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INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT (REISSUED)

To the Honorable Members of the City Council,
and City Auditor of the City of Hayward
Hayward, California

Report on Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Measure B Program of the City of Hayward (City), California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Measure B Program’s basic financial statements as listed in the Table of Contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor’s Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor’s judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the Measure B Program’s preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Measure B Program’s internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the financial statements referred to above present only the Measure B Program and is not intended to present fairly the financial position of the City and the results of its operations in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.
Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial positions of the Measure B Program as of June 30, 2014, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matters

Management adopted the provisions of the following Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements, which became effective during the year ended June 30, 2014 that affected the format and nomenclature of the financial statements:

Statements 65 – Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities.

The emphasis of this matter does not constitute a modification to our opinions.

This reissued report is replacing the original report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, issued on December 19, 2014. As discussed in Note 5, the Withholding for CCSDTP was revised to $240,628 to reflect the additional two monthly withholding for November and December 2013, as well as the deductions from the original MOU total $28,876. The balance of $52,938 of funds withheld for May and June 2014 was also accrued as a liability to offset the accrued revenue for the two months.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 19, 2014, on our consideration of the Measure B Program’s internal control over compliance and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance, and compliance, and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over compliance, or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the Measure B Program’s internal control over compliance, and compliance.

Maze & Associates
Pleasant Hill, California
February 20, 2015
CITY OF HAYWARD  
MEASURE B PROGRAM - BALANCE SHEET (REISSUED)  
JUNE 30, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSETS</th>
<th>Paratransit</th>
<th>Local Streets and Roads</th>
<th>Bike and Pedestrian</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Investments (Note 2)</td>
<td>$873,412</td>
<td>$349,811</td>
<td>$446,067</td>
<td>$1,669,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due from ACTC - Measure B</td>
<td>121,371</td>
<td>341,692</td>
<td>68,843</td>
<td>531,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>$994,783</td>
<td>$691,503</td>
<td>$514,910</td>
<td>$2,201,196</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIABILITIES</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$61,971</td>
<td>$133</td>
<td>$97,849</td>
<td>$159,953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits payable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>990</td>
<td>990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>61,971</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>98,839</td>
<td>990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND BALANCE</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restricted for Measure B Programs</td>
<td>932,812</td>
<td>691,370</td>
<td>416,071</td>
<td>2,040,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fund Balance</td>
<td>932,812</td>
<td>691,370</td>
<td>416,071</td>
<td>2,040,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities and Fund Balance</td>
<td>$994,783</td>
<td>$691,503</td>
<td>$514,910</td>
<td>$2,041,243</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying notes to financial statements
CITY OF HAYWARD
MEASURE B PROGRAMS - STATEMENT OF
REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES (REISSUED)
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUES</th>
<th>Paratransit</th>
<th>Local Streets and Roads</th>
<th>Bike and Pedestrian</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intergovernmental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure B Pass-through allocations</td>
<td>$751,227</td>
<td>$2,114,913</td>
<td>$426,108</td>
<td>$3,292,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income</td>
<td>5,308</td>
<td>1,042</td>
<td>1,749</td>
<td>8,099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GASB31 Adjustments</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenues</td>
<td>756,898</td>
<td>2,116,097</td>
<td>427,999</td>
<td>3,300,994</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| EXPENDITURES | | | | |
| Community services | 547,139 | | 547,139 | |
| Withholding for CCSDTP (Note 5) | 240,628 | | 240,628 | |
| Public works and transportation | | 2,284,464 | 359,467 | 2,643,931 |
| Total Expenditures | 787,767 | 2,284,464 | 359,467 | 3,431,698 |
| Net Change in Fund Balance | (30,869) | (168,367) | 68,532 | (130,704) |
| Beginning Fund Balance | 963,681 | 859,737 | 347,539 | 2,170,957 |
| Ending Fund Balance | $932,812 | $691,370 | $416,071 | $2,040,253 |

See accompanying notes to financial statements
NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Reporting Entity

All transactions of the Alameda County Transportation Commission (ACTC) - Measure B Funds (Measure B Program) of the City of Hayward, California (City), are included as separate special revenue and capital projects funds in the basic financial statements of the City. Measure B Program is used to account for the City's share of revenues earned and expenditures incurred under the City’s paratransit, local streets and roads, and bike and pedestrian programs.

The accompanying financial statements are for Measure B Program only and are not intended to fairly present the financial position of the City and the results of its operations and cash flows of its proprietary fund type.

B. Basis of Accounting

The accompanying financial statements are prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are generally recorded when measurable and available, and expenditures are recorded when the related liabilities are incurred.

The accounting and financial reporting treatment applied to a fund is determined by its measurement focus. All governmental funds are accounted for using a “current financial resources” measurement focus, wherein only current assets, and deferred outflows of resources, and current liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources, generally are included on the balance sheets. Operating statements of governmental funds present increased (revenues and other financing sources) and decreased (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

C. Description of Funds

The accounts are maintained on the basis of fund accounting. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. The City uses Special Revenue Funds to account for the proceeds of specific revenues (other than for capital projects) that are legally restricted to be expended for specified purposes (specifically, the paratransit program).

