

**MEASURE B AND MEASURE BB
Annual Program Compliance Report
Reporting Fiscal Year 2016-2017**

AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

Agency Name: **City of Pleasanton**

Date: 12/11/2017

Primary Point of Contact

Name: Nick Johnson

Title: Senior Accountant

Phone: 925-931-5406

Email: njohnson@cityofpleasantonca.gov

Agency's Certification of True and Accurate Reporting by Submission

By submitting this Compliance Report to the Alameda County Transportation Commission, the submitting agency certifies the compliance information reported is true and complete to the best of their knowledge, and the dollar figures in the agency's Audited Financial Statement matches exactly to the revenues and expenditures reported herein.

Program Compliance Report Structure

This Reporting Form is broken into the following sections for the Measure B and BB Direct Local Distribution Programs applicable to the recipient agency.

- * **Cover - Agency Contact**
- * **General Compliance Reporting for all programs**
- * **Table 1 - Summary of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance**
- * **Table 2 - Detailed Summary of Expenditures and Accomplishments**

**MEASURE B AND MEASURE BB
Annual Program Compliance Report Fiscal Year 2016-2017**

TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF REVENUE, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

DIRECTIONS: Complete the sections below based on the Measure B and BB Audited Financial Statements, for the applicable DLD programs for your agency. Values must match financial statements and total reported expenditures on Table 2.

A. 2000 MEASURE B Direct Local Distribution Programs

	Bicycle / Pedestrian	Local Streets and Roads	Mass Transit	Paratransit	Total	Notes
Beginning of Year Fund Balance	\$ 154,752	\$ 541,411	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 696,163	Negative interest in the Bike/Ped is due to year end GASB 31 Fair Value adjustments. Paratransit has no interest since funds are expended in advance of receiving allocations.
Revenue	\$ 229,892	\$ 839,817	\$ -	\$ 105,681	\$ 1,175,390	
Interest	\$ (405)	\$ 4,846	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,441	
Expenditures <small>Expenditures Matches Table 2?</small>	\$ 345,680 <small>TRUE</small>	\$ - <small>TRUE</small>	\$ - <small>#REF!</small>	\$ 105,681 <small>TRUE</small>	\$ 451,361	
End of Year Fund Balance	\$ 38,559	\$ 1,386,074	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,424,633	

B. 2014 MEASURE BB Direct Local Distribution Programs

	Bicycle / Pedestrian	Local Streets and Roads	Mass Transit	Paratransit	Total	Notes
Beginning of Year Fund Balance	\$ 184,839	\$ 731,503	\$ -	\$ 184,236	\$ 1,100,578	
Revenue	\$ 186,298	\$ 748,529	\$ -	\$ 175,778	\$ 1,110,605	
Interest	\$ 1,910	\$ 6,309	\$ -	\$ 1,516	\$ 9,735	
Expenditures <small>Expenditures Matches Table 2?</small>	\$ 6,163 <small>TRUE</small>	\$ 398,363 <small>TRUE</small>	\$ - <small>#REF!</small>	\$ 55,836 <small>TRUE</small>	\$ 460,362	
End of Year Fund Balance	\$ 366,884	\$ 1,087,978	\$ -	\$ 305,694	\$ 1,760,556	

**Bicycle and Pedestrian Direct Local Distribution Program
Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2016-17**

GENERAL COMPLIANCE REPORTING

1. Indicate the adoption year of the most current Bicycle/Pedestrian Master Plans, as applicable.

	Adoption Year
Bicycle Master Plan	
Pedestrian Master Plan	
Bike/Ped Master Plan	2017

If the plans are over five-years past the last adoption year, specify when your agency's will perform its next update.

Indicate N/A, if not applicable.

N/A

2. Specify any large planned uses of fund balances within this program and their status i.e. planned or underway.

Project Title	Brief Project Description	Anticipated DLD Expenditure	Project Status
West Las Positas Bike/Ped Corridor Improvement Plan	Design and begin construction of bike and ped improvements on West Las Positas	\$150-200k	Planned
Santa Rita bike ped improvement plan	Study and preliminary design of bike ped improvements on Santa Rita	\$100k	Planned
Foothill Road Corridor Plan	Study and preliminary design of bike ped improvements on Foothill Road	\$25k	Underway
Freeway overcrossing improvement plan	Study and preliminary design of bike ped improvements on Pleasanton's freeway	\$50k	Underway

3. Confirm the completion of the publicity requirements in the table below (Yes/No).

	Measure B	Measure BB	Copy of Article, website, signage Attached?	If applicable, briefly explain why the publicity requirement wasn't completed.
Article	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Website	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Signage	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A

**Bicycle and Pedestrian Direct Local Distribution Program
Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2016-17**

TABLE 2: DETAILED SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Provide a detailed summary of Measure B and BB Expenditures for the reporting fiscal year.
- Expenditure total must correspond to your Audited Financial Statements, and Table 1 values.

