

**VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE
Annual Program Compliance Report
Reporting Fiscal Year 2015-2016**

AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

Agency Name: **City of Oakland**

Date: 12/30/2016

Primary Point of Contact

Name:	Bruce Williams
Title:	Senior Transportation Planner
Phone:	510-238-7229
Email:	bwilliams@oaklandnet.com

Agency's Certification of True and Accurate Reporting by Submission

By submitting this Compliance Report to the Alameda County Transportation Commission, the submitting agency certifies the compliance information reported is true and complete to the best of their knowledge, and the dollar figures in the agency's Audited Financial Statement matches exactly to the revenues and expenditures reported herein.

Program Compliance Report Structure

This Reporting Form is broken into the following sections:

- * **Cover - Agency Contact**
- * **General Compliance Reporting for all programs**
- * **Table 1 - Summary of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance**
- * **Table 2 - Detailed Summary of Expenditures and Accomplishments**

VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE
Annual Program Compliance Report Fiscal Year 2015-2016

TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF REVENUE, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

DIRECTIONS: Complete the sections below based on the VRF Audited Financial Statements. Values must match financial statements and total reported expenditures.

A. 2000 VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE Direct Local Distribution Program

	Local Streets and Roads	Notes
Beginning of Year Fund Balance	\$ 3,022,593	
Revenue	\$ 1,777,383	
Interest	\$ 9,011	
Expenditures	\$ 2,419,043	
<small>Expenditures Matches Table 2?</small>	<small>TRUE</small>	
End of Year Fund Balance	\$ 2,389,945	

**Local Streets and Roads (LSR) Direct Local Distribution Program
Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2015-16**

GENERAL COMPLIANCE REPORTING

1. What is agency's current Pavement Condition Index (PCI)?

PCI =

Use PCI for 2015 from MTC's VitalSigns linked here:

<http://www.vitalsigns.mtc.ca.gov/street-pavement-condition>

If your PCI falls below a score of 60 (fair condition), specify what actions are being implemented to increase the PCI.

Indicate N/A, if not applicable.

The City of Oakland programmed more than half of new Measure BB funding (10.6 million over two years) to street resurfacing in the 2015/16-16/17 budget. In addition, the City of Oakland passed a capital improvement bond measure in November 2016; the majority of the \$350 million in transportation funding will be devoted to the paving program.

2. Were any DLD LSR funded improvements this year provided exemptions from the locally adopted complete street's policy? If so, which projects and why?

No

3. Were total expenditures on capital improvements GREATER THAN expenditures exclusively related to general program administration (outreach, staffing, administrative support), as reported on Table 2. If not, explain how capital investments will increase in the future.

yes

4. Confirm the completion of the publicity requirements in the table below (Yes/No).

	Yes/No	Copy of Article, website, signage Attached?	If applicable, briefly explain why the publicity requirement wasn't completed.
Article	No	No	Through an oversight, an article on a specific VRF funded project was not completed this year. In 2016/17 the PR workplan of the new DOT will incorporate this task.
Website	Yes	Yes	
Signage	Yes	Yes	

**Local Streets and Roads Direct Local Distribution Program
Reporting Period - Fiscal Year 2015-16**

TABLE 2: DETAILED SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Provide a detailed summary of VRF Expenditures for the reporting fiscal year.
- Expenditure total must correspond to your Audited Financial Statements and Table 1 values

No.	Project Category <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Project Phase <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Project Type <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Primarily Capital or Administrative Expenditure?	Project Name	Project Description/Benefits	Quantity Completed in FY 15-16	Units for Quantity <i>(Drop-down Menu)</i>	Additional description on units or expanded detail on expenditures, performance, accomplishments	VRF DLD Expenditures	Governing Board Approved?
1	Streets/Rds	Construction	Street Resurfacing/Maintenance	Capital	Street Resurfacing Project	Citywide Street Resurfacing/State of Good Repair	4.26	Lane Miles		629,071	Yes
2	Streets/Rds	Construction	Street Resurfacing/Maintenance	Capital	Latham Square Street Resurfacing Project	Street Resurfacing associated with construction of Latham Square Ped Plaza Improvements/Complete Street improvements	0.15	Lane Miles		83,865	Yes
3	Streets/Rds	Maintenance	Staffing	Capital	Public Works Maintenance - Electrical Division	Maintenance of Street Lights and Traffic Signals/Maintain state of good repair for City assets	1	Other <i>(describe in Column K)</i>	Staff costs and O&M expenses for 8.33 FTE staff. Maintained 12,666 street lights, 214 traffic signals, responded to 544 separate service incidents.	\$ 1,706,107	Yes
TOTAL										\$ 2,419,043	
Match to Table 1?										TRUE	