City of Berkeley Alameda County Transportation Commission -Measure B Funds

Berkeley, California

Financial Statements and Independent Auditors' Reports

For the year ended June 30, 2016



City of Berkeley Alameda County Transportation Commission – Measure B Funds

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Financial Statements:	
Combined Balance Sheet	3
Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances	4
Notes to Financial Statements	5
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual- ACTC Streets and Roads Schedule of Revenues, Evenenditures and Changes in Fund Balances	11
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual- ACTC Bike and Pedestrian	12
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual- ACTC Paratransit Notes to Supplementary Information	
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and On compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	
Independent Auditors' Report on Measure B Compliance	17



To the Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council of the City of Berkeley Berkeley, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Alameda County Transportation Commission – Measure B Funds (Measure B Funds) of the City of Berkeley, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management of the City is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Measure B Funds as of June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council of the City of Berkeley Berkeley, California Page 2

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure B Funds and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City, as of June 30, 2016, and the changes in its financial position, for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Measure B Funds' basic financial statements. The budgetary comparison information is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The budgetary comparison information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the budgetary comparison information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 27, 2016, on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting in relation to Measure B Funds and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance in relation to Measure B Funds.

Jadamie & Associa

Badawi and Associates Certified Public Accountants Oakland, California December 27, 2016

City of Berkeley Alameda County Transportation Commission- Measure B Funds Combining Balance Sheet June 30, 2016

	Special Revenue Funds							
		ACTC	ACTC					
	St	treets and	E	Bike and Pedestrian		ACTC		
		Roads	Pe			Paratransit		Total
ASSETS								
Cash and investments	\$	1,255,706	\$	500,977	\$	94,521	\$	1,851,204
Taxes receivable		455,102		56,148		42,527		553,777
Total assets		1,710,808		557,125		137,048		2,404,981
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES								
Liabilities:								
Accounts payable		11,848		25,673		688		38,209
Accrued salaries and fringe		70,694		6,719		-		77,413
Total liabilities		82,542		32,392		688		115,622
Fund Balances:								
Restricted for:								
Highway and streets		1,628,266		524,733		-		2,152,999
Transportation		-		-		136,360		136,360
Total fund balances		1,628,266		524,733		136,360		2,289,359
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$	1,710,808	\$	557,125	\$	137,048	\$	2,404,981

City of Berkeley Alameda County Transportation Commission- Measure B Funds Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances June 30, 2016

		:							
		ACTC		ACTC					
	St	reets and	В	ike and	ACTC				
		Roads	Pe	destrian	lestrian Paratransit		n Paratransit		 Total
REVENUES:									
Measure B sales taxes	\$	2,894,337	\$	357,090	\$	270,459	\$ 3,521,886		
Interest income		2,764		1,158		294	 4,216		
Total Revenues		2,897,101		358,248		270,753	 3,526,102		
EXPENDITURES:									
General government		76,452		42,884		-	119,336		
Highway and streets		2,481,615		272,583		-	2,754,198		
Transportation		-		-		309,644	309,644		
Total Expenditures		2,558,067		315,467		309,644	 3,183,178		
REVENUES OVER (UNDER)									
EXPENDITURES		339,034		42,781		(38,891)	342,924		
FUND BALANCES:									
Beginning of year		1,289,232		481,952		175,251	1,946,435		
End of year	\$	1,628,266	\$	524,733	\$	136,360	\$ 2,289,359		

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Reporting Entity

All transactions of the Alameda County Transportation Commission – Measure B Funds (Measure B Funds) of the City of Berkeley, California (City), are included as separate special revenue funds in the basic financial statements of the City. Measure B Funds are used to account for the City's share of revenues earned and expenditures incurred under the City's paratransit and capital programs. The accompanying financial statements are for Measure B Funds only and are not intended to fairly present the financial position of the City.

B. Basis of Accounting

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current periods. For this purpose, revenues are considered to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting.

Sales taxes and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. Revenues for expenditure driven grants are recognized when the qualifying expenditures are incurred.

C. Fund Accounting

The operations of the Measure B Funds are accounted for in three separate special revenue funds, ACTC Streets and Roads, ACTC Bike and Pedestrian, and ACTC Paratransit. The funds are separate accounting entities with a set of self-balancing accounts which comprise their assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues, and expenditures.

D. Fund Balance

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City's policy to use restricted resources first, and then use unrestricted resources as needed.

When expenditures are incurred for purposes where only unrestricted fund balances are available, the City uses the unrestricted resources in the following order: committed, assigned, and unassigned.

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, Continued

E. Cash and Investments

Cash and Investments of the Measure B Special Revenue Funds are pooled with other funds of the City. The City pools cash resources from all funds in order to facilitate and maximize the management of cash. The balance in the pooled cash account is available to meet current operating requirements. Cash in excess of current requirements is invested in various interest-bearing accounts and other investments for varying terms.

