Report to the Public





Measure B Sales Tax Activities

IN NOVEMBER 2000, ALAMEDA COUNTY VOTERS APPROVED

MEASURE B, which extended the county's half-cent transportation sales tax to 2022 and set forth a 20-year Expenditure Plan. Measure B also established a Citizens Watchdog Committee (CWC) to review all Measure B expenditures on projects, programs and administration for compliance with the Expenditure Plan, and timely project delivery.

The CWC reports its findings annually to the public. This 10th annual report covers expenditures during the fiscal year ending June 30, 2011 (FY10-11), and CWC activities since July 1, 2010. CWC members performed a number of activities to ensure appropriate use of transportation sales tax funds, improve reporting processes and update funding agreements between Alameda CTC and fund recipients.

INSIDE

Financials At-a-Glance
In FY10-11, Alameda CTC
expended \$140.8 million for
transportation improvements
in Alameda County.

Citizens Watchdog Committee Activities

In its "watchdog" role, the CWC reviews expenditures for consistency with the voterapproved expenditure plan and reports to the public.


Alameda CTC Programs and Projects

Alameda CTC allocates approximately 60 percent of Measure B funds to transportation programs and approximately 40 percent to projects.

Summary of Expenditures

The Alameda County Transportation Commission (Alameda CTC) is responsible for administering the Measure B half-cent transportation sales tax measure as well as congestion management agency functions. In fiscal year 2010-2011 (FY10-11), Measure B revenues totaled \$105.4 million. The Alameda CTC audited expenditures of \$140.8 million in FY10-11 include \$54.4 million for public transit, \$52.4 million for highways and streets, \$28.7 million for local transportation, \$3.6 million for general administration, and \$1.7 million for direct program and project management and oversight.

Fiscal Year 2010-2011 Audited Expenditures




Financials At-a-Glance

Alameda CTC FY10-11 Audited Expenditures	(\$ in millions rounded)		
Public Transit		\$54.4	
Pass-through Funding - Transit Service	\$21.4		
Pass-through Funding - Paratransit	9.1		
Express Bus Grants	1.4		
Paratransit Grants	1.1		
Transit Center Development Grants	0.2		
Cash Flow Stabilization Grants	0.2		
Public Transit Capital Projects	21.0		
Highways and Streets Capital Projects		52.4	
Local Transportation		28.7	
Pass-through Funding - Local Streets and Roads	22.5		
Pass-through Funding - Bicycle and Pedestrian	3.7		
Bicycle and Pedestrian Grants	1.5		
Local Transportation Capital Projects	1.0		
General Administration		3.6	
Direct Program and Project Management and Oversight			
	Total:	\$140.8	

Audited expenditures include general administration, direct program and project management and oversight expenses, Measure B discretionary grant fund expenditures, project expenditures, and payments to jurisdictions for four main programs: local streets and roads, mass transit, paratransit, and bicycle and pedestrian safety. In FY10-11, audited Measure B expenditures on programs, projects and administration totaled \$140.8 million. Program compliance reports submitted by Measure B fund recipients reported \$63.5 million in expenditures, which include some Measure B fund balances from previous years and grant expenditures.

Fiscal Year 2010-2011 Expenditures by Type



Expenditures by Type

- \$74.4 million on capital infrastructure projects
- \$56.7 million on pass-through funds for transit operations, road maintenance, bicycle and pedestrian safety, and senior and disabled transportation
- \$4.4 million on grants
- \$3.6 on general administration
- \$1.7 million on direct program and project management and oversight

Citizens Watchdog Committee Activities

THE 2000 EXPENDITURE PLAN

established a Citizens Watchdoa Committee (CWC) to review all Measure B expenditures on projects, programs and administration, to monitor timely delivery of projects and to report its findings annually to the public. As defined by the Expenditure Plan, the CWC:

- Holds public hearings and issues reports on at least an annual basis, to inform Alameda County residents of how the funds raised by Measure B are being spent.
- Has full access to the Agency's independent auditor and the authority to request and review specific information and to comment on the auditor's reports.
- Publishes an annual report and any comments concerning the audit report in local publications and makes the report available to the public at large.

CWC members hold public meetings and form subcommittees as needed. The following is a summary of CWC activities undertaken since July 1, 2010.

 Ongoing Programs and Capital Projects Watch (FY10-11): The CWC continued to watch specific programs and capital projects and to review any issues of concern.

