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INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT

To the Honorable Members of the City Council
City of San Leandro, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Alameda County Transportation Commission Vehicle Registration Fee (VRF) Measure F Program (Measure F Program) of the City of San Leandro, (City) California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements which collectively comprise the Measure F Program’s basic financial statements as listed in the Table of Contents.

Management’s Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor’s Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor’s judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the Measure F Program’s preparation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Measure F Program’s internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.
Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Measure F Program as of June 30, 2017, and the change in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure F Program and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2017, the changes in the financial position, or where applicable, its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 20, 2017 on our consideration of the City’s internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the City’s internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Maze & Associates

Pleasant Hill, California
December 20, 2017
## CITY OF SAN LEANDRO
ALAMEDA COUNTY VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE
(VRF) MEASURE F PROGRAM
BALANCE SHEET
JUNE 30, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Road Improvement and Repair Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$571,850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSETS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and investments (Note 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$493,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct local distribution program receivable - Measure F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76,755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest receivable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$571,850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND BALANCES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restricted for Measure F Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$571,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fund Balances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$571,850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying notes to financial statements.
CITY OF SAN LEANDRO
ALAMEDA COUNTY VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE (VRF) MEASURE F PROGRAM
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

Local Road Improvement and Repair Program

REVENUES
Measure F direct local distribution funds allocation $428,403
Interest income 2,344
Total Revenues 430,747

EXPENDITURES
Construction:
Annual Street Sealing 2015-16 435,147
Marina Blvd/I-880 HOV Project 60,688
Total Expenditures 495,835

NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE (65,088)

FUND BALANCES
Beginning of year 636,938
End of year $571,850

See accompanying notes to financial statements.
Measure F Program - Alameda County Vehicle Registration Fee Measure F (Measure F Program) was approved by the voters in November 2010, with 63 percent of the vote. The fee will generate about $10.7 million per year by a $10 per year vehicle registration fee. The collection of the $10 per year vehicle registration fee started in the first week of May 2011. The goal of the VRF Program is to sustain the County’s transportation network and reduce traffic congestion and vehicle related pollution. The program includes four categories of projects:

- Local Road Improvement and Repair Program (60 percent)
- Transit for Congestion Relief (25 percent)
- Local Transportation Technology (10 percent)
- Pedestrian and Bicyclist Access and Safety Program (5 percent)

The Alameda County Transportation Commission administers the program and distributes an equitable share of the funds among the four planning areas of the county over successive five year cycles. Geographic equity will be measured by a formula, weighted 50 percent by population of the planning area and 50 percent of registered vehicles of the planning area.

The Measure F Program financial statements present only the Measure F Program and are not intended to present fairly the financial position of the City and the results of its operations in conformity with general accepted accounting principles.
The following funds are used:

Special Revenue Funds – to account for the proceeds of specific revenues (other than for capital projects) that are legally restricted to be expended for specified purposes.

Use of Estimates - Management uses estimates and assumptions in preparing the financial statements. Those estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities, and the reported revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and investments are maintained on a pooled basis with those of other funds of the City. Pooled cash and investments consist of U.S. government securities, bankers’ acceptances, commercial paper, medium term notes, repurchase agreements, deposits with banks, and participation in the California Local Agency Investment Fund. All investments are stated at fair value. Pooled investment earnings are allocated monthly based on the average monthly cash and investment balances of the various funds and related entities of the City.

See the City’s Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for disclosures related to cash and investments as prescribed by Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The CAFR may be obtained from the City of San Leandro, 835 East 14th Street, San Leandro, California 94577-3767.
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT ON VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE (VRF) MEASURE F COMPLIANCE

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
City of San Leandro, California

Report on Compliance for Measure F Program

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Alameda County Transportation Commission Vehicle Registration Fee Program (Measure F Program) of the City of San Leandro, California, (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 20, 2017.

Management’s Responsibility

Management is responsibility for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants specified in the Master Programs Funding Agreement, between the City and Alameda County Transportation Commission.

Auditor’s Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for the Measure F Program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and requirements specified in the Master Programs Funding Agreement between the City and the Alameda County Transportation Commission. Those standards and requirements require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Measure F Program. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the Measure F Program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City’s compliance.

Opinion on Measure F Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Measure F Program for the year ended June 30, 2017.
Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City’s internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on Measure F Program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for the Measure F Program and to test and report on internal control over compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of Measure F Program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of Measure F Program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of Measure F Program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements specified in the Master Programs Funding Agreement between the City and the Alameda County Transportation Commission. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Maze & Associates
Pleasant Hill, California
December 20, 2017