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 40, Deposit and Investment Disclosures (Amendment of GASB No. 3), certain disclosure requirements for Deposits and Investment Risks were made in the following areas:

- Interest Rate Risk
- Credit Risk
 - □ Overall
 - Custodial Credit Risk
 - Concentrations of Credit Risk

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools*, All investments are stated at fair value. Market value is used as fair value for those securities for which market quotations are readily available. Interest earned on investments is allocated to all funds on the basis of daily cash and investment balances.

F. Receivables

Receivables include amounts due from other governments. Receivables are recorded and revenues are recognized as earned or as specific program expenditures are incurred.

G. Deferred Inflows of Resources

Deferred inflows of resources arise when potential revenues do not meet both the "measurable" and "available" criteria for recognition in the current period. Deferred inflows of resources also arise when the government receives resources before it has a legal claim to them, as when grant monies are received prior to incurring qualifying expenditures.

H. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

2. MEASURE B FUNDS

Under Measure B, approved by the voters of Alameda County in 1986 (ACTA Old Measure B) and in 2000, (ACTIA Measure B), the City receives a portion of the proceeds of an additional one-half cent sales tax to be used for transportation-related expenditures. This measure was adopted with the intention that the funds generated by the additional sales tax will not fund expenditures previously paid for by property taxes but, rather, would be used for additional projects and programs.

3. CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Measure B Funds' cash and investments are part of the City's pooled cash and investments. Cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with original maturity of three months or less from date of acquisition. The pool consists of U.S. Agency Securities, Medium Term Notes, Money Market Funds and Guaranteed Investment Contracts. The Measure B Funds' share of the pool is \$1,851,204

A. Investments

The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for the City of Berkeley's pooled investment policies.

		Maximum	Maximum
	Maximum	Percentage/Dollar	Investment
Authorized Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in one issuer
Local Agency bonds	5 years	5%	N/A
U.S. Treasury Securities	5 years	25%	N/A
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	100%	N/A
Banker's Acceptances	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	180 days	25%	\$5M or 2%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	N/A
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	10%	N/A
Medium-Term Notes	5 years	30%	N/A
Guaranteed Investment Contracts	5 years	25%	N/A
Money Market funds	N/A	100%	N/A
Mortgage pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	N/A
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	N/A	N/A
JPA Pools (other investment pools)	N/A	N/A	N/A

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools,* investments were stated at fair value using the aggregate method in all funds and component units. The City's investments are carried at fair market value as required by generally accepted accounting principles. The City accounts for all changes in fair value that occurred during the year and are reflected in the fund balance for the fiscal year. These investment value changes are unrealized since the City's policy is to hold and buy investments until maturity dates.

3. CASH AND INVESTMENTS, Continued

B. Risk Disclosure

<u>Interest Risk</u> – Interest rate risk is that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, there is the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. One of the way that the City manages its exposures to interest rate risk is by purchasing a combination of shorter term and longer term investments and by timing cash flows from maturities so that a portion of the portfolio is maturing or coming close to maturity evenly over time as necessary to provide the cash flow and liquidity needed for operations. The City has the intention to hold all investments to maturity. The average maturity of the City's pooled investments governed by the Investment Policies was approximately 18.3 months as of June 30, 2016.

<u>Credit Risk</u> – Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The City requires the issuer to have the highest rating from two nationally recognized rating agencies. Purchases of corporate notes shall be limited to securities rated "A" or higher by Moody's and "A" or higher by Stand and Poor's. The U.S. Agency Securities and Medium Term Notes in the pool are rated AAA and A/AA, respectively. The Money Market Funds and Guaranteed Investment Contracts are not rated.

<u>Concentration of Credit Risk</u>- The investment policies of the City contain no limitation on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer beyond that stipulated by the California Government Code.

<u>*Custodial Credit Risk*</u> – The custodial credit risk for an investment is the risk that in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the City will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. All of the City's investments except money market mutual funds and guaranteed investment contracts are subject to custodial risk.

4. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Measure B Special Revenue Funds have received grant funds for specific purposes that are subject to review by the grantor agencies. These programs are subject to further audit by the grantor agencies and upon further examination by the grantors, certain costs could be disallowed. The City expects that such amounts if any to be immaterial.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

City of Berkeley Alameda County Transportation Commission- Measure B Funds Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances- Budget and Actual June 30, 2016

	ACTC Streets and Roads							
					Variance with			
	Fi	nal Budget	Actual		Final Budget Positive/ (Negative)			
	Amount			Amounts				
REVENUES:								
Measure B sales taxes	\$	2,845,580	\$	2,886,749	\$	41,169		
Interest income		-		2,764		2,764		
Total Revenues		2,845,580		2,889,513		43,933		
EXPENDITURES:								
General government		93,436		76,452		16,984		
Highway and streets		2,405,644		2,481,615		(75,971)		
Total Expenditures		2,499,080		2,558,067		(58,987)		
REVENUES OVER (UNDER)								
EXPENDITURES		346,500		331,446		(15,054)		
FUND BALANCES:								
Beginning of year				1,006,079				
End of year			\$	1,337,525				