- Quarterly Financials (FY10-11): The CWC received and reviewed quarterly financial statements.
- Independent Audits (November 2010, January 2012): The CWC met with and received presentations from independent auditors. The independent auditors did not identify any Measure B accounting concerns. In addition, the CWC reviewed audit reports on the 4.5 percent ceiling on administrative costs and the 1 percent ceiling on administrative staff costs mandated by Measure B.
- Review of City of Fremont and City of Oakland Funds (November 2010): The CWC formed a subcommittee to gather more information about the fund balances that the cities of Fremont and Oakland held. The jurisdictions met with subcommittee members and provided an explanation for the fund balances as well as a plan for spending them down. The CWC accepted the report and will continue to monitor these fund balances.
- Audit and Compliance Report Review (January 2011): CWC members reviewed the FY09-10 audits and compliance reports and requested more information from agencies and jurisdictions to help clarify expenditures. Based on responses

from agencies/jurisdictions, the CWC found no indication that funds were spent improperly.

• Compliance Report Process Improvements (May 2011):

The CWC formed a compliance report subcommittee to review the annual compliance report forms and evaluation processes. The subcommittee provided recommendations to staff regarding integrating more specific questions into the forms to ensure transparency and greater consistency. Another goal was to gather additional information about fund recipients' Measure B fund balances. Alameda CTC established a process that incorporated CWC comments.



As part of the committee's oversight, CWC members review reports and meet with project and program sponsors to address issues and confirm appropriate expenditures.

- Annual Report to the Public (July 2011): In March 2011, CWC members formed a subcommittee to develop its annual report to the public. At its July meeting, the CWC held a public hearing to receive comments on the report. Public outreach included online ads that link to the web-based report, print advertisements in East Bay publications, e-mail and print mailings, distribution of the report at events, and translation of the advertisements into Chinese and Spanish, along with outreach to Asian and Hispanic community organizations.
- Master Programs Funding **Agreement Review (November** 2011): A subcommittee reviewed and provided input on the Alameda CTC's new Master **Programs Funding Agreement** for Alameda County, local jurisdictions and transit agencies, which receive Measure B and Vehicle Registration Fee funds. **CWC** member comments informed the process and resulted in agreement modifications that specify reporting requirements and expedite fund balance expenditures.
- Audit and Compliance Report
 Review (January 2012):
 CWC members reviewed the
 FY10-11 audits and compliance
 reports and requested information
 from agencies and jurisdictions to
 help clarify expenditures. Based on
 the responses, the CWC found no
 indication that funds were spent
 improperly; however, committee
 members did raise concerns about
 the practice of carrying over large
 Measure B fund balances into the
 next fiscal year and formed an

- ad-hoc committee to look into the Alameda County Public Works Agency's fund balance. This adhoc committee met in March as noted below.
- Review of County Public Works
 Funds (March 2012): The CWC
 formed a subcommittee to
 gather more information about
 the fund balance that the
 Alameda County Public Works
 Agency held. The agency met
 with subcommittee members
 and provided an explanation
 for the fund balance as well as
 a plan for spending it down. The
 CWC accepted the report and
 will continue to monitor the fund
 balance.
- Information Received by CWC
 (On-going): The CWC received
 updates on the progress of
 the development of a new
 \$7.8 billion Transportation
 Expenditure Plan (TEP) that will
 augment and extend the county's
 existing half-cent transportation
 sales tax, if approved by voters

in November 2012. The TEP has accountability measures that govern fund expenditures and detail the public processes for development of new plans. The TEP requires an Independent Watchdog Committee, similar to the CWC.

The CWC also received updates on the Alameda CTC Local Business Contract Equity Program. The Local Business Enterprise (LBE) goals are 70 percent of all contracts, including 30 percent for Small Local Business Enterprises for professional and administrative services. Contracts are exempt from these goals if they are partially funded by the state or federal government.

For FY10-11, the Alameda CTC reported that it exceeded these goals with total payments to LBEs equaling an estimated \$12.4 million (89 percent) for active contracts. For exempt contracts, total payments to LBEs exceeded \$15.4 million (33 percent).