No.	Project Category <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Project Phase <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Project Type <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Primarily Capital or Administrative Expenditure?	Project Name	Project Description/Benefits	Quantity Completed in FY 16-17	Units for Quantity <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Additional description on units or expanded detail on expenditures, performance, accomplishments	Measure B DLD Expenditures	Measure BB DLD Expenditures	Governing Board Approved?
1	Bike/Ped	Project Completion/Closeout	Streetscape / Complete Streets	Capital	Stanley Boulevard Reconstruction (055022)	The project reconstructed Stanley Blvd from Main St to First St and placed overhead utilities underground. The project included reconstructing Stanley Blvd in conformance with Complete Streets Policy, including sidewalks and bike lanes.	1900	Linear Feet	Expenditures came from the CIP 165043 - \$103,194	\$ 103,194	\$ -	Yes
2	Bicycle	Construction	Streetscape / Complete Streets	Capital	Annual Curb and Gutter - Install bike lanes on Hopyard Road	In tandem with the annual street resurfacing, curb and gutter was removed and replaced to allow for a 6 foot wide bike lane south of the Hopyard Road and Black Ave intersection	250	Linear Feet	Road widening along with curb and gutter removal and replacement	\$ 28,000	\$ -	Yes
3	Bicycle	Construction	Bike Parking	Capital	Downtown Bike Corral	A downtown pilot bike parking program was implemented to remove on-street parking and provide on-street bike parking.	12	Bike Parking Spaces	Bike parking was provided within one on-street parking stall on Angela Street	\$ 2,636	\$ -	Yes
4	Bike/Ped	Scoping, Feasibility, Planning	Master Plan	Capital	Foothill Road Corridor Plan (16543 & 15543)	Provide a comprehensive bicycle and pedestrian strategy for the Foothill Road Corridor	1	Other <i>(describe in Column J)</i>	Design plans for the corridor	\$ 26,023	\$ -	Yes
5	Bike/Ped	Construction	Sidewalks and Ramps	Capital	Iron Horse Trail crossing at Owens Drive (16543)	Modify the signalized Iron Horse Trail crossing at Owens Drive to a two stage crossing to decrease crossing distance and time.	1	Other <i>(describe in Column J)</i>	Added midblock crossing the Iron Horse Trail signalized crossing at Owens Drive	\$ 47,250	\$ -	Yes
6	Bike/Ped	Construction	Sidewalks and Ramps	Capital	Stanley/Valley/Bernal protected intersection (15543 and 16543)	The project reconstructed the Stanley/Valley/Bernal intersection to a protected intersection	1	Intersections	Added bulb outs at the corners, protected and buffered bike lanes, separate crossing areas for bike and peds	\$ 19,419	\$ -	Yes
7	Bike/Ped	Scoping, Feasibility, Planning	Master Plan	Capital	Pedestrian and Bicycle Master Plan Update (155043)	This a complete update to the 2010 Pedestrian and Bicycle Master Plan	1	Other <i>(describe in Column J)</i>	Master plan update	\$ 84,408	\$ -	Yes
8	Bike/Ped	Scoping, Feasibility, Planning	Bridges and Tunnels	Capital	Arroyo Mocho Pedestrian and Bicycle Bridge	Feasibility study for a pedestrian and bicycle bridge over the Arroyo Mocho Canal.	1	Other <i>(describe in Column J)</i>	Feasibility study	\$ 34,750	\$ -	Yes
9	Bike/Ped	Scoping, Feasibility, Planning	Bridges and Tunnels	Capital	Arroyo Mocho Pedestrian and Bicycle Bridge	Feasibility study for a pedestrian and bicycle bridge over the Arroyo Mocho Canal.	1	Other <i>(describe in Column J)</i>	Feasibility study	\$ -	\$ 6,163	Yes
Total Percentage of Capital vs Administrative Costs				100%								
a. Total Capital				\$ 351,843								
b. Total Administrative				\$ -								
TOTAL										\$ 345,680	\$ 6,163	
Match to Table 1?										TRUE	TRUE	

Is the total percentage of Capital vs Program Administration (outreach, staffing, administrative support) Costs GREATER THAN 50%? If not, explain how capital investments will increase in the future.

N/A

**Local Streets and Roads (LSR) Direct Local Distribution Program
Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2016-17**

GENERAL COMPLIANCE REPORTING

1. What is agency's current Pavement Condition Index (PCI)?

PCI =

Use PCI from the most recent MTC's VitalSigns linked here:

<http://www.vitalsigns.mtc.ca.gov/street-pavement-condition>

If your PCI falls below a score of 60 (fair condition), specify what actions are being implemented to increase the PCI.

Indicate N/A, if not applicable.

N/A

2. Were any DLD LSR funded improvements this year provided exemptions from the locally adopted complete street's policy? If so, which projects and why?