Explanation of differences between budgetary basis to modified accrual basis:	
Net change in fund balances - budgetary basis to modified accrual basis:	331,446
Receivable accrual	7,588
Net change in fund balances - GAAP basis	\$ 339,034

City of Berkeley Alameda County Transportation Commission- Measure B Funds Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances- Budget and Actual June 30, 2016

		1	trian			
		Final Budget Amount		Actual Amount		ance with al Budget e/ (Negative)
REVENUES:						
Measure B sales taxes Interest income	\$	352,184	\$	356,688 1,158	\$	4,504 1,158
Total Revenues		352,184		357,846		5,662
EXPENDITURES:						
General government		65,841		42,884		22,957
Highway and streets		729,426		246,908		482,518
Total Expenditures		795,267		289,792		505,475
REVENUES OVER (UNDER)						
EXPENDITURES		(443,083)		68,054		511,137
FUND BALANCES:						
Beginning of year				445,039		
End of year			\$	513,093		
Explanation of differences between budge	tary basi	s to modified	accrual	basis:		
Net change in fund balances - budgeta	ry basis to	o modified ac	crual bas	sis:		68,054
Receiva	able accru	ıal				402
Accour	nts Payab	le				(25,675)
Net change in fund balances - GAAP b	asis				\$	42,781

City of Berkeley Alameda County Transportation Commission- Measure B Funds Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances- Budget and Actual June 30, 2016

			АСТ	°C Paratransit		
		Final Budget Amount		al Amount ratransit	Fina	nce with l Budget / (Negative)
REVENUES:						
Measure B sales taxes Interest income	\$	275,396	\$	272,869 294	\$	(2,527) 294
Total Revenues		275,396		273,163		(2,233)
EXPENDITURES:						
Transportation		297,408	_	309,644	_	(12,236)
Total Expenditures		297,408		309,644		(12,236)
REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES		(22,012)		(36,481)		(14,469)
FUND BALANCES:						
Beginning of year				135,898		
End of year			\$	99,417		
Explanation of differences between	budgetary	basis to modi	fied acc	rual basis:		
Net change in fund balances - b	udgetary ba	asis to modifie	d accrua	l basis:		(36,481)
	Receivable	accrual				(2,410)

 Net change in fund balances - GAAP basis
 \$ (38,891)

1. BUDGETARY BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

A. Budgetary Control and Budgetary Accounting

Prior to June 1, the City Manager submits to the City Council a proposed operating budget for the upcoming fiscal year. The proposed budget includes a summary of proposed expenditures and forecasted revenues of the City's special revenue funds.

The City Council adopts the budget prior to July 1 of each fiscal year. The annual budget indicates appropriations by fund. The Council may adopt supplemental appropriations during the year. Any unused funds are re-appropriated to the following fiscal year until the project is completed. The budget is adopted on a modified cash basis, which is not consistent with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council of the City of Berkeley Berkeley, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Alameda County Transportation Commission – Measure B Funds (Measure B Funds) of the City of Berkeley, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 27, 2016.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting in relation to Measure B Funds (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council of the City of Berkeley Berkeley, California Page 2

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Measure B Funds' financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Jadamie & Americate

Badawi and Associates Certified Public Accountants Oakland, California December 27, 2016



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON MEASURE B COMPLIANCE

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council of the City of Berkeley Berkeley, California

Report on Compliance

We have audited the City of Berkeley, California (City)'s compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the agreement between the City and Alameda County Transportation Commission applicable to Measure B 2000, that could have a direct and material effect on the City's Measure B Funds, for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Management's Responsibility

Management of the City is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its Measure B Funds.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the agreement between the City and Alameda County Transportation Commission applicable to Measure B 2000. Those standards and the agreement require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Measure B Funds. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for Measure B Funds. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on Measure B Funds

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements related to the agreement between the City and Alameda County Transportation Commission applicable to Measure B 2000 for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Other Matter

Under Measure B, approved by the voters of Alameda County in 2000, the City has received a total of 12 months of revenue from July 2015 through June 2016. The Local Street and Roads program has received \$2,894,337, the Bike and Pedestrian program has received \$357,090, and the Paratransit program has received \$270,459. These financial statements reflect twelve months of revenue for the Local Streets and Roads, the Bike and Pedestrian, and the Paratransit programs.

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council of the City of Berkeley Berkeley, California Page 2

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that a type of compliance with a type of compliance with a type of compliance is a deficiency or compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of agreement between the City and Alameda County Transportation Commission applicable to Measure B 2000. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Jadanie & Associate

Badawi and Associates Certified Public Accountants Oakland, California December 27, 2016