MEASURE B (MB) PASS-THROUGH FUND TOTALS FOR ALL PROGRAMS

AgencyJurisdiction	tion 10-11 MB Distributions 10-11 MB Expended Ending		Ending MB Fund Balance*
ACE	\$2,132,587	\$2,001,797	\$2,424,620
ACPWA	\$2,553,569	\$1,676,708	\$10,779,347
AC Transit	\$21,566,717	\$21,566,717	\$0
BART	\$1,499,702	\$1,499,702	\$0
City of Alameda	\$2,211,551	\$3,527,020	\$3,538,906
City of Albany	\$376,663	\$487,744	\$19,506
City of Berkeley	\$2,658,351	\$2,097,126	\$2,918,127
City of Dublin	\$443,313	\$475,476	\$1,165,478
City of Emeryville	\$250,982	\$79,621	\$648,885
City of Fremont	\$2,974,061	\$2,551,442	\$5,591,881
City of Hayward	\$2,794,708	\$4,232,252	\$1,871,929
City of Livermore	\$1,003,128	\$853,054	\$1,783,621
City of Newark	\$618,027	\$450,779	\$986,693
City of Oakland	\$10,394,863	\$11,833,171	\$10,910,118
City of Piedmont	\$364,058	\$154,374	\$678,570
City of Pleasanton	\$866,674	\$630,237	\$2,128,315
City of San Leandro	\$1,518,431	\$620,860	\$3,028,500
City of Union City	\$1,366,974	\$934,739	\$3,847,656
LAVTA	\$824,364	\$824,364	\$0
WETA	\$275,215	\$175,867	\$1,825,246
Total	\$56,693,937	\$56,673,050	\$54,147,399

^{*}For a description of projects and programs funded by these balances, see the corresponding agency compliance report at: http://www.alamedactc.org/app_pages/view/4135. The reported expenditures include reserves from prior years, which is why some jurisdictions report expenditures higher than receipts.

Alameda CTC Programs

ALAMEDA CTC ALLOCATES 60 PERCENT OF MEASURE B

FUNDS, minus general administrative costs, primarily on a monthly, formula basis ("pass-through" funding) and through competitive grants to Alameda County, cities and transit agencies for programs. In FY10-11, Alameda CTC expended \$61.1 million in Measure B funds on programs.

Local streets and roads:

All cities and the county receive monthly allocations for local transportation improvements, including street maintenance and repair. Jurisdictions use these flexible Measure B funds to meet their locally determined transportation priorities.

- Payments to jurisdictions: \$22.5 million
- Grant reimbursements: \$0
- Total: \$22.5 million

Mass transit:

Transit operators including ACE, AC Transit, Union City Transit, WETA and WHEELS receive monthly allocations for operations.

- Payments to local transit operators: \$21.4 million
- Grant reimbursements: \$1.4 million for Countywide **Express Bus Service Grants**
- Total: \$22.8 million

Special transportation for seniors and people with disabilities:

Cities and transit operators receive funds on a monthly basis to support their on-going transportation programs for seniors and people with disabilities.

- Payments to local jurisdictions: \$9.1 million
- Grant reimbursements: \$1.3 million for Paratransit Gap Grants and stabilization funds
- Total: \$10.4 million

Bicycle and pedestrian safety funds:

On a monthly basis, all cities and the county receive funds for bicycle and pedestrian capital projects, programs and plans.


- Payments to local jurisdictions: \$3.7 million
- Grant reimbursements: \$1.5 million for Countywide Discretionary Fund Grants
- Total: \$5.2 million


Transit center development:

A small portion of Measure B revenues are awarded through the Transit Oriented Development Grant Program and leverage other funds by becoming a local match for arants and studies.

- Grant reimbursements: \$235,351
- Total: \$0.2 million (rounded)

Net Sales Tax Allocation Percentages for Programs






Seniors and people with disabilities rely on paratransit services and programs such as travel training, volunteer drivers and on-demand shuttles.

Alameda CTC Projects



Alameda CTC operates the I-680 Express Lane, which helps reduce traffic congestion and increases highway efficiency.



the BART Warm Springs Extension is the beginning of a new connection to Silicon Valley and has employed hundreds of local workers.

ALAMEDA CTC ALLOCATES 40 PERCENT OF MEASURE B

FUNDS, minus general administrative costs, to capital projects. In FY10-11, Alameda CTC expended \$74.4 million on capital projects for transportation infrastructure improvements, such as BART rail extensions, highway and transit improvements, local street and road enhancements, intermodal projects and other local projects.

In addition to the 26 voter-approved capital projects, Alameda CTC added the Vasco Road Safety Improvement Project funded from the Measure B Congestion Relief Emergency Fund in 2003; added the I-80 Integrated Corridor Management Project in 2008; and in 2010, added the two additional projects funded through the Congestion Relief Emergency Fund, the I-880/23rd and 29th Avenues Interchanges, and the Countywide Transportation Plan/Transportation Expenditure Plan (study only).