Complete streets policy were implemented on this year's projects and no exemptions were required

3. Specify any large planned uses of fund balances within this program and their status i.e. planned or underway.

Project Title	Brief Project Description	Anticipated DLD Expenditure	Project Status
15503 Annual Streets Resurfacing	Resurfacing of local roadways.	1,700,000.00	Underway
16503 Annual Streets Resurfacing	Resurfacing of local roadways.	1,475,000.00	Planned

4. Confirm the completion of the publicity requirements in the table below (Yes/No).

	Measure B	Measure BB	Copy of Article, website, signage Attached?	If applicable, briefly explain why the publicity requirement wasn't completed.
Article	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Website	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Signage	Yes	Yes	Yes	

**Local Streets and Roads Direct Local Distribution Program
Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2016-17**

TABLE 2: DETAILED SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Provide a detailed summary of Measure B and BB Expenditures for the reporting fiscal year.
- Expenditure total must correspond to your Audited Financial Statements and Table 1 values

No.	Project Category <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Project Phase <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Project Type <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Primarily Capital or Administrative Expenditure?	Project Name	Project Description/Benefits	Quantity Completed in FY 16-17	Units for Quantity <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Additional description on units or expanded detail on expenditures, performance, accomplishments	Measure B DLD Expenditures	Measure BB DLD Expenditures	Governing Board Approved?	
1	Streets/Rds	Construction	Street Resurfacing/Maint	Capital	Valley Avenue and Hopyard Road Pavement Rehabilitation, CIP 155026	Cold In Place recycle of roadway and overlay of 1.5" asphalt.	550000	Square Feet		\$ -	\$ 398,363	Yes	
Percentage of Capital vs Administrative Costs													
										TOTAL			
										Match to Table 1?			
										\$ -	\$ 398,363		
										TRUE		TRUE	

If your agency did not expend greater than 50% of total costs on Capital Investments, explain how capital investments will increase in the future over Program Administration (outreach, staffing, administrative support). Indicate N/A if not applicable.

N/A

A minimum of 15% of Measure BB LSR funds are required to be expended on bike/pedestrian Improvements.

In this fiscal year, how much of Measure BB LSR funds were expended on bike/pedestrian improvements?

\$	70,554	Meets minimum 15% threshold?
----	--------	------------------------------

Percent of Measure BB LSR funds expenditures on bike/pedestrian improvements:

17.7%	TRUE
-------	------

If your agency did not meet the 15% minimum expenditure requirement this fiscal year, explain why in the box below

N/A

**Paratransit Direct Local Distribution Program
Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2016-17**

GENERAL COMPLIANCE REPORTING

1. Specify any large planned uses of fund balances within this program and their status i.e. planned or underway.

Project Title	Brief Project Description	Anticipated DLD Expenditure	Project Status
Bus Purchase	Purchase accessible bus for door-to-door program	80,000.00	Underway

2. Confirm the completion of the publicity requirements in the table below (Yes/No).

	Measure B	Measure BB	Copy of Article, website, signage Attached?	If applicable, briefly explain why the publicity requirement wasn't completed.
Article	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Website	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
Signage	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A

**Paratransit Direct Local Distribution Program
Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2016-17**

TABLE 2: DETAILED SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Provide a detailed summary of Measure B and BB Expenditures for the reporting fiscal year.
- Expenditure total must correspond to your Audited Financial Statements and Table 1 values.

No.	Project Category <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Project Phase <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Project Type <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Project Name	Project Description/Benefits	Quantity Completed in FY 16-17	Units for Quantity <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Additional description on units or expanded detail on expenditures, performance, accomplishments	Measure B DLD Expenditures	Measure BB DLD Expenditures	Governing Board Approved?
1	Senior and Disabled Services	Operations	City-based Door-to-Door	Pleasanton Paratransit Services (PPS) Door-to-Door Program	Pleasanton Paratransit Service offers door-to-door service within the City of Pleasanton as well as unincorporated areas of Alameda County adjacent to Pleasanton and Sunol. Limited service is available to pre-approved medical office destinations in the surrounding areas (Livermore, Dublin and San Ramon). There are no limitations or priorities regarding trip purpose. The goal of providing this service is to reduce isolation, increase socialization and encourage independent living, thereby, minimizing the need for institutionalization and enhancing the quality of life for residents who are no longer able to drive.	7127	Number of One-Way Unduplicated Trips		\$ 105,681	\$ 50,180	Yes
2	Senior and Disabled Services	Other	Scholarship/Subsidized Fare	Pleasanton Paratransit Fee Assistance Program	The scholarship program offers fee assistance for low income individuals. This subsidy program allows riders to receive a discount on their paratransit tickets. There are three levels of discounts - 50%, 75% and 100%.	157	Other <i>(describe in Column J)</i>	A total of 157 Paratransit tickets were sold at a discounted rate to low income individuals. Seventy six percent of the total sold were discounted at full rate.	\$ -	\$ 5,656	Yes
3									\$ -	\$ -	
TOTAL									\$ 105,681	\$ 55,836	
Match to Table 1?									TRUE	TRUE	