D. Capital Assets

Capital assets, consisting primarily of infrastructure, are recorded as expenditures and are not capitalized since they will be maintained by the City.
NOTE 2 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Cash and investments are maintained on a pooled basis with those of other funds of the City. Pooled cash and investments consist of U.S. Treasury or its agencies, money market funds investing in such obligations, negotiable certificates of deposit, commercial paper and medium term corporate notes that meet specified rating requirements, mutual funds, guaranteed investment contracts, repurchase agreements, reverse repurchase agreements when authorized by the City’s Council, the State Treasurer’s investment pool (Local Agency Investment Fund), and banker’s acceptances. All investments are stated at fair value. Pooled investment earnings are allocated quarterly based on the average quarterly cash and investment balances of the various funds are related entities of the City.

See the City’s Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for disclosures related to cash and investments and the related custodial risk categorization.

NOTE 3 – MEASURE B PROGRAM

Under Measure B, approved by the voters of Alameda County in 1986 and 2000, the City receives a portion of the proceeds of an additional on-half cent sales tax to be used for transportation-related expenditures. These measures were adopted with the intention that the funds generated by the additional sales tax will not fund expenditures previously paid by property taxes but, rather, would be used for additional projects and programs.

Projects funded by Measure B were as follows:

- **Paratransit** - To provide transit services for seniors and people with disabilities.
- **Local Streets and Roads** - To improve, repair, and overlay city streets.
- **Bike and Pedestrian** - To provide sidewalk and ADA improvements and to implement the bikeway network.

From a pool of funds held by the County, 10.45% is allocated for distribution as a subsidy to cities with paratransit programs. Funds allocated to the City for the paratransit program are received separately from funds received for transportation improvement projects, and they are recorded in a special revenue fund.

An additional 20.34% of the pool is allocated among the cities in the County, based on the cities’ populations and the number of roads within their city limits for other transportation-related projects. Funds allocated for streets are roads; bike lanes and pedestrian lanes are recorded as a capital projects fund.
NOTE 4 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The City participates in several grant programs. These programs have been audited by the City’s independent accountants in accordance with the provisions of applicable State requirements. No cost disallowances were proposed as a result of these audits; however, these programs are still subject to further examination by the grantors and the amount, if any, of expenditures which may be disallowed by the granting agencies cannot be determined at this time. The City expects such amounts, if any, to be immaterial.

NOTE 5 – WITHHOLDING OF PARATRANSIT FUNDING BY ACTC

The City and ACTC have agreed to cooperate in the implementation of the Central County Same Day Transportation Program (CCSDTP) as set forth in the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) Agreement# A11-0092 dated November 19, 2013. The City authorizes ACTC to retain a total amount of $327,256 of future Measure B Paratransit direct local program distribution funds, to continue the implementation of the CCSDTP. ACTC shall be deemed to be the manager for the CCSDTP until its transition to a locally administered contract in July 2014. The MOU will terminate upon the complete expenditure or distribution of $327,256 in Measure B funds retained from the City.

The monthly deduction of $7,219 based on the original MOU total $28,876 from July to October 2013. The subsequent monthly holding by ACTC was to $26,469 starting on the month of November 2013. The total withholdings for fiscal year 2014 amounted to $240,628 reflected as Withholding for CCSDTP, which include two months’ accrual for May and June 2014 offset by the accounts payable.

The City has not received the eligible Paratransit funding total $121,371 for the two months of May and June 2014 as of June 30, 2014, which was reported as Due from ACTC – Measure B. This amount included the last two months’ withholdings total $52,938 for the CCSDTP.
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S
REPORT ON MEASURE B COMPLIANCE (REISSUED)

To the Honorable Members of the City Council
City of Hayward, California

Report on Compliance for Measure B Program

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and
the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller
General of the United States, the basic financial statements of the Measure B Program of the City of Hayward
(City), California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014 and the related notes to the financial statements, and
have issued our report thereon dated December 19, 2014. Our report included an emphasis of a matter paragraph
disclosing the implementation of new accounting principles.

Management’s Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants
specified in the Master Program Funding Agreement between the City and the Alameda County Transportation
Commission.

Auditor’s Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for the Measure B Program based on our audit of the
types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with
auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits
contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and
requirements specified in the Master Programs Funding Agreement between the City and the Alameda County
Transportation Commission. Those standards and requirements require that we plan and perform the audit to
obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to
above that could have a direct and material effect on Measure B Program occurred. An audit includes examining,
on a test basis, evidence about the City’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other
procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the Measure B Program.
However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City’s compliance.

Opinion on Measure B Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to
above that could have a direct and material effect on Measure B Program for the year ended June 30, 2014.
Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Measure B Program’s internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have direct and material effect on Measure B Program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for the Measure B Program and to test and report on internal control over compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Measure B Program’s internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of Measure B Program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of Measure B Program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of Measure B Program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

City’s Response to Findings

The City’s response to the findings identified in our audit are described in our separately issued Memorandum on Internal Control which is an integral part of our audits and should be read in conjunction with this report. The City’s response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements, specified in the Master Programs Funding Agreement between the City and Alameda County Transportation Commission. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Maze & Associates
Pleasant Hill, California
February 20, 2015