Some of the projects included in the TEP are broken into phases. The local sales tax dollars allow project phases to move forward while project sponsors seek other funding sources to complete construction. The year 2012 is the midpoint of the current transportation sales tax measure,

and 95 percent of all capital project investments have been delivered.

The chart on the next page shows the project phases, schedule, original and escalated funding commitments and total project costs for the capital projects.



Project Status as of July 2012

Project	Project Name	FY10-11 Project Phase	FY11-12 Project Phase	Construction Start Date	Construction Completion Date	Total Project Cost Estimate (2012 \$)	2000 Expenditure Plan Measure B Commitment (1998 \$)	Measure B Commitment as of FY11-12 ¹ (2012 \$)
1	Altamont Commuter Express Rail	Construction		Various	Various	(Al 405.7	ll dollars are in 1 10.0	millions)
2	BART Warm Springs Extension		ruction	September 2009	December 2015	890.0	165.5	224.4
3	BART Oakland Airport Connector	Construction		September 2010	December 2013	484.1	65.8	89.1
4	Downtown Oakland Streetscape Improvement	Construction on hold		September 2007	June 2015	14.8	5.0	6.4
5	Fruitvale Transit Village	Complete		October 2002	March 2004	13.5	3.5	4.4
6	Union City Intermodal Station	Complete		June 2007	March 2012	47.0	9.2	12.6
7A ²	Telegraph Avenue Corridor Bus Rapid Transit	Environmental		January 2014	April 2016	218.8	8.7	10.4
7B	San Pablo Corridor Rapid Bus	Com	plete	March 2008	December 2009	2.8	1.7	2.8
7C	Telegraph Avenue Corridor Rapid Bus	Com	plete	June 2005	December 2009	11.2	9.5	11.2
8A ³	I-680 Express Lane - Southbound	Com	plete	October 2008	April 2012	36.6	11.1	15.2
8B ³	I-680 Express Lane - Northbound	Enviror	mental	TBD	TBD	TBD	14.7	20.0
9	Iron Horse Transit Route	De	sign	TBD	TBD	11.1	4.5	6.3
10	I-880/Broadway-Jackson Interchange Improvement	Scoping		N/A	N/A	11.1	6.0	8.1
11	I-880/Washington Avenue Interchange Improvement	Complete		April 2009	May 2010	3.2	1.1	1.3
12 4	I-580/Castro Valley Interchange Improvements	Plant Establishment		June 2008	June 2011	33.2	9.2	11.5
13/17B	Lewelling/East Lewelling Blvd. Widening and Hesperian Boulevard/Lewelling Boulevard Intersection Improvement	Construction		July 2009	August 2012	32.0	10.3	13.8
14A	I-580 WB Auxiliary Lane (Fallon Road to Tassajara Road)	Complete		March 2009	December 2009	2.5	1.8	2.5
14B	I-580 WB Auxiliary Lane (Airway Boulevard to Fallon Road)	Design		November 2012	November 2014	5.0	2.1	2.7
14C ⁵	I-580 EB Auxiliary Lane (El Charro Road to Airway Boulevard)	Construction	Complete	January 2009	November 2011	7.8	6.0	7.8
15	Route 92/Clawiter - Whitesell Interchange and Reliever Route	Design		July 2013	July 2015	27.0	19.5	27.0
16	Oakland Local Streets Rehabilitation	Complete		July 2004	December 2006	5.3	4.0	5.3
17A	Hesperian/Lewelling Boulevard Intersection Improvement - Stage 1	Complete		October 2003	June 2004	0.7	0.5	0.6
18A	Westgate Parkway Extension - Stage 1	Complete		June 2004	October 2006	7.9	6.6	7.9
18B	Westgate Parkway Extension - Stage 2		sign I	September 2012	January 2015	2.8	2.1	2.8
19	East 14th Street/Hesperian Boulevard/ 150th Street Intersection Improvement Newark Local Streets Rehabilitation	Design and Right-of-Way	Design	September 2013	May 2015	3.1	0.8	1.0
20		Complete		June 2003	February 2006	5.5	1.2	1.4
21 5	I-238 Widening		plete	September 2006	October 2009	131.8	66.0	81.0
22	I-680/I-880 Cross Connector Studies		ping	N/A	N/A	2.5	1.0	1.2
23 24	Isabel Avenue - Route 84/I-580 Interchange		Construction	January 2009 March 2012	April 2012 June 2016	120.9 127.1	20.0 70.0	26.5 96.5
	Route 84 Expressway Dumbarton Rail Corridor		mental	TBD	TBD	755.0	14.7	19.4
25 ⁶ 26	I-580 Corridor/BART to Livermore Studies		nmental	N/A	N/A	20.1	8.7	11.8
27A ⁷ 27B ⁷	Vasco Road Safety Improvements I-80 Integrated Corridor Mobility		plete Construction	January 2005 June 2011	June 2009 September 2014	22.4 87.9	2.0	1.5 2.8
27C ⁷	I-880 Corridor Improvements in Oakland and San Leandro		sign	N/A	N/A	216.1	1.7	2.3
27D ⁷	Countywide Transportation Plan- Transportation Expenditure Plan	Complete		N/A	N/A	0.1	0.1	0.1
27E ⁷	Studies for Congested Segments/Locations on the CMP Network	Sco	ping	N/A	N/A	3.7	2.7	3.7
					GRAND TOTAL 8	\$3,770.3	\$568.5	\$756.5

¹ Alameda CTC allocates Measure B funds by project phase; see fact sheets or the website for additional details.

² The Commission approved an extension on March 22, 2012, for environmental clearance by March 31, 2013.

³ Total project funding amount shown includes southbound Express Lane costs plus \$20M of Measure B remaining for northbound.

⁴ Project cost does not include 1986 Measure B funding for the I-580/Redwood Road Interchange Project component.

^{5 2000} Measure B funding for Project 14C exchanged for state funds and made available for Project 21.

⁶ The Commission approved an extension on March 15, 2011, for environmental clearance by March 31, 2013, and the Commission approved the addition of a full funding plan on March 31, 2013.

⁷ Project funded with the Congestion Relief Emergency Funds. Measure B total for project 27D is \$50,000.

⁸ Total project costs reflect a combination of Measure B funds and other funding sources.

CWC Members

"The ongoing work of the CWC is an important part of regularly demonstrating to the residents and businesses of Alameda County that Measure B will deliver on its original promise. The reviews and analytical work done by the CWC play a key role in making sure that Measure B funds are spent in accordance with the provisions of the measure passed by Alameda County voters: a role made all the more important given the wide support that Measure B originally garnered."

— James Paxson, CWC Chair

Stay Connected with Alameda CTC

Sign up to receive e-mail notifications and our bimonthly newsletter at www.AlamedaCTC.org.





Follow us on: www.facebook.com/AlamedaCTC http://twitter.com/AlamedaCTC



1333 Broadway Suites 220 & 300 Oakland, CA 94612 (510) 208-7400 AlamedaCTC.org

Appointer Name

James Paxson, Chair East Bay Economic Development Alliance Harriette Saunders, Vice Chair Paratransit Advisory and Planning Committee Pamela Belchamber Alameda County Mayors' Conference, District 5 Petra Olivia Brady Alameda County Mayors' Conference, District 4 Peter Michael Dubinsky Supervisor Richard Valle, District 2 Arthur B. Geen Alameda County Taxpayers Association James Haussener Supervisor Nate Miley, District 4 Erik Jensen East Bay Bicycle Coalition Jo Ann Lew Alameda County Mayors' Conference, District 2 Hale Zukas Supervisor Keith Carson, District 5 Vacancy Alameda County Mayors' Conference, District 1 Alameda County Mayors' Conference, District 3 Vacancy Vacancy **Alameda Labor Council AFL-CIO** Vacancy **League of Women Voters** Vacancy Sierra Club Vacancy Supervisor Scott Haggerty, District 1 Supervisor Wilma Chan, District 3 Vacancy

How to Get Involved

The Alameda CTC and the Citizens Watchdog Committee (CWC) invite your participation to help plan, fund and deliver transportation projects and programs that enhance mobility throughout Alameda County. Join one of Alameda CTC's four community advisory committees in addition to the CWC, the public serves on the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee, the Citizens Advisory Committee and the Paratransit Advisory and Planning Committee — or feel free to participate in and attend public meetings. Visit www.AlamedaCTC.org to learn more about opportunities to get involved.

Further Information

The complete Measure B Expenditure Plan and this report as well as agency compliance audits and reports are available at www.AlamedaCTC.org.

Copies of these publications are also available at the Alameda CTC offices at 1333 Broadway, Suites 220 & 300, Oakland, CA 94612; (510) 208-7400. Information on Measure B-funded projects and programs also appears on each jurisdiction's website.