be determined at this time. The Authority expects such amounts, if any, to be immaterial.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON MEASURE B COMPLIANCE

To the Honorable Members of the Board of Directors
Livermore Amador Valley Transit Authority
Livermore, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the basic financial statements of the Measure B Funds of the Livermore Amador Valley Transit Authority (Authority), California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014 and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon date December 9, 2014.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants specified in the *Master Programs Funding Agreement* between the Authority and the Alameda County Transportation Commission.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for the Measure B funds based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and requirements specified in the *Master Programs Funding Agreement* between the Authority and the Alameda County Transportation Commission. Those standards and requirements require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Measure B Funds occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Authority's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the Measure B Funds. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Authority's compliance.

Opinion on Measure B Funds

In our opinion, the Authority complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its Measure B Funds for the year ended June 30, 2014.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Authority's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for the Measure B Funds and to test and report on internal control over compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements, specified in the *Master Programs Funding Agreement* between the Authority and the Alameda County Transportation Commission. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.



Pleasant Hill, California
December 9